The Bacterial Pneumonia Characteristics based on Climate and Meteorological Parameters in Indonesia, the Tropical Country: A Preliminary Study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: As a tropical country, Indonesia has the potential to influence the characteristics of infectious diseases. The purpose of this study was to see how the pattern of pneumonia on various weather components such as rainfall, humidity, and temperature.

Methods: This study was carried by retrospective screening in the infectious ward in one of the tertiary referral hospitals.

Results: A total of 876 cases of pneumonia from eight treatment rooms were included in the study. The highest pneumonia cases occurred in February with 239 (27.2%) cases followed in April and May with 169 (19.2%) and 159 (18.2%) cases respectively. After microbiological examination, bacterial growth was found in 191 cases. The highest number of bacteria was found in February with 62 (32%), followed by May with 33 (17.2%) and April with 31 (16.2%) samples. Most of the bacterial grown were gram-negative (94.3%), dominated by Klebsiella pneumoniae (35%), followed by Acinetobacter baumanii 17.2% and Pseudomonas aeruginosa 12%. On the examination of antibiotic sensitivity, it was found that amikacin has a consistently high sensitivity (90%) for pneumonia caused by most bacterial causes (Acinetobacter baumanii, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Escherichia coli).

Conclusion: The study did not show any remarkable bacterial patterns based on weather components such as rainfall, humidity, and temperature during the rainy season. However, increasing the surveillance period will provide a better insight into the bacterial pattern and can compare it in the rainy and dry seasons.

Introduction

Pneumonia is an acute infection or inflammation of the parenchyma or lung tissue, which is currently a health problem.1,2 Number of visits to emergency departments with pneumonia as the primary diagnosis in the world is 1.3 million.3 Up to 33% of pneumonia cases are hospitalized.4 Pneumonia is still one of the main causes of hospitalization and death in both developed countries5 and developing countries.6 However, the impact of pneumonia on morbidity and mortality is greater in developing countries. In Indonesia, there are 988 cases per 100,000 hospitalized cases primarily caused by pneumonia. The Case Fatality Rate of pneumonia in adults in Indonesia is up to 11.3%, which is one of the highest in Southeast Asia.2,5

Several factors such as agents, hosts, and the environment implicate the increased incidence and mortality of pneumonia.8 Climate change, an environmental factor, has become a global concern in the last few decades, causing at least 12.6 million deaths reported by WHO annually.9,10 Changes in average temperature and extreme temperature values, changes in rainfall, and rising sea levels are considered to affect socioeconomic and health. The impact on health can be through undernutrition, mental health, cardiovascular disease, and infectious diseases. Specifically, climate change affects the causes of infectious diseases by changes in host immune response, pathogen activity, and transmission, for example in water-borne disease,11 vector-borne diseases,11,12 and air-borne disease.11,13

Several studies have reported that several climate components such as rainfall, humidity, and temperature affect pneumonia hospitalization.14,15 Research in Brazil reports that in winter there is an increased incidence of
pneumonia, and the lowest incidence occurs in summer.\textsuperscript{16,17} Besides, changes in temperature in weather also affect the incidence of pneumonia hospitalization.\textsuperscript{18,19} Also, cold weather is also associated with an increase in 30-day in-hospital mortality by up to 17%.\textsuperscript{3} However, these studies were reported from various countries with 4 seasons. Although there were studies from 2 season countries that also have the same report, this research was carried out on the African continent. Even though it has the same number of seasons, it is suspected that the characteristics of the host and the environment are different from Asia, especially Indonesia. As far as we are concerned no studies have been conducted to investigate the impact of weather on pneumonia incidence in Asia. Therefore, this study aims to look at the role of climate, especially in the rainy season, with the characteristics of pneumonia being treated in Indonesia, including incidence, etiological patterns, and antibiotic sensitivity patterns.

\textbf{Methods}

\textbf{This Data collection}

Our study was carried out by retrospective screening of patients diagnosed with pneumonia in the infectious wards from January to June 2019 at one of the referral center hospitals in East Java, Indonesia, Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital Surabaya. Pneumonia is based on history, physical examination, laboratory, and chest X-ray findings. Patients diagnosed with dyspnea other than pneumonia were excluded from the study (Asthma, COPD exacerbation, pulmonary edema, pneumothorax, etc). Sputum examination was performed according to indications and clinical conditions, patients who agreed to do a sputum examination, involved in the research. The patient must be prepared not eating anything for about 1-2 hours before taking sputum. The patient is asked for a sputum examination in the morning. If the patient is difficult to release sputum, the patient will be given steam therapy (nebulizer) in advance to thin the sputum, making it easy to remove. Sputum that comes out is then accommodated in sterile containers for inspection. Inspection in the laboratory takes 2 days to see the growth of bacteria. Meteorological and weather monitoring data were received from the \url{https://www.bmkg.go.id/} website from Indonesia Authorities.

\textbf{Bacterial identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing}

After collected, all of the sputum samples were inoculated on blood and MacConkey agar before overnight incubated at 37°C. On a positive culture result, the identification of bacteria and susceptibility to antibiotics was carried out using the broth microdilution method using a BD Phoenix Automated Microbiology System according to the manufacturer’s standard protocols (Phoenix-100; Becton Dickinson Indonesia, Surabaya, Indonesia). Three panels were used for the detection of Gram-negative bacteria (BD Phoenix NMIC / ID Panels for MIC and identification, BD Phoenix NMIC Panels for MIC, and BD Phoenix NID Panels for identification). The broth microdilution method was used in the antimicrobial susceptibility test for the following 9 antibiotics: Trimethoprim-Sulphamethoxazole, Ciprofloxacin, Levofloxacin, Ampicillin sulbactam, Imipenem, Meropenem, Amikacin, Ceftriaxone, Chloramphenicol according to CLSI guidelines.\textsuperscript{20} Data on the number of bacteria, number of cases, antibiotic responses, and climate change are analyzed descriptively. This research has gone through an ethical test from Dr. Soetomo General Academic Hospital Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia.

\textbf{Results}

\textbf{Bacterial distribution based on the gram and month of examination}

A total of 876 pneumonia cases from 8 separate wards in the period January-February 2019 were enrolled in this study. The highest pneumonia cases occurred in February with 239 (27.2%) cases followed in April and May, with 169 (19.2%) and 159 (18.2%) cases respectively. From all cases that underwent sputum culture examination, there was bacterial growth in 191 samples. The highest positive culture results were also obtained in February with 62 (32%), followed by May and April, with 33 (17.2%) and 31 (16.2%) samples respectively. The bacterial growth was dominated by gram-negative (94.3%), with the most bacteria Klebsiella pneumoniae (35%), followed by Acinetobacter baumanii and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, 17.2% and 12%, respectively. Not only Klebsiella pneumoniae was the largest bacterial contributor in February (which was the highest spike in pneumonia cases during the study period), but also it was the most common bacteria encountered every month. Table 1 showed the distribution of microorganisms.

\textbf{Case profiles based on weather parameters}

Rainfall intensity shows fluctuation with the highest average intensity occurring in January at 14.19 mm. Interestingly, the decrease in intensity from February to March was followed by a downward trend in pneumonia cases, and its increase during March to April was then followed by an increase in the number of pneumonia cases, although this trend was slightly different from the sharp decrease in rainfall in the month April to June. Just like rainfall, the average highest humidity is also in January at 81.42% which has a declining trend until June. Although changes in humidity are relatively stable, changes in the number of pneumonia cases show fluctuation. Another case with temperature, the highest was in May at 29.18°C and the lowest in June at 28.08°C. Characteristics of the weather parameters for changes in the number of pneumonia cases can be seen in figure 1 regarding the rainfall profile, figure 2 regarding humidity profile, and figure 3 regarding temperature profile.

\textbf{Antibiotic sensitivity profile based on most bacterial causes}

The results of the assessment of antibiotic sensitivity profiles, it is known that Amikacin exhibited the highest sensitivity level above 90% in the pneumonia case caused by A. Baumaanii, Klebsiella pneumoniae, or Escherichia coli. Some antibiotics that are mostly used in pneumonia-like Levofloxacin have a low antibiotic sensitivity with lower than 76.56% in all tested bacteria. Particularly, the antibiotic sensitivity of LEV was lower than 12.50% against Escherichia coli. Likewise, Ceftriaxone showed the antibiotic sensitivity no more than 59.38% in common causative bacteria in this study. Table 2 shows the comparison of antibiotic sensitivity to the causative bacteria.
Table 1. Distribution Profile of Microorganisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>Microorganisms</th>
<th>January (n%)</th>
<th>February (n%)</th>
<th>March (n%)</th>
<th>April (n%)</th>
<th>May (n%)</th>
<th>June (n%)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gram Positive Bacteria</strong></td>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus aureus</strong></td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>4(2)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>10(5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Staphylococcus lentus</strong></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Klebsiella pneumoniae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>6(3.1)</td>
<td>33(17.2)</td>
<td>5(2.6)</td>
<td>6(3.1)</td>
<td>12(6.2)</td>
<td>5(2.6)</td>
<td>67(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acinetobacter baumannii/calcoaceticus complex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>5(2.6)</td>
<td>9(4.7)</td>
<td>5(2.6)</td>
<td>6(3.1)</td>
<td>7(3.6)</td>
<td>33(17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>7(3.6)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>5(2.6)</td>
<td>7(3.6)</td>
<td>23(12)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Escherichia coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>5(2.6)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>16(8.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The organism is not detected</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>4(2)</td>
<td>4(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11(5.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gram Negative Bacteria</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enterobacter cloacae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td>10(5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aeromonas cavae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>6(3.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3(1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Klebsiella ozytoca</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Klebsiella ozaenae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kluyvera ascorbata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Providencia sturtii</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Serratia marcesens</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sphingomonas paucimbilis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1(0.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.8)</td>
<td>(32)</td>
<td>(14.1)</td>
<td>(16.2)</td>
<td>(17.2)</td>
<td>(12)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Antibiotic Sensitivity Profile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteria</th>
<th>SAM</th>
<th>CIP</th>
<th>LEV</th>
<th>IMP</th>
<th>MEM</th>
<th>CM</th>
<th>AK</th>
<th>CRO</th>
<th>SXT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>A. baumannii</em></td>
<td>71.43</td>
<td>46.43</td>
<td>57.14</td>
<td>82.14</td>
<td>81.48</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td>39.29</td>
<td>82.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Klebsiella pneumoniae</em></td>
<td>54.69</td>
<td>68.75</td>
<td>76.56</td>
<td>71.88</td>
<td>73.44</td>
<td>76.56</td>
<td>93.75</td>
<td>59.38</td>
<td>68.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>56.25</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>18.75</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Pneumonia Cases Based on Rainfall Profile in Rainy Season
Discussion

Age Indonesia is known as one of the tropical countries in Asia, so it has two seasons. On the other hand, Indonesia as a tropical and developing country has a higher prevalence of infectious diseases than other countries. One of the most common infections that brings a person into hospitalization is a respiratory tract infection, one of which is pneumonia. The incidence of pneumonia itself is a complex matter consisting of various underlying factors, such as agent, host, and environmental factors.

Several studies from various countries with four seasons have been reported an increased incidence of pneumonia and hospitality in winter-related to temperature differences. However, these temperature differences were not followed by an increased incidence of pneumonia as described in this study. Though, there is a difference in the mean temperature between the summer and the rainy season in a tropical country like Indonesia, there is no extreme variability of temperature in the rainy season itself (range 28.08 to 29.18°C) (Meteorological, Climatological, and Geophysical Reports, https://www.bmkg.go.id).

Likewise, with rainfall in Turkey, it is reported that its effect of temperature differences associated with an increased incidence of pneumonia. However, what is interesting in this study, although the pattern of pneumonia incidence is in line with rainfall in February to April, this is not the case in other months. This may imply that weather is not a determining factor for pneumonia incidence requiring hospitalization. This can be related to the behavior of the community to postpone visits to the hospital when the rainfall intensity is high. Often health priorities are placed at such a number, so they are more focused on the impact of high rainfall such as floods (unpublished data). This is supported by a systematic review which states that there is a complex relationship between pneumonia incidence, pneumonia hospitalization, and the variability of weather components.

Another case with other studies that reported the incidence of pneumonia even pneumonia death increases due to differences in humidity. Likewise in the form...
of a model, in Felix et al’s study, where humidity and temperature influenced pneumonia, but not in this study, it could be because in this study the average temperature was higher (28.08 - 29.18°C vs 23.3 - 29.3°C), and higher humidity (70.8 - 81.42 vs 52.3 - 56.4).

In this study, during the rainy season itself, the weather components such as temperature, humidity, and rainfall did not change following an increase in the incidence of pneumonia and an increase in bacterial culture results in February. This is similar to research in Thailand which states that several factors have a stronger influence than weather components such as rainfall, temperature, and humidity, such as pollution factors such as PM10 levels. Apart from that several host factors such as nutritional status, smoke, comorbid, poor dental hygiene, previous history of pneumonia, history of taking immunosuppressants, endogenous rhythm may be more influential than environmental factors. Another study also reported that although mortality increased in winter, it was not significant in the multivariate analysis.

The best management of infectious diseases is the eradication of the microorganisms that cause them. From various microorganisms that cause pneumonia, Bacteria are the most common cause of hospitalization, poor morbidity, and mortality. The most ideal condition is to isolate microorganisms to determine the exact causative agents that mediate pneumonia, but the 2019 American Thoracic Society (ATS) only recommends sputum culture examinations in conditions of severe pneumonia and/or with the risk of being infected with Pseudomonas aeruginosa and MRSA such as a history of being hospitalized or getting parenteral antibiotics in the last 90 days. The purpose of bacterial isolation is in addition to identifying resistant bacteria, narrowing antibiotic therapy, adjusting failed therapies. Although the most common bacteria-caused pneumonia are Streptococcus pneumoniae and Haemophilus influenzae are supported by a systematic review. In this study, the most common bacteria found was Klebsiella pneumoniae. This is consistent with research in various regions in Indonesia, including Padang, Bali, and Lampung. The difference in the characteristics of the main causative bacteria is answered by a report from a systematic review where although Streptococcus pneumonia is the most common cause, its prevalence varies from 4 to 24%. Uniquely, this prevalence is getting smaller as a country gets closer to the equator. Another interesting thing is that although geographically and weather have different weather components such as rainfall, humidity, and temperature are not followed by an increase in cases of pneumonia. Besides, with the finding of low antibiotic sensitivity recommended by the International guidelines, local regulations, and strategies needed to be periodically updated.

Conclusion

In the rainy season, patterns of changes in weather components such as rainfall, humidity, and temperature are not followed by an increase in cases of pneumonia. Besides, with the finding of low antibiotic sensitivity recommended by the International guidelines, local regulations, and strategies needed to be periodically updated.
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