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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Occupational stress is a modern epidemic. In terms of stress assessment, tools such as questionnaires are believed to be more subjective, especially in the assessment of stress in the workplace, while of course an objective assessment of stress also needs to be carried out. Objective examination for occupational stress will be very useful in the occupational health settings, which can early detect stress at work and prevent the long term effects. Therefore, this literature review aims to investigate the role of HRV in determining occupational stress.

Methods: The searching methods used were PubMed and Google Scholar to find related journals about occupational stress and HRV, published in English. The articles that met the inclusion criteria were analysed based on the study design, study population, occupational stress and HRV assessment based on the Centre of Evidence-based Medicine, the University of Oxford for therapy study. Moreover, sample size varied from 8 to 1788. Results: It was found that there are three studies that fit the criteria, which are one systematic review study, one longitudinal study, and one cross-sectional study. The main finding from those articles was that occupational or job stress is found to be associated with lowered HRV value.

Conclusion: HRV can be recommended for practicing occupational physicians and company doctors to identify the core areas of work-related stress.
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INTRODUCTION

Occupational or job stress is a chronic condition recognized as a risk for a variety of behavioural and psychological disorders. Thus, epidemiology understanding, alternative preventions, and interventions are highly needed (Quick and Henderson, 2016).
Long-term work stress has been associated with various health problems such as cardiovascular diseases, musculoskeletal disorders, inflammation process, infection disease, obesity, diabetes, and sleep disorders. Meta-analysis study by Steptoe et al. has reported that high job strain elevated the risk of coronary artery disease by 34% (Steptoe and Kivimäki, 2013).

In terms of stress assessment, tools such as questionnaires are believed to be more subjective, especially in the assessment of stress in the workplace, although of course an objective assessment of stress also needs to be carried out. Therefore, Panari et al. recommend to combine methods of subjective and objective measures to assess stress, which will in turn give the researchers or doctors a comprehensive picture of the patient's condition (Panari et al., 2012).

HRV is one of the promising biological markers. More and more commercial devices nowadays provide an automatic measurement of heart rate variability, making it easier for researchers and doctors to diagnose or determine patients' condition or research subjects (Speer et al., 2020).

Many studies have focused on Heart Rate Variability (HRV) in healthy participants. However, less is known about the association between occupational stress or stress at work and HRV values. Therefore, this literature review aims to find out the role of HRV in determining occupational stress regarding whether or not it can help the occupational health doctors to identify work-related stress.

**METHODS**

The searching method used Pubmed and Google Scholar to find related journals about Occupational Stress and Heart Rate Variability. The searching method was performed on December 23rd 2020. The words to find were "Occupational Stress", "Work Stress", "Job Stress", "Heart Rate Variability", and "HRV".

The search strategy is shown in a flowchart (Figure 1). The author scanned every ten articles from Pubmed (by titles and abstracts) to exclude unrelated articles on the first phase of the selection. Duplicated articles from Google Scholar were then removed. After the selection, the critical appraisal was done using several aspects based on the Centre of Evidence-based Medicine, the University of Oxford for therapy study.

The sample size in these three studies varied between 8, 85 and 1788. Moreover, the mean age ranged from 31 to 41 years. A total of 10 articles were analysed in the systematic review studies; nine studies were cross-sectional studies, while one was carried out with an observational study design.

![Figure 1. Searching Strategy Flowchart](image-url)
with one year follow up. In the systematic review studies, the subjects were healthcare workers, factory workers, media workers, workers in consumer goods production, workers in aeroplane manufacturing, ...

Table 1. Critical Appraisal of the Articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Validity</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Representative Populations Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Standard Used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Comparison and Blinding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Importance</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement of Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Applicability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test methods are described in detail and easy to be replicated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Critical Appraisal of Longitudinal Study by Langelotz et al. (2008)

| **Validity**                                                                                              |
| Level of Evidence | 2b                                     | Eight surgeons with a 24-hour surgical shift took part in this study |
| Representative Populations Group | | |
| Reference Standard Used | | The researchers measured HRV using guidelines from the Task Force |
| Independent Comparison and Blinding | | There was no independent comparison and blinding in this study |
| **Importance**                                                                                              |
| Measurement of Outcome | | The authors used Visual analogue scale scores to assess occupational stress. Several time domain parameters were used to measure HRV |
| **Applicability**                                                                                            |
| Test methods are described in detail and easy replicated | | Yes, the test methods are described in details and easy to be replicated. The subjects filled up the online questionnaires, and then the researcher performed an HRV assessment of the subjects using the emWave Pro Plus |

and white-collar workers. Meanwhile, eight surgical residents and specialists participated in the longitudinal study, and 85 workers from a company participated in a cross-sectional study.

RESULTS

From the online search results, it was found that there were three studies that fit the inclusion and exclusion criteria, namely one systematic review study by Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen (2018), one longitudinal study and one cross- by Langelotz et al. (2008), sectional study by McCratty, 2018). After a critical appraisal, it was found that those articles were valid (Table 1).

The levels of evidence are important components of evidence-based medicine. Therefore, the author assessed the evidence level of each selected articles based on the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (Burns, Rohrich and Chung, 2011).
The first selected article was from Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen (2018), included in level 2a research category with homogeneity of cohort studies. The second selected article was from Langelotz et al. (2008), included in level 2b research category which is an individual cohort study, and the last selected article was from Low and McCraty (2018), included in level 4 research category which is a cross-sectional study.

In the first article by Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen (2018), the most frequently used tools in determining occupational stress were the ‘Effort-Reward Imbalance (ERI) questionnaire and the ‘Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ). Meanwhile, the longitudinal study by Langelotz et al. (2008) used Visual Analog Scale to assess stress levels, and the cross-sectional study by Low and McCraty (2018) used Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) as an instrument to assess the level of stress.

A Holter electrocardiogram (ECG) device was used for recording HRV in seven out of ten studies of systematic review, and the recording length varied from short resting periods (5-10 minutes), 2-12 hours during work period, to one to three full days (24-32 hours) (Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen, 2018).

Meanwhile, a longitudinal study by Langelotz et al. (2008) monitored HRV using the Polar S810 peripheral pulse rate meter device during a resting period at the beginning of the 24-hour shift, after 12 hours, and at the end of the shift. All participants repeated measurements through out ten 24-hour shifts.

Low and McCraty (2018), on the other hand, used the emWave Pro Plus to monitor the HRV, which is a computer software that collects pulse data. The subject's heart rate information was obtained by attaching a pulse sensor to the subject's fingertips or earlobe, and then the software translated the information into a graphic, which allowed the researcher or author to watch in real time how thoughts and emotions were affecting the subject’s heart rhythms. The average duration for each HRV monitor session was 7 minutes in the resting period.

Almost all studies reviewed explicitly reported to have applied the recommendations of the task force by Malik et al. (1996) in measuring HRV values. Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen (2018) reported that heightened job stress was found to be associated with lowered HRV values, specifically with reduced parasympathetic activation.

Meanwhile, based on the results of study conducted by Langelotz et al. (2008), SDNN, RMSSD, and pNN50, which were parameters of the time domain increased significantly over 224 hours (p=0.003, p<0.001, and p<0.001, respectively), suggesting the increased level of relaxation. Furthermore, Low and McCraty (2018) reported that three negative correlations were found between HRV values and subjective stress: (1) perceived stress and 5-minute mean of interbeat intervals (IBI), r = -0.217, p<0.05; (2) perceived stress and 5-min SDNN, r = -0.255, p< 0.05, and (3) perceived stress and Ln 5-min RMSSD, r = -0.282, p< 0.01. A summary of these three studies' main results regarding the relationship between job stress and HRV values can be seen in the Table 2.

**Table 2. Summary of Main Results of the Studies Regarding the Relationship between Occupational Stress and HRV Values**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Main Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen, 2018)</td>
<td>Lowered HRV was found to be associated with occupational stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Langelotz et al., 2008)</td>
<td>Longitudinal study After a 24 hours shift, HRV parameters increased significantly, suggesting increased levels of relaxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Low and McCraty, 2018)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study A negative correlation was found between stress and HRV measurement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

HRV is sensitive to changes in the sympathetic nervous system and parasympathetic nervous system (changes in the automatic nervous system) associated with stress (Kim et al., 2018). Based on three journal reviews that have been previously analyzed, the current neurobiological evidence suggests that HRV value is impacted by stress, and lowered HRV is associated with occupational or job stress, specifically with reduced parasympathetic activation. Moreover, there is a decrease in HF power and RMSSD, and an increase in LF/HF ratio. Moreover, the range of subject sizes that vary widely among the studies reviewed could certainly influence the results of this literature review.
It is also noted that if there is any disproportional relationship between workers (resources) and work demand, it will lead to occupational stress. Thus, this literature review shows some diversity in assessing occupational or job stress. As summarized by O’Connor (2016), there are different approaches in job stress assessment. The first approach is the stimulus-based approach, which is the assessment of job stressors. The ERI and the JCQ are the assessment methods that use this approach. The JCQ questionnaire is the most widely used tool to assess occupational stress in the studies reviewed, followed by an ERI questionnaire, Visual Analog Scale Score, and the Perceived Stress Scale. The second approach is the response-based approach, which is the worker’s strain assessment. The general reaction to the cause of stress is the approach used by this method. In short, the type of questionnaire used in the studies can influence the determination or diagnosis of occupational or job stress. The research would be more ideal if all subjects use the same tools/questionnaire in assessing occupational stress, so that is why objective assessment such as heart rate variability is important in determining occupational stress.

Almost all the reviewed studies used the guidelines for the measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use of HRV recommended by the Task Force; only two out of ten studies from systematic review studies by Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen (2018) which were mentioned not to use the Task Force recommendations). Besides, the most frequently method measurement used was time domain methods with wide range of HRV parameters (HR, SDNN, RMSSD). According to Malik et al. (1996), some parameters are recommended for time-domain HRV assessment, including the estimate of overall HRV (HRV triangular index, SDNN), the estimate of short-term HRV (RMSSD), and the estimate of long-term HRV (SDANN).

Following the time domain methods, the second most widely used method in measuring HRV in the studies reviewed was the frequency domain methods, with the high frequency (HF) and low-frequency (LF) components and the comparison of the two (LF/HF) noted as the most frequently used HRV parameters. Furthermore, respiratory sinus arrhythmia (RSA), or the physiological effect of breathing which is parasympathetic activation, is reflected by the strength of the high-frequency components of HRV.

Based on the results of Langelotz et al. (2008) study, it is concluded that after 24 hours shift the HRV value increased significantly, suggesting that the surgeons were in a relaxation stage after the end of working shift, as opposed to the condition during working hours where the HRV values tended to decrease, indicating that the surgeon was under stress.

Low and McCraty (2018) stated that there were three significant negative relationships between PSS (short-term stress) and HRV; In the perceived stress and 5-min IBI, r = −0.217, P < 0.05; perceived stress and 5-min SDNN, r = −0.255, P < 0.05; and perceived stress and Ln 5-min RMSSD, r = −0.282, P < 0.01, H0 would be rejected. This indicates that short-term perceived job stress was significantly correlated with overall HRV.

It should be noted that only one study was performed with an observational study design (Langelotz et al., 2008). By contrast, one out of ten studies analysed in a systematic review study by Järvelin-Pasanen, Sinikallio, and Tarvainen (2018) was a longitudinal study (Borchini et al., 2018). Since longitudinal studies' main advantage is the ability to recognize a pattern of variables over time, this would indeed be the recommended approach in the HRV study of work stress to learn causal relationships. Besides, the deployment of HRV methods and job stress assessments makes a comparison of studies difficult. In based stead of the big picture, a fragmented puzzle emerges. Therefore, more integrated assessment methods and observational study arrangements are highly needed.

Jobbágy et al. (2017) reported in their article on HRV-based stress level assessment using very short recordings that HRV was applicable for estimating changes in an individual’s actual stress level. Very short duration of HRV recordings (1-2 minutes-long) could be helpful. However, based on the article from Hernández-Gaytan et al. (2013), it was not possible to evaluate the duration of exposure and the cumulative effect of stress at work on the HRV if the assessment was done in a short duration.

Castaldo et al. (2015) further investigated the associations between HRV and acute mental stress, using short duration HRV measurement excerpts (0.5 – 10 minutes). From this article, it is known that during stress, the fluctuation of HRV at high frequencies depressed significantly. These results might change if the stress measurement was carried out after a stress event, as demonstrated in the article
from Tharion, Parthasarathy and Neelakantan (2009) and might be consistent with the phases of the stress as described in the journal review from Bracha (2004).

When compared with short duration HRV measurements, long duration of HRV measurements can provide an overview of the worker’s overall condition, including HRV values while working, resting at home and sleeping (Sammito et al., 2015).

As the main results of systematic review reported by The AF et al. (2020), long-term measurements of HRV (24 hours and longer) showed to be a better objective assessment of stress at workplace since it was able to pinpoint stressors during every task of work.

However, it should be noted that measuring HRV while working involves unidentified confounding factors that are difficult to fully control, which in turn can affect the interpretation of the measurement results, for example the effect of workers’ physical activities as reported by Hautala et al. (2010). Therefore, the effects of the confounding factors need to be reduced using subjective methods such as questionnaires. Despite these challenges, the workload and recovery information obtained by HRV will be helpful in early identification and stress prevention, for example, in occupational health care settings.

CONCLUSION

Lowered HRV value is associated with occupational or job stress. Thus, analysis of HRV value can be used as an informative biological marker for objective value of stressors at a workplace. The information regarding work load and physical activities from workers is needed to identify early signs of occupational or job stress and would be helpful to prevent occupational or job stress. The length of time measuring or recording HRV depends on what information needs to be obtained, with short-term measurements as well as long-term measurements having their respective advantages and disadvantages. In short, HRV can be recommended for occupational physicians and company doctors to identify the core areas of work-related stress.
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