## THE COST OF SMS REMINDER TO IMPROVE ARV ADHERENCE AMONG KEY POPULATIONS

Analisis Biaya SMS Reminder untuk Meningkatkan Kepatuhan ARV Populasi Kunci

\*Mery Nurma Juwita<sup>©1</sup>, Donny Hardiawan<sup>1</sup>, Rozar Prawinegara<sup>2</sup>, Miasari Handayani<sup>2</sup>, Rudi Wisaksana<sup>2</sup>, Pande Putu Januraga<sup>3</sup>, Nurjannah Sulaiman<sup>4</sup>, Adiatma Siregar<sup>1,5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Economics and Development Studies, Department of Economics, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup>Research Center for Care and Control of Infectious Disease (RC3ID), Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup>Center for Public Health Innovation, Universitas Udayana, Denpasar, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup>Ministry of Health of Republic Indonesia, Jakarta, Indonesia

<sup>5</sup>Center for Health Technology Assessment, Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia <sup>6</sup>West Java Development Institute (INJABAR), Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia Correspondence\*

Address: Jl. Hayam Wuruk No.8, Citarum, Kec. Bandung Wetan, Bandung, Indonesia | email: merynurmajuwita1@gmail.com

#### Abstract

Background: HATI is an implementation trial aimed at improving HIV care and treatment, including through SMS reminders.. Aims: This study aimed to estimate the cost and analyze the outcomes of providing SMS Reminders within the HATI program in different settings.

Methods: Data were analyzed using a micro-costing approach from providers' and health systems' perspectives. Subjects were divided into intervention and control groups for outcome analysis, with adherence as the outcome indicator.

Results: From a provider's perspective, the highest cost was incurred in primary health care (PHC) clinics, while the lowest was in hospital clinics, most likely due to a much higher volume of SMS sent from hospitals. Costs from the health system perspective were more than two times higher than those from the provider perspective, as they included costs borne by HATI management and intervention setup. In one year, the number of patients with >95% adherent visits was higher in the intervention group than the control group, although visits decreased over time in both groups. The highest number of patients with >95% adherent visits was found in PHC clinics, while the lowest was in hospital clinics.

Conclusion: SMS reminders can be expensive initially due to setup and program costs. However, they become cheaper once they are embedded into the existing system. Further studies are necessary to determine better site options for scaling up SMS reminder intervention and to study the declining adherence rate in all clinics.

Keywords: Adherence, cost analysis, HIV, Indonesia, SMS reminder

#### Abstrak

Latar Belakang: Program HATI adalah sebuah program uji coba yang bertujuan meningkatkan pelayanan dan pengobatan HIV yang mencakup SMS reminder sebagai salah satu programnya.

Tujuan: Untuk mengestimasi biaya dan menganalisis luaran pelaksanaan SMS reminder pada program HATI di berbagai situasi. Metode: Data dianalisis menggunakan micro-costing dengan pendekatan penyedia layanan dan sistem kesehatan. Penelitian ini membagi pasien menjadi kelompok intervensi dan kontrol dengan kepatuhan sebagai indikator.

Hasil: Dari perspektif penyedia layanan, biaya setiap SMS terkirim tertinggi ditemui di level puskesmas dan terendah di level rumah sakit, karena jumlah SMS terkirim di rumah sakit lebih banyak. Biaya pada perspektif sistem kesehatan dua kali lipat lebih besar dibandingkan perspektif penyedia layanan, karena perspektif sistem kesehatan mencakup biaya pembentukan program HATI. Dalam setahun, jumlah pasien dengan tingkat kepatuhan >95% lebih tinggi pada kelompok intervensi dibanding kelompok kontrol, meskipun terjadi penurunan jumlah kepatuhan >95% seiring waktu pada keduanya. Jumlah kunjungan kepatuhan>95% tertinggi terdapat di Puskesmas, dan terendah di klinik rumah sakit.

Kesimpulan: Intervensi SMS reminder lebih mahal saat awal pelaksanaan karena biaya pembentukan program, namun akan lebih murah setelah program berjalan. Penelitian lebih lanjut diperlukan untuk menentukan pilihan lokasi yang lebih baik untuk meningkatkan intervensi SMS reminder dan meneliti tren penurunan tingkat kepatuhan secara keseluruhan sejalan dengan waktu.

Kata kunci: analisis biaya, HIV/AIDS, Indonesia, kepatuhan, SMS reminder



Indonesian Journal of Health Administration (Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)

Indonesian Journal of Health Administration (*Jurnal Administrasi Resentatan Indonesia*) p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301, Volume 12 No.2 2024, DOI: 10.2047/3/aki.v122.2024.238-249. Received: 2024-09-07, Revised: 2024-11-22, Accepted: 2024-11-28, Published: 2024-12-10. Published by Universitas Airlangga in collaboration with *Perhimpunan Sarjana dan Profesional Kesehatan Masyarakat Indonesia (Persakmi)*. Copyright (c) 2024 Mery Nurma Juwita, Donny Hardiawan, Rozar Prawinegara, Miasari Handayani, Rudi Wisaksana, Pande Putu Januraga, Nurjannah Sulaiman, Adiatma Siregar. This is an Open Access (OA) article under the CC BY-SA 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licens

Juwita, M. N., Hardiawan, D., Prawinegara, R., Handayani, M., Wisaksana, R., Januraga, P. P., Sulaiman, N., and Siregar, A. (2024). "The Cost of SMS Reminder to Improve ARV Adherence Among Key Populations." Indonesian Journal of Health Administration, 12(2), pp.238-249. doi: 10.20473/jaki.v12i2.2024.238-249.

#### Introduction

World Based on the Health Organization (WHO) Campaign, the 90-90-90 targets need to be achieved to end the AIDS epidemic in 2030. The targets correspond to 90% of people living with HIV (PLHIV) should know their HIV status, 90% of PLHIV who know their HIV status are undergoing antiretroviral treatment (ARV), and 90% of those who are taking ART have suppressed viral loads (World Health Organization, 2016). In Indonesia, the number of PLHIV has increased from 620,000 people in 2015 to 640,000 in 2018 (UNAIDS, 2019). Only around half of PLHIV are aware of their HIV status with only 17% of them taking ARV medication (UNAIDS, 2019), which potentially affect the achievement of the third target of PLHIV having suppressed viral loads (World Health Organization, 2016). Currently, Indonesia is still experiencing poor retention, with the loss to follow-up rate can be as high as 24% among key populations (Januraga et al., 2018; Rahmalia et al., 2019). This calls for a reform in current interventions to improve the adherence to ARV.

Adherence is one of the indicators to measure the success and effectiveness of ARV (Pop-Eleches et al., 2011; Rodrigues et al., 2012; Maduka and Tobin-west, 2013; Bezabhe et al., 2014; Azia, Mukumbang and Wvk. 2016). An adherence rate of less than 95% is considered poor, reflecting a regimen failure. However, the role of adherence rate in ensuring the success of ARV is influenced, among others, by social issues such as disclosure, adherence support, stigma, and discrimination (Wasti et al., 2012; Azia, Mukumbang and Wyk, 2016; Chirambo et al., 2019; Nurfalah, Yona and Waluyo, 2019). For instance, PLHIV who disclose their status and have support from their partner/spouse or family have a higher chance of better adherence (Bezabhe et al., 2014; Siregar, Pitriyan and Wisaksana, 2016; Gugsa et al., 2017). One of the supports to ensure adherence is mobile phone text (World Health Organization, 2016), such as SMS Reminder, as a form of a short and simple communication (Da Costa et al., 2012;

Ware *et al.*, 2016). SMS reminder has a lower possibility of hindrance as it can be sent virtually everywhere (Kaplan, 2006). This intervention has low cost (Da Costa *et al.*, 2012; Davey *et al.*, 2013), with a much lower cost than follow up calls (Lester *et al.*, 2010).

SMS intervention has been proven to be cost effective in improving the HIV treatment outcome (Patel et al., 2017). Studies have also shown that reminders in the form of mobile text may improve adherence to treatment and self-reported adherence, eventually leading to lower viral load and better CD4 cell count (Lester et al., 2010; Dowshen et al., 2012; Kinyua et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2013). In a limited resource and work hour settings, SMS reminder is considered to be more effective compared to follow up calls as well as follow up calls combined with mobile text, as one server can reach a large population in fewer working hours (Pop-Eleches et al., 2011; Da Costa et al., 2012; Bigna et al., 2014; Desouza et al., 2014; Linnemayr et al., 2017).

HIV Awal (Early) Testing and Treatment Indonesia (HATI) is an implementation trial aimed to improve the HIV care and treatment in Indonesia (Januraga et al., 2018). The evaluation of the program shows that the PLHIV in Indonesia needs support to ensure adherence to ARV, and SMS Reminder is preferred as one of the interventions (Januraga et al., 2018). However, the cost of providing SMS reminder has not been analyzed and, thus, determining the required budget to scale up the intervention is currently difficult. In fact, the cost of providing SMS reminder is rarely studied (Patel et al., 2017; Amankwaa et al., 2018), with only few studies presented the cost per SMS sent as per existing market price (Da Costa et al., 2012; Mbuagbaw et al., 2012).

This paper presents an economic analysis of providing SMS Reminder within the HATI program across various settings in several cities of Indonesia that are supported by the HATI program. Specifically, this study aimed to, first, estimate the cost of providing SMS reminder across settings within the HATI program, second, compare outcomes between the group receiving SMS reminder and the control group. The third objective of this study was to explore the costs and outcome of SMS reminder in various settings. To our knowledge, this study is the first to perform cost and outcome analysis for SMS reminder in the Indonesian settings, and provide the necessary inputs for advocacy and policy making in relation to developing policies to improve adherence to ART.

#### Method

#### Study settings and population

This study was conducted in four cities in Indonesia within the scope of HATI program, namely Bandung, Jakarta. Denpasar, and Yogyakarta. These areas have a relatively high number of keypopulations (i.e. populations with people with a high risk to be infected by HIV) (Januraga et al., 2018; Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia, 2019). Each city has a coordinating unit which collaborated with the local health care facilities and partner universities (Januraga et al., 2018), with satellite clinics in hospital's HIV/AIDS care units, PHCs, and HIV clinics. Patients in the HATI program were divided into two phases. Phase 1 consisted of patients registering to the program between September 2015 and December 2018, while phase 2 consisted of patients reaisterina between Januarv and December 2019.

The SMS reminder aims to increase the adherence rate of the key populations taking ART, i.e. man having sex with man (MSM), female sex worker (FSW), transgender (TG), and people who inject drugs (PWID). The key population/s recruited in respective cities differed. Jakarta only recruited PWID; Denpasar and Yogyakarta recruited MSM, FSW, and TG; and Bandung recruited all types of key population. Participants who were eligible to be included in the SMS reminder group were HATI program's patients who collected ARV in the corresponding clinics that provided SMS Reminder. In addition, patients must own a mobile phone and agreed to join the SMS reminder intervention. HATI program's patients who declined to join SMS reminder program and did not have a mobile phone were excluded from the intervention.

#### Data collection and cost estimation

Data collected were the costs of SMS reminders, which were divided into capital and recurrent costs. Capital cost is capital assets used over time where, for this study, the value was annualized using a discount rate of 3% (Drummond *et al.*, 2015). Capital cost consists of training and workshop, building, and equipment required by the intervention (e.g. rooms and computer tablets to send SMS reminders). Data on the building and equipment costs were obtained through interviews, HATI program data, and assumptions.

Recurrent cost consists of yearly supplies, personnel salary, and incentive per SMS sent (for SMS operator in respective clinics). Yearly supplies include domain fee, top-up credit, and form printing cost. The salary was allocated for staff involved in health care and HATI management in each city. The salary data were obtained through interviews, clinical records, HATI data, national standards, and assumptions.

All costs were collected in Rupiah and converted to US\$ using the 2019 exchange rate (Rp 14,236.94/US\$) (Bank Indonesia, 2020). All resources used jointly between interventions under HATI program were assumed to have proportional share of use, in line with the number of existing interventions. Data were analyzed using the micro-costing approach, whenever possible, and Microsoft Excel was used for calculation. We used provider and health system perspectives in the analysis. The provider perspective calculated specific costs borne by respective health care facilities. Health system perspective calculated the costs incurred by interventions stemming from provider perspective, HATI program management, and other sources of funding (Afriandi et al., 2010; Drummond et al., 2015).

#### Outcome analysis

In the outcome analysis, patients were divided into two groups: intervention group/joining SMS reminder intervention (324 patients) and control group/not joining the intervention (430 patients). We treated adherence as the outcome indicator based on HATI program database. Adherence rate data of HATI program's patients were collected within a year after joining SMS reminder of HATI program (2019), and these data were compared to those of patients within the HATI program who did not join the SMS reminder intervention (Lester et al., 2010; Hardy et al., 2011; Dowshen et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2013; Garofalo et al., 2016; Abdulrahman et al., 2017). The adherence rate data was defined as the self-reported ARV drug consumption, and were divided into two groups: >95% (adherent) and ≤95% (nonadherent). Adherence was reflected by the amount of ARV drugs consumed in time of visit. Thus, patients who came to a HATI program partner clinic to collect their ARV drugs and had consumed all previously collected ARV drugs were considered to be adherent or >95% adherent (Unge *et al.*, 2010; Maduka and Tobin-west, 2013; Bezabhe *et al.*, 2016; Semvua *et al.*, 2017).

In this study, we observed the adherence rate as the number of patients with >95% adherent visits for both intervention and control groups (ideally. there should be six >95% adherent visits in a six-month period). The adherence rate of the two groups were then compared and the mean difference test was performed to identify whether there was a significant difference in terms of the average number of >95% adherent visits between the two groups within the period of six and twelve months. Additionally, the reasons underlining patients' decision to not joining the intervention were also explored.

| Variables       |                     | Control<br>(n=430) | Intervention<br>(n=324) | Sig  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------|
| Age, mean years |                     | 29.8 (8.5)         | 28.4 (7.1)              | 0.03 |
| Gender          | Male                | 384 (89)           | 276 (85)                | 0.03 |
|                 | Female              | 38 (9)             | 46 (14)                 |      |
|                 | Transgender         | 8 (2)              | 2 (1)                   |      |
| Type of cities  | Denpasar            | 62 (14)            | 106 (33)                | 0.00 |
|                 | Bandung             | 148 (34)           | 153 (47)                |      |
|                 | Yogyakarta          | 214 (50)           | 61 (19)                 |      |
|                 | Jakarta             | 6 (1)              | 4 (1)                   |      |
| Education       | Primary School      | 21 (5)             | 26 (8)                  | 0.32 |
|                 | Junior High School  | 43 (10)            | 34 (11)                 |      |
|                 | Senior High School  | 241 (56)           | 169 (52)                |      |
|                 | University          | 125 (29)           | 94 (29)                 |      |
| Occupation      | Unemployed          | 113 (26)           | 78 (24)                 | 0.51 |
|                 | Employed            | 317 (74)           | 245 (76)                |      |
| Marital Status  | Never Married       | 352 (82)           | 262 (81)                | 0.17 |
|                 | Divorced/widowed    | 34 (8)             | 38 (12)                 |      |
|                 | Currently Married   | 43 (10)            | 22 (7)                  |      |
|                 | Living with partner | 1 (0)              | 1 (0)                   |      |
| Type of clinics | Hospital            | 122 (28)           | 167 (51)                | 0.00 |
| <i>.</i>        | PHĊ                 | 179 (42)           | 56 (17)                 |      |
|                 | HIV Clinic          | 129 (30)           | 101 (31)                |      |

| Table 1 Socio-demographic characteristics | Table 1 | Socio-dem | ographic | characteristics |
|---|---------|-----------|----------|-----------------|
|---|---------|-----------|----------|-----------------|

|  | Table 2 Yearl | v cost of SMS reminder ( | (in US\$, using 2019 exchange rate) |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|--|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

|                               | Jakarta | Denpasar   |        | Yogyakarta  |          | Bandung  |        |               |
|-------------------------------|---------|------------|--------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|---------------|
| Type of Cost                  | PHC     | HIV Clinic | PHC    | Hospital    | PHC      | Hospital | PHC    | HIV<br>Clinic |
| Provider Perspective          |         |            |        |             |          |          |        |               |
| RECURRENT COSTS               |         |            |        |             |          |          |        |               |
| Personnel                     | 1.83    | 18.44      | 11.88  | 21.51       | 7.36     | 33.58    | 1.78   | 1.08          |
| Supplies                      | 11.39   | 212.21     | 73.47  | 79.34       | 85.80    | 670.52   | 14.94  | 17.07         |
| Incentive for SMS<br>operator | 23.53   | 241.98     | 84.29  | 140.13      | 116.25   | 583.34   | 21.07  | 33.36         |
| Sub-total                     | 36.75   | 472.63     | 169.64 | 240.98      | 209.40   | 1,287.44 | 37.79  | 51.52         |
| CAPITAL COSTS                 | 00.70   |            | 100.01 | 2 /0.00     | 200.10   | 1,20111  | 01110  | 01.02         |
| Training & workshop           | 1.98    | 11.60      | -      | 0.99        | 1.98     | 2.97     | 0.99   | 0.99          |
| Building/ space               | 9.83    | 1.18       | 4.14   | 57.87       | 114.33   | 8.18     | 0.21   | 0.00          |
| Equipment                     | 4.80    | 39.21      | 132.10 | 21.25       | 58.40    | 189.27   | 56.83  | 94.12         |
| Sub-total                     | 16.61   | 51.99      | 136.24 | 80.11       | 174.71   | 200.43   | 58.02  | 95.12         |
| TOTAL COSTS                   | 53.36   | 524.62     | 305.88 | 321.09      | 384.11   | 1,487.86 | 95.81  | 146.64        |
| Unit cost/Patient per         | 10.67   | 5.64       | 15.29  | 12.84       | 12       | 10.19    | 13.69  | 18.33         |
| year                          |         |            |        |             |          |          |        |               |
| Unit cost/SMS sent            | 0.94    | 1.04       | 1.53   | 0.94        | 1.44     | 0.96     | 2.08   | 1.83          |
| Total Patients                | 5       | 93         | 20     | 29          | 32       | 146      | 7      | 8             |
| Total SMS Sent                | 57      | 503        | 200    | 341         | 267      | 1553     | 46     | 80            |
| Average SMS Sent              | 11      | 5          | 10     | 12          | 8        | 11       | 7      | 10            |
| Health System Perspe          | ctive   |            |        |             |          |          |        |               |
| RECURRENT COSTS               | 047.00  | 0 1 10 70  | 454.05 | 4 4 5 0 7 0 | 4 450 55 | 0 000 04 | 101.11 | 047.50        |
| Personnel                     | 217.88  | 2,149.78   | 454.85 | 1,153.70    | 1,456.55 | 3,983.21 | 191.14 | 217.50        |
| Supplies<br>Incentive for SMS | 11.39   | 212.21     | 73.47  | 79.34       | 85.80    | 670.52   | 14.94  | 17.07         |
| operator                      | 23.53   | 241.98     | 84.29  | 140.13      | 116.25   | 583.34   | 21.07  | 33.36         |
| Sub-total                     | 252.81  | 2,603.97   | 612.61 | 1,373.16    | 1,658.60 | 5,237.07 | 227.15 | 267.94        |
| CAPITAL COSTS                 | 202.01  | 2,000.01   | 012.01 | 1,070.10    | 1,000.00 | 0,201.01 | 227.10 | 201.01        |
| Training & workshop           | 1.98    | 11.60      | -      | 0.99        | 1.98     | 2.97     | 0.99   | 0.99          |
| Building/ space               | 35.32   | 84.03      | 18.40  | 57.87       | 183.17   | 447.54   | 21.27  | 24.08         |
| Equipment                     | 9.53    | 68.21      | 168.27 | 21.25       | 71.16    | 286.00   | 61.46  | 99.42         |
| Sub-total                     | 46.83   | 163.83     | 199.99 | 80.11       | 256.31   | 736.51   | 83.73  | 124.49        |
| TOTAL COSTS                   | 299.64  | 2,767.81   | 799.27 | 1,453.27    | 1,914.90 | 5,973.58 | 310.88 | 392.43        |
| Unit cost/patient per<br>vear | 59.93   | 29.76      | 39.97  | 58.13       | 59.84    | 40.91    | 44.41  | 49.05         |
| Unit cost/SMS                 | 5.26    | 5.50       | 4.00   | 4.26        | 7.17     | 3.85     | 6.76   | 4.91          |

| Table 3 The number of >95% adherent visits of patients in control (ctrl) and intervent | ion (int) |
|--|-----------|
| aroups   |           |

| 1 - 6 months, n (s.d) |   |  | 7 - 12 months, n (s.d)                                |  |  | 12 months, n (s.d)                                    |   |   |
|-----------------------|---|--|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| Int                   | Ctrl  | р  | Int   | Ctrl   | р  | Int   | Ctrl  | р   |
|                       |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |
| 4.3(2.2)              | 4.3(1.2)  | 0.95   | 4.0(2.7)  | 3.5(2.8)   | 0.79   | 8.3 (4.9)   | 7.8(3.9)  | 0.89  |
|                       |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |
| 3.9(2.3)              | 3.7(2.2)  | 0.58   | 2.8(2.4)  | 2.7(2.4)   | 0.80   | 6.7 (4.4)   | 6.4(4.3)  | 0.67  |
| 5.0(1.5)              | 2.6(2.8)  | 0.13   | 3.6(2.0)  | 1.6(2.3)   | 0.13   | 8.6 (3.2)   | 4.2(5.0)  | 0.12  |
|                       |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |
| 3.4(1.8)              | 3.4(2.0)  | 0.97   | 3.5(2.1)  | 3.1(2.2)   | 0.44   | 7.0 (3.6)   | 6.6(3.9)  | 0.67  |
| 4.2(1.8)              | 3.6(2.2)  | 0.09*  | 3.3(2.2)  | 3.2(2.2)   | 0.84   | 7.5 (3.6)   | 6.8(4.2)  | 0.34  |
|                       |   |  |   |  |  |   |   |   |
| 4.4(1.9)              | 3.5(2.3)  | 0.00***  | 3.7(2.2)  | 3.3(2.3)   | 0.19   | 8.1 (3.8)   | 6.7(4.2)  | 0.02**  |
| 5.6(0.5)              | 3.9(2.0)  | 0.00***  | 4.6(0.5)  | 3.2(2.0)   | 0.01**   | 10.2(0.8)   | 7.0(3.7)  | 0.00***   |
| 4.7(1.7)              | 2.5(2.0)  | 0.01**   | 4.7(1.9)  | 3.4(2.2)   | 0.09*  | 9.3 (3.4)   | 5.9(3.6)  | 0.02**  |
|                       | Int<br>4.3(2.2)<br>3.9(2.3)<br>5.0(1.5)<br>3.4(1.8)<br>4.2(1.8)<br>4.4(1.9)<br>5.6(0.5) | Int         Ctrl           4.3(2.2)         4.3(1.2)           3.9(2.3)         3.7(2.2)           5.0(1.5)         2.6(2.8)           3.4(1.8)         3.4(2.0)           4.2(1.8)         3.6(2.2)           4.4(1.9)         3.5(2.3)           5.6(0.5)         3.9(2.0)           4.7(1.7)         2.5(2.0) | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | IntCtrlpInt $4.3(2.2)$ $4.3(1.2)$ $0.95$ $4.0(2.7)$ $3.9(2.3)$ $3.7(2.2)$ $0.58$ $2.8(2.4)$ $5.0(1.5)$ $2.6(2.8)$ $0.13$ $3.6(2.0)$ $3.4(1.8)$ $3.4(2.0)$ $0.97$ $3.5(2.1)$ $4.2(1.8)$ $3.6(2.2)$ $0.09^*$ $3.3(2.2)$ $4.4(1.9)$ $3.5(2.3)$ $0.00^{***}$ $3.7(2.2)$ $5.6(0.5)$ $3.9(2.0)$ $0.00^{***}$ $4.6(0.5)$ $4.7(1.7)$ $2.5(2.0)$ $0.01^{**}$ $4.7(1.9)$ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | IntCtrlpIntCtrlpInt $4.3(2.2)$ $4.3(1.2)$ $0.95$ $4.0(2.7)$ $3.5(2.8)$ $0.79$ $8.3$ (4.9) $3.9(2.3)$ $3.7(2.2)$ $0.58$ $2.8(2.4)$ $2.7(2.4)$ $0.80$ $6.7$ (4.4) $5.0(1.5)$ $2.6(2.8)$ $0.13$ $3.6(2.0)$ $1.6(2.3)$ $0.13$ $8.6$ (3.2) $3.4(1.8)$ $3.4(2.0)$ $0.97$ $3.5(2.1)$ $3.1(2.2)$ $0.44$ $7.0$ (3.6) $4.2(1.8)$ $3.6(2.2)$ $0.09^*$ $3.3(2.2)$ $3.2(2.2)$ $0.84$ $7.5$ (3.6) $4.4(1.9)$ $3.5(2.3)$ $0.00^{***}$ $3.7(2.2)$ $3.3(2.3)$ $0.19$ $8.1$ (3.8) $5.6(0.5)$ $3.9(2.0)$ $0.00^{***}$ $4.6(0.5)$ $3.2(2.0)$ $0.01^{**}$ $10.2(0.8)$ $4.7(1.7)$ $2.5(2.0)$ $0.01^{**}$ $4.7(1.9)$ $3.4(2.2)$ $0.09^*$ $9.3$ (3.4) | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

\* p < 0.1, \*\* p < 0.05, \*\*\* p < 0.01

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Patient characteristics

The mean age of control and intervention groups was 30 and 28 years old, respectively. The majority of patients were male, employed, and not married (Table 1). There were significant differences between the control and intervention groups in terms of age (p = .03), gender (p = .03), city (p = .00), and type of clinic visited (p=.00).

# Number of patients and SMS sent per clinic

The highest number of patients receiving SMS reminders was in Bandung since it has three hospitals with ARV that provide services to all types of key populations. Jakarta, on the other hand, had the lowest number of SMS reminders sent. On average, SMS was sent once per week for 12 months to remind patients to adhere to their follow-up visits, totaling to 3,047 SMS sent in a year.

SMS reminders sent per patient vary significantly across different clinic types and regions. In Jakarta, PHC clinics averaged 11 SMS reminders per patient, potentially indicating a focused patient engagement strategy. Denpasar's HIV Clinic had a lower average of five (5) SMS per patient, whereas its PHC clinic sent ten (10) reminders per patient. In Yogvakarta. hospitals averaged twelve (12) SMS reminders per patient, while PHC clinics averaged eight (8). Bandung's hospital reported eleven (11) SMS per patient, with lower averages in its PHC (7) and HIV clinics (10). Overall, hospitals and HIV clinics exhibited higher patient volumes and SMS activity compared to PHC (5-12 per patient), suggesting a variability in the SMS intervention across clinics and regions.

Some patients have asked to stop joining the SMS Reminder given the following reasons: referred to others facilities (6.5%), felt that they do not need the reminder (4.9%), lost to follow up (3.7%), felt disturbed by SMS reminder (2.1%), technical problem (0.3%), or died (1.9%).

#### Cost of SMS Reminders

Table 2 presents the cost of providing SMS reminder from provider and health system perspectives divided into per patient and per SMS sent by type of clinic. It is understandably more than two times higher than the provider perspective cost estimate as it includes costs borne by the HATI program (e.g. HATI program management and intervention setup costs).

#### Outcomes

Table 3 summarizes the number of >95% adherent visits among control and intervention groups from different clinics and cities. In a year, generally higher adherence rate in both groups is found in Bandung. The number of >95% adherent visits is higher in the intervention group compared to the control group, and significance difference between the two groups are mostly found in Bandung clinics within six and twelve months period.

#### Discussion

To our knowledge, this paper is the first to estimate the comparison of the cost of providing SMS reminder to PLHIV in different cities and clinics in Indonesia. The cost per SMS sent is consistently higher in PHC across clinics, while, on the other hand, the average number of >95% adherent visits per patient is also higher in PHC. Based on the findings of this study, the following observations prevail.

First, our estimates from the provider perspective (Table 2) range from US\$0.94 to US\$2.08 per SMS sent and US\$5.64 to US\$18.33 per patient per year. This is comparable to a study in Kenya that shows the cost per patient per year to be US\$15 from the provider perspective (Patel et al., 2017). In contrast, the cost estimates using the health system perspective are relatively higher, ranging from US\$3.85 to US\$7.17 per SMS sent and US\$29.76 to US\$59.93 per patient per year. In most settings in our study, this is more than two times higher than the estimates from provider perspective. The most notable difference between the provide and health system perspectives estimates is the personnel cost. From the health system perspective, personnel costs stemming from HATI

program management staff are included in the analysis, thus significantly increases the total and unit costs. As the intervention was newly introduced in these settings, these personnel costs are understandably needed to start and manage the After intervention. the intervention is established and runs independently by respective clinics, the HATI management staff costs can be excluded and the estimate from the provider perspective should be used instead. Our cost analyses from the two perspectives show that SMS reminder can be relatively expensive in the beginning, but the cost should potentially decrease substantially as the intervention is established and embedded into the existing system in the clinics, showing a potential economies of scale (Case, Fair and Oster, 2014).

Second, the outcome analysis in this study has shown that in most settings the patients in intervention groups have more >95% adherent visits than their counterparts. Although we did not perform specific effectiveness or cost-effectiveness analyses, our findings may indicate potential benefits of patients joining the SMS intervention as compared to those who do not. Studies have shown that scheduled mobile phone text-messaging reminders are effective in increasing adherence to ARV (Amankwaa et al., 2018). Furthermore, in light of test and treat approach. SMS reminder is recognized as a cost-effective intervention (Patel et al., 2017). Our outcome analysis has shown potentially similar trends despite the need for further studies. However, it is important to note that, almost in all sites the number of >95% adherent visits within the six- and twelve-months period tend to be decreasing. Such a trend is also found by another study in Uganda and requires further exploration to determine the specific settings in which the SMS reminder will remain beneficial (Linnemayr et al., 2017). Further studies exploring this crucial trend are required as it seems that, in Indonesia, the benefits of SMS reminder in terms of ensuring the adherence rate is also waning overtime. This becomes even more important since another study under HATI program has shown that patients having better adherence show lower viral load, potentially reducing the risk of HIV transmission (Mbuagbaw *et al.*, 2012).

Third, we also showed that the PHC has the highest >95% adherent visits compared to other types of clinic in the same city. However, the lowest cost per SMS sent from the provider perspective is found in hospitals, which is most likely due to the higher number of SMS sent in the hospitals compared to other settings. In fact, the highest cost per SMS sent can be found consistently at PHC, consistent with the relatively lower number of SMS sent in each city compared to the other type of clinics. As such, it seems that although patients joining SMS reminder in the PHC have higher >95% adherent visits, the lowest cost per SMS sent is found at the hospitals where the monthly visit is relatively lower to other types of clinic in the same city. Unfortunately, we currently cannot find other research exploring the most effective type of clinic to provide SMS reminder. Further studies are required to identify the reasons underlying the lower number of SMS sent in PHC and the lower number of >95% adherent visits in hospitals. This aspect is important especially if the SMS reminder is going to be scaled up to more PHCs in different regions.

Lastly, from the provider perspective, implementing SMS reminder interventions for 640.000 PLHIV in Indonesia would cost between USD 7,219,200 USD to 15,974,400 annually, or between USD 29,568,000 to USD 55,065,600 from health system perspective. Although this amount seems high, the potential benefits far outweigh the costs. Our findings indicate that PLHIV who receive SMS reminders exhibit higher adherence levels. This may positively affects their health outcomes, particularly in terms of their CD4 cell counts (Dowshen et al., 2012; Lewis et al., 2013). In the absence of SMS reminders, lower adherence rates potentially results in poorer CD4 cell count among PLHIV. According to previous studies, if 640,000 PLHIV have CD4 cell counts below 350, the annual cost of ARV treatment would be approximately USD 380,284,800. In contrast, the annual cost of ARV treatment

for those with CD4 cell counts above 350 is significantly lower, at USD 379,692,800 (Siregar *et al.*, 2015; Vadra *et al.*, 2022). These results suggest that, from the health system perspective, implementing SMS reminders is 7–13 times cheaper compared to ARV treatment costs for those with CD 4 cell count under 350, potentially showing the usefulness of SMS reminders.

This study has a few limitations. First. did not perform specific costswe effectiveness or effectiveness analysis. As such, we cannot specifically ascertain that the change in outcome within our analysis is due to the SMS reminder alone. HATI implements program also other interventions improve the ART to adherence rate, and each may contribute to adherence rate. Moreover. the the adherence to ART is influenced by other factors like discrimination and stigma, in which different levels of stigma negatively affected the level of adherence (Wasti et al., 2012; Azia, Mukumbang and Wyk, 2016; Chirambo et al., 2019; Nurfalah, Yona and Waluyo, 2019). Our study does not capture these factors in spite of the fact that they could influence the adherence rate of HATI program's patients. Nevertheless, our study provides intuitive findings which may, to some extent, show the benefits (and limitations) of SMS reminder. Further cost effectiveness or effectiveness study should be conducted to provide more accurate estimates on the effectiveness of SMS reminder.

Second, our analysis is limited to the HATI program settings, which mostly concentrate in cities in Java and Bali islands. We did not explore the costs and outcome of SMS reminder in other regions in Indonesia, which may exhibit different costs and outcome. Despite this limitation, our framework can be implemented to these other settings to produce similar analysis and compare the results afterwards.

### Conclusion

This study shows that SMS reminder may initially be expensive, but will be cheaper once the intervention has been established and embedded into the existing system of the implementing clinics. As for the cost and outcome analysis, we obtained mixed results. The PHC has the highest adherence rate, but with the highest unit cost per SMS sent. In contrast, the hospital has the lowest adherence rate, albeit with the lowest unit cost per SMS sent. As such, further studies are required to determine better site options to scale up SMS Furthermore. reminder. the overall declining trend of the adherence rate in all clinics should be further studied as it will determine the continuation and effectiveness of the SMS reminder intervention.

### Abbreviations

WHO: World Health Organization; HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus; AIDS: Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome; PLHIV: People Living with HIV; ARV: Antiretroviral Treatment: SMS Reminder: Short Message System Reminder; HATI: HIV Awal (early) Testing and Treatment Indonesia; MSM: Man having Sex with Man; FSW: Female Sex Worker; TG: transgender; PWID: People Who Inject Drugs; PHC: Primary Health Care.

### Declarations

### Ethics Approval and Consent Participant

We received ethical approval from the ethical committee within the correspondence institution through the ethical clearance number 820/UN6.KEP/EC/2019.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

#### Availability of Data and Materials Not applicable.

#### Authors' Contribution

MNJ provided data analysis, examined data analysis results, and led the writing process. DH collected data and provided data analysis, as well as contributed to the writing. RZ assisted in the study design, analyzed data, and contributed to the writing. MH, RW, PPJ, and NS provided data and gave critical intellectual feedback to help revise the manuscript. AYMS examined data analysis and construct the study framework as well as assisted in finalizing the manuscript writing process. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Funding Source**

Kirby Institute, UNSW, Australia.

#### Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Australian **Government Department of Foreign Affairs** and Trade, WHO, and the Indonesian Government. We would like to thank Yanri WS and Evi Sukmaningrum as PI of HATI program for welcoming and assisting the research team. We thank Tri Mulyani as data hub manager of HATI program for facilitating the web server of SMS Reminder for this study. We also thank the staff and patients within HATI program and partner institutions for their cooperation and support. Finally, we thank Jorghi Vadra. Dita Khadijah, Fitra FH, and Malana KI from Universitas Padiadiaran for their support in data collection.

### References

- Abdulrahman, S.A. *et al.* (2017) 'Mobile Phone Reminders and Peer Counseling Improve Adherence and Treatment Outcomes of Patients on Art in Malaysia: A Randomized Clinical Trial', *PLoS ONE*, 12(5), pp. 1–17. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0177698.
- Afriandi, I. et al. (2010) 'Costs of Hospital-Based Methadone Maintenance Treatment in HIV/AIDS Control Among Injecting Drug Users in Indonesia', Tropical Medicine & International Health, 95(1), pp. 69-73. Available at. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.20 09.11.003.
- Amankwaa, I. *et al.* (2018) 'Effectiveness of short message services and voice

call interventions for antiretroviral therapy adherence and other outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis', *PLoS ONE*, 13(9), pp. 1–20. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0204091.

- Azia, I.N., Mukumbang, F.C. and Wyk, B.V. (2016) 'Barriers to adherence to antiretroviral treatment in a regional hospital in Vredenburg, Western Cape, South Africa', *Southern African Journal of HIV Medicine*, pp. 1–8. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4102%2Fsajhivme d.v17i1.476.
- Bank Indonesia (2020) 'Official exchange rate'.
- Bezabhe, W.M. *et al.* (2016) 'Antiretroviral adherence and treatment outcomes among adult Ethiopian patients', *AIDS Care - Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*, 28(8), pp. 1018–1022. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.20 16.1139039.
- Bigna, J.J.R. et al. (2014) 'Effect of mobile phone reminders on follow-up medical care of children exposed to or infected with HIV in Cameroon ( MORE CARE ): a multicentre , single-, factorial , randomised blind controlled trial', The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 14(7), pp. 600-608. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(14)70741-8.
- Case, K.E., Fair, R.C. and Oster, S.M. (2014) *Principles of economics*. 11. ed., global edition. Boston Munich: Pearson (Always learning).
- Chirambo, L. *et al.* (2019) 'Factors influencing adherence to antiretroviral treatment among adults accessing care from private health facilities in Malawi', *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), pp. 1–11. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7768-z.

- Da Costa, T.M. et al. (2012) 'Results of a randomized controlled trial to assess the effects of a mobile SMS-based intervention on treatment adherence in **HIV/AIDS-infected** Brazilian and impressions women and satisfaction with respect to incoming messages', International Journal of Medical Informatics, 81(4), pp. 257-Available 269. at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.201 1.10.002.
- Davey, D.J. *et al.* (2013) 'Evaluating Sms Reminders in Improving Art and Pmtct Adherence in Mozambique: Challenges in Acheiving Scale', *IET* [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1049/cp.2012.1466
- Desouza, S.I. *et al.* (2014) 'Mobile Phones: The Next Step towards Healthcare Delivery in Rural India ?', *PLoS ONE*, 9(8), pp. 1–9. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0104895.
- Dowshen, N. *et al.* (2012) 'Improving Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy for Youth Living with HIV / AIDS : A Pilot Study Using Personalized , Interactive , Daily Text Message Reminders Corresponding Author':, *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 14(2), pp. 1–8. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.2015.
- Drummond, M.F.; et al. (2015) Methods for the Economic Evaluation of Health Care Programmes. Fourth Edi, Oxford University Press. Fourth Edi. Oxford.
- Garofalo, R. *et al.* (2016) 'A Randomized Controlled Trial of Personalized Text Message Reminders to Promote Medication Adherence Among HIV-Positive Adolescents and Young Adults', *AIDS and Behavior*, 20(5), pp. 1049–1059. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10461-015-1192-x.
- Gugsa, S. *et al.* (2017) 'Exploring factors associated with ART adherence and

retention in care under Option B + strategy in Malawi: A qualitative study', *PLOS ONE*, pp. 1–19. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0179838.

Hardy, H. *et al.* (2011) 'Randomized controlled trial of a personalized cellular phone reminder system to enhance adherence to antiretroviral therapy', *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, 25(3), pp. 153–161. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1089/apc.2010.000 6.

- Huang, D. *et al.* (2013) 'Effects of a phone call intervention to promote adherence to antiretroviral therapy and quality of life of HIV/AIDS patients in baoshan, China: A randomized controlled trial', *AIDS Research and Treatment*, 2013. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/580974.
- Januraga, P.P. *et al.* (2018) 'The cascade of HIV care among key populations in Indonesia : a prospective cohort study', *The Lancet HIV*, 5(10), pp. e560–e568. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-3018(18)30148-6.
- Kaplan, W.A. (2006) 'Globalization and Health Can the ubiquitous power of mobile phones be used to improve health outcomes in developing countries?', *BMC*, 14, pp. 1–14. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/1744-8603-2-9.
- Kinyua, F. *et al.* (2013) 'Perceptions of HIV infected patients on the use of cell phone as a tool to support their antiretroviral adherence; a crosssectional study in a large referral hospital in Kenya', *BMC Public Health* [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-987.
- Lester, R.T. *et al.* (2010) 'Effects of a mobile phone short message service on

antiretroviral treatment adherence in Kenya (WelTel Kenya1): A randomised trial', *The Lancet*, 376(9755), pp. 1838–1845. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)61997-6.

- Lewis, M.A. *et al.* (2013) 'Tailored Text Messaging Intervention for HIV Adherence: A Proof-of-Concept Study', *Health Psychology*, 32(3), pp. 248–253. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028109.
- Linnemayr, S. *et al.* (2017) 'Text Messaging for Improving Antiretroviral Therapy Adherence : No Effects After 1 Year in a Randomized Controlled Trial Among Adolescents and Young Adults', *AJPH Research*, 107(12), pp. 1944–1951. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2017.3 04089.
- Maduka, O. and Tobin-west, C.I. (2013) 'Adherence counseling and reminder text messages improve uptake of antiretroviral therapy in a tertiary hospital in Nigeria', *Nigerian Journal* of *Clinical Practice*, 16(3). Available at: https://doi.org/10.4103/1119-3077.113451.
- Mbuagbaw, L. *et al.* (2012) 'The Cameroon Mobile Phone SMS (CAMPS) Trial: A Randomized Trial of Text Messaging versus Usual Care for Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy', *PLoS ONE*, 7(12), pp. 6–13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0046909.
- Ministry of Health of the Republic of Indonesia (2019) *Survei Terpadu Biologis dan Perilaku, Kementerian Kesehatan.* (9). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107 415324.004.
- Nurfalah, F., Yona, S. and Waluyo, A. (2019) 'The relationship between HIV stigma and adherence to antiretroviral (ARV) drug therapy among women with HIV in Lampung, Indonesia', *Enfermería Clínica*, 29, pp. 234–237. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enfcli.2019.0 4.138.

Patel, A.R. *et al.* (2017) 'Economic evaluation of mobile phone text message interventions to improve adherence to HIV therapy in Kenya', *Medicine*, 96(7), p. e6078. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000 000006078.

- Pop-Eleches, C. *et al.* (2011) 'Mobile phone technologies improve adherence to antiretroviral treatment in a resourcelimited setting: a randomized controlled trial of text message reminders', *AIDS, Author Manuscript*, 47(6), pp. 62–68. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.0b013e 32834380c1.Mobile.
- Rahmalia, A. *et al.* (2019) 'Are there differences in HIV retention in care between female and male patients in Indonesia? A multi-state analysis of a retrospective cohort study', *PLOS ONE*. Edited by M. Yotebieng, 14(6), p. e0218781. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0218781.
- Rodrigues, R. *et al.* (2012) 'Supporting Adherence to Antiretroviral Therapy with Mobile Phone Reminders : Results from a Cohort in South India', *PLOS ONE*, 7(8), pp. 1–7. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0040723.

- Semvua, S.K. *et al.* (2017) 'Predictors of non-adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV infected patients in northern Tanzania', *PLoS ONE*, 12(12), pp. 1–17. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0189460.
- Siregar, A.Y.M. *et al.* (2015) 'Costs of HIV/AIDS treatment in Indonesia by time of treatment and stage of disease', *BMC Health Services Research*, 15(1), pp. 1–12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-1098-3.

Siregar, A.Y.M., Pitriyan, P. and Wisaksana, R. (2016) 'HIV Patients Drop Out in Indonesia: Associated Factors and Potential Productivity Loss | Siregar | Acta Medica Indonesiana', *Acta Medica Indonesiana*, 48(3). Available at:

https://www.actamedindones.org/ind ex.php/ijim/article/view/199.

- Suryana, K., Suharsono, H. and Antara, I.G.P.J. (2019) 'Factors associated with adherence to anti-retroviral therapy among people living with hiv/aids at wangaya hospital in denpasar, bali, indonesia: A crosssectional study', *HIV/AIDS* -*Research and Palliative Care*, 11, pp. 307–312. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2147/HIV.S219695.
- UNAIDS (2019) *Data 2019*. Available at: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/ documents/2019/2019-UNAIDSdata.
- (2010) Unge, C. al. 'Long-term et adherence to antiretroviral treatment and program drop-out in a high-risk urban setting in sub-Saharan Africa: A prospective cohort study', PLoS ONE. 5(10). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0013613.

Vadra, J. *et al.* (2023) 'The cost of providing hospital-based (early) antiretroviral treatment in Indonesia: what has changed in almost a decade?', *AIDS Care*, 35(1), pp. 131–138. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.20

https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.20 22.2113758.

- Ware, N.C. *et al.* (2016) 'The Meanings in the messages : how SMS reminders and real-time adherence monitoring improve antiretroviral therapy adherence in rural Uganda', *Wolters Kluwer Health*, (November 2015), pp. 1287–1293. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/QAD.000000 0000001035.
- Wasti, S.P. *et al.* (2012) 'Barriers to and facilitators of antiretroviral therapy adherence in Nepal: A qualitative study', *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*, 30(4), pp. 410–419. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3329/jhpn.v30i4.13 294.
- World Health Organization (2016) Consolidated Guidelines on the Use of Antiretroviral Drugs for Treating and Preventing HIV Infection, WHO.
  2, pp. 87–93. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/00022744-199706000-00003.