(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia) p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301 €0 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

SPATIAL ANALYSIS FOR MICROPLANNING TO ADDRESS IMMUNIZATION INEQUALITIES IN INDONESIA

Analisis Spasial terhadap Perencanaan Mikro untuk Mengurangi Ketimpangan Cakupan Imunisasi di Indonesia

*Erni Astutik^{®1,5}, Arief Hargono^{1,3}, Kurnia Dwi Artanti^{1,3}, Atik Choirul Hidajah^{1,3}, Zida Husnina^{2,6}, Siti Shofiya Novita Sari^{1,3,4}, R. Vensya Sitohang⁷, Asik Surya⁸, Ratna Budi Hapsari⁸, Marta Feletto⁹

¹Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics, Population Studies, and Health Promotion, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

²Department of Environmental Health, Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

Address: Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C Mulyorejo, Surabaya, Indonesia | email: erniastutik@fkm.unair.ac.id

Abstract

Background: To achieve high and equitable immunization coverage, it is important to understand the access and utilization barriers, as well as the influencing determinants among population groups.

Aims: This study aims to identify high-risk regencies and explore the application of spatial analysis to support microplanning in immunization programs.

Methods: This study employed an implementation research design conducted in Aceh Province, Indonesia. Secondary datasets on immunization coverage, health human resources, facilities, and socio-economic parameters were analyzed. Focus group discussions (FGDs) and training sessions were conducted with health workers.

Results: The average coverage of universal child immunization (UCI) across villages was 24.18%, while complete basic immunization (CBI) reached 55.85%. In general, regencies with low UCI and CBI often had limited human resources, inadequate health facilities, and a high proportion of high-risk populations. This study identified hot spots and cold spots in the study area. Additionally, participants reported that mapping using the application was easier and beneficial for supporting the preparation of immunization micro-planning.

Conclusion: Spatial analysis can help address inequalities in immunization services and support resources during immunization. Qualitative approaches provided a deeper understanding of undocumented information. The use of mapping applications facilitated more effective microplanning in immunization programs.

Keywords: Child mortality, health risk, immunization, microplanning, vaccine.

Abstrak

Latar Belakang: Untuk mencapai cakupan imunisasi yang tinggi dan merata, penting untuk memahami hambatan akses dan pemanfaatan serta faktor penentu yang memengaruhi di antara kelompok populasi.

Tujuan: Penelitian ini mengidentifikasi daerah berisiko tinggi dan mengeksplorasi penerapan analisis spasial dalam mendukung perencanaan mikro dalam program imunisasi.

Metode: Penelitian ini menggunakan desain penelitian implementasi yang dilakukan di Provinsi Aceh, Indonesia. Data sekunder yang digunakan adalah data cakupan imunisasi, sumber daya manusia di bidang kesehatan, fasilitas, dan parameter sosial ekonomi. Diskusi kelompok terarah (FGD) dan pelatihan dilakukan bersama petugas kesehatan.

Hasil: Rata-rata cakupan UCI di desa yaitu 24,18%, sedangkan cakupan imunisasi dasar lengkap (CBI) yaitu 55,85%. Secara umum, kabupaten dengan cakupan UCI dan CBI rendah sering ditemukan memiliki sumber daya manusia yang rendah, fasilitas kesehatan yang tidak memadai, dan proporsi masyarakat berisiko tinggi yang tinggi. Penelitian ini mengungkap adanya hot spot dan cold spot di wilayah penelitian. Selain itu, para peserta berpendapat bahwa pemetaan menggunakan aplikasi lebih mudah digunakan dan diperlukan untuk mendukung kegiatan perencanaan mikro terkait imunisasi.

Kesimpulan: Analisis spasial dapat membantu mengurangi ketimpangan layanan imunisasi dan sumber daya pendukung selama imunisasi. Pendekatan kualitatif dapat memberikan pemahaman yang lebih baik tentang informasi yang tidak terdokumentasi. Penggunaan aplikasi pemetaan membuat perencanaan mikro terkait imunisasi lebih efektif.

Kata kunci: Imunisasi, kematian anak, perencanaan mikro, risiko kesehatan, vaksin.



Indonesian Journal of Health Administration (Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)

p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301, Volume 13 No.1 2025, DOI: 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xxx-xxx Received: 2025-03-26, Revised: 2025-06-02, Accepted: 2025-06-16, Published: 2025-06-30.

Published by Universitas Airlangga in collaboration with *Perhimpunan Sarjana dan Profesional Kesehatan Masyarakat Indonesia (Persakmi)*. Copyright (c) 2025 Erni Astutik, Arief Hargono, Kurnia Dwi Artanti, Atik Choirul Hidajah, Zida Husnina, Siti Shofiya Novita Sari, R. Vensya Sitohang, Asik Surya, Ratna Budi Hapsari, Marta Feletto. This is an Open Access (OA) article under the CC BY-SA 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).

Astutik, E., Hargono, A., Artanti, K. D., Hidajah, A. C., Husnina, Z., Sari, S. S. N., Sitohang, R. V., Surya, A., Hapsari, R. B., & Feletto, M. (2025). Spatial Analysis for Microplanning to Address Immunization Inequalities in Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Health Administration*, 13(1), pp. xxx-xxx. https://doi.org/10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xxx-xxx

³Epidemiology Integrated Intervention and Technology Collaboration, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

⁴Airlangga Disease Prevention and Research Center-OHCC, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

⁵Research Group for Health and Wellbeing of Women and Children, Universitas Airlangga, Banyuwangi, Indonesia.

⁶Environmental Health and Climate Research Group, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia.

⁷ Directorate General of Primary and Community Health, Ministry of Health, Jakarta, Indonesia.

⁸Directorate General of Disease Prevention and Control, Ministry of Health, Jakarta, Indonesia.

⁹Alliance for Health Policy and Systems Research, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Correspondence*:

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301
@ 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

Introduction

The National Health System is a health management initiative carried out by all components of the Indonesian nation in an integrated and mutually supportive manner to ensure the achievement of public health programs. According to the Presidential Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 72 of 2012, the National Health System serves as a reference in the preparation and implementation of health development, including planning, monitoring, and evaluation. Achieving the highest standard of public health requires initiatives to prevent the occurrence of diseases. including through immunization (Regulation of the Minister of Health of the Republic of Indonesia Number 12 of 2017).

Despite these efforts, immunizationpreventable diseases continue to pose a significant public health challenge in many developing countries, including Indonesia. The immunization program is regarded as a crucial effort to prevent the occurrence of these diseases (WHO, 2025). In 2018, approximately 19.7 million children worldwide did not receive routine immunization services, with approximately 60% of them residing in 10 countries, including Indonesia (WHO, 2020).

In Indonesia, only 35.8% of children aged 12 to 23 months received complete basic immunization. Compared to 2018, the coverage of complete basic immunization for this age group in 2023 did not met the target and even declined (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2023).

The coverage of the comprehensive immunization program (CIP) in Indonesia remains challenging to achieve, despite being provided free of charge. In 2023, an estimated 14.5 million infants did not receive their first dose of the DTP vaccine. while an additional 6.5 million were only partially vaccinated. This situation is primarily due to a lack of access to immunization and other essential health services. Of the total 21 million children. less than 60% reside in just 10 countries, one of which is Indonesia (WHO, 2024). The geographical disparities within the country can significantly hinder the complete immunization coverage (Rajaonarifara et al.,

2022; Dimitrova *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, long distance to health facilities contributes to delays in immunization (Sriatmi *et al.*, 2023). A study has shown that regions with limited health infrastructure are associated with lower rates of childhood immunization coverage (Allan, Adetifa and Abbas, 2021).

Aceh is the province with the lowest rate of CIP coverage (3.9%) (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2023). Additionally, debates over the halal and haram status of vaccines—whether they are permissible or prohibited according to Islamic law—remain a significant issue in Indonesia (Harapan *et al.*, 2020), particularly in Aceh Province (Andika, Rayyanis and Suanda, 2020). Furthermore, in 2022, a positive case of polio was reported in Pidie Regency, Aceh. This province remains one of the provinces with the lowest vaccination coverage in Indonesia (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2022).

The eradication infectious of diseases necessitates location-specific information, allowing for the mapping of disease incidence in relation to the surrounding environment and infrastructure. This approach serves as a valuable tool for assessing disease risk and identifying patterns of disease distribution, as each region possesses unique characteristics. Consequently, understanding spatial variations in vaccination coverage, particularly in the context of diverse vaccine delivery strategies, is crucial for evaluating the effectiveness of vaccination programs (Dimitrova et al., 2023). Despite various implementations and interventions aimed at addressing immunization coverage issues and enhancing immunization programs and services, the application of remains limited spatial analysis Indonesia.

Further research is needed to explore geographical patterns and analyze the role of adjacency associations (both temporal and spatial) among the determining factors. Such analyses would be valuable for providing comprehensive recommendations to support microplanning in immunization programs. Microplanning in immunization programs can be employed to identify local issues and develop effective solutions. Successful microplanning necessitates

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301
a 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

accurate population estimates and maps that depict health facilities, village locations, and target populations (Ali et al., 2020). conducted in Nigeria demonstrated that the effectiveness of microplanning in immunization programs could be enhanced through the use of geographic information system (GIS) software. GIS software enables the integration of multiple datasets and geospatial facilitates data analysis, allowing for the development of immunization strategies tailored community needs (Dougherty et al., 2019). Another study in Nigeria also showed that the use of maps generated by GIS could improve the quality of microplanning and optimize vaccination planning (Oteri et al., 2021).

Maps has previously been used in the preparation of microplanning immunization programs. However, these maps were created by manual hand drawing, and the information provided was limited to the number of villages in a service area, total population, and distances from villages to health facilities. This study examines the distribution of variables of health resources and identify relevant socioeconomic factors. Additionally, this study investigates the application of spatial analysis to support microplanning efforts aimed reducing inequalities immunization coverage.

Method

This implementation study employed a mixed methods approach that integrated both quantitative and qualitative methodologies. Implementation research refers to the scientific investigation of questions concerning implementation—the process of putting an intention into practice, which in health research may involve policies, programs, or individual practices (Peters *et al.*, 2013).

The first stage involved quantitative analysis utilizing secondary data, with the unit of analysis at the regency level, conducted in Aceh Province. This stage aimed to describe the distribution of immunization resources, assess capacity, and evaluate service coverage, as well as

identify the risks and quantify their correlations with influencing factors.

The second stage involved qualitative analysis through focus group discussions (FGDs) aimed at investigating additional underlying issues related to the immunization program that were not documented in routine data. Findings from the FGDs were presented in a narrative report. In addition, spatial analysis training was provided to health workers to enable them to apply these skills in the preparation of micro planning. This study was conducted from June to October 2020.

Data Sources

Secondary data on immunization coverage, the number of infants receiving vaccines, the incidence of immunizationpreventable diseases, adverse events following immunization, target populations, health facilities, health workers (human resources), and socioeconomic status (including unemployment rate, impoverished population, average and expenditure per capita) were collected from health profiles, immunization surveillance reports, routine data from the Ministry of Health, the Provincial Health Office, and the Central Bureau of Statistics (Badan Pusat Statistik/ BPS) for 2019.

Primary data were collected through focus group discussions (FGDs). The FGDs were conducted virtually with relevant staff members at the Provincial Health Office, including immunization and surveillance officers. health officers, data and information center officers, and family health officers, totaling seven informants. FGD topics included human resources, health facilities, logistics availability, immunization schedules, recordina and reporting systems. community cultures, issues faced by highrisk communities, and the monitoring and evaluation of the immunization program. Additionally, spatial analysis training was conducted online via Zoom to four provincial health workers in Aceh Province.

Study Variables and Data Measurement

Village coverage of universal child immunization (UCI) was measured as the percentage of villages in each regency that

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301
10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

achieved UCI status. Complete basic immunization (CBI) was defined as the condition in which a child received complete routine immunizations. Booster immunization was defined as a condition child received immunizations. The number of infants receiving immunizations was recorded based on the type of vaccine or antigen administered. This study also included various variables, including coverage of pentavalent basic and booster immunizations, as well as the number of vaccines administered for preventing diseases. Data on health facilities and health human resources were calculated from the ratios of available integrated health service posts (posyandu), community health centers (puskesmas), hospitals, doctors, midwives, and nurses to the population. Socioeconomic data were measured by the levels of poverty, unemployment rates, human development index (HDI), poor population, and average monthly expenditure.

Quantitative Approach

All variables were summarized in the frequency distribution table. version 4.0.3 was used to perform the analysis. A choropleth map was generated visually present the geographic distribution of immunization service resources (facilities coverage, professionals), as well as to identify risk based on socioeconomic indicators. The map was generated GIS software.

Global Moran's I test was employed to detect global structure and spatial autocorrelation with each dataset being. The assessment was conducted by examining visual curves, Moran's index, zscore, and p-value. The test yielded three possible outcomes: clustered, random, or dispersed patterns. The values of Moran's I range from -1 to 1. Positive value indicates positive spatial autocorrelation (clustering) and negative value indicates negative spatial autocorrelation (dispersion). Meanwhile, a value of zero indicates no spatial autocorrelation, suggesting that the value is randomly distributed.

Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA) tests were used to identify local

clusters and outliers, highlighting hot spots of autocorrelation. This method aims to determine the specific regencies or patterns of variable distribution values. The LISA test classifies the spatial units into five categories: hot spots (areas with high values similar to their neighbors, denoted as high-high), cold spots (areas with low values similar to their neighbors, denoted as low-low), hot outliers (areas with high values adjacent to low-value neighbors, denoted as high-low), cold outliers (areas with low values adjacent to high-value neighbors, denoted as low- high), and nonsignificant areas (locations with significant local autocorrelation).

Ordinary least squares (OLS) was applied to analyze the linear relationship between outcomes of interest (UCI and CBI as continuous data) and explanatory variables, assuming stationarity, which indicates that the association is constant across the geographical surface. The model quality was assessed using R². Multicollinearity was evaluated using the variance inflation factor (VIF) for all explanatory variables. The OLS regression analysis was conducted using RStudio version 4.0.3.

Qualitative Approach

The qualitative analysis was conducted through focus group discussions (FGDs) to obtain further information about the implementation of the immunization program, as well as the influencing factors that were not documented in the official data sources. The results of the FGDs were presented descriptively in a narrative report. The purpose of the FGDs was to finalize and validate the list of proxy variables used to map the resources on the hand (step 1) and hiah-risk communities on the other (step 2). The FGDs also facilitated the identification of local issues and challenges, as well as specific strategies to address them, based on the diversity of local resources, culture, and values. Key informants from the health provincial office. including immunization and surveillance officers. health service officers, data and information center officers, and family health officers, participated in the FGDs virtually.

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301

The spatial analysis training focused on equipping health workers with skills to create maps using various variables and apply relevant analytical techniques. The aim was to enable health workers to incorporate the skills into the preparation of micro planning in immunization. Health workers would not only learn how to use the maps but would also acquire the skills to create them independently. Additionally, this activity aimed to test or explore the integration of mapping results in the preparation of micro planning in immunization

Results and Discussion

Quantitative Approach

The average village coverage of UCI was 24.18%, while the coverage of CBI was 55.85%. Overall immunization coverage, including BCG, polio (doses 1-4), IPV, hepatitis B less than 24 hours and 1-7 days, DPT-HB-HIB (doses 1-3), measles, and measles-rubella, remained very low. The dropout rate from DPT/HB-1 to DPT/HB-3 was 11.6%, and from DPT/HB-1 to measles immunization was 22.35%. The average ratios of doctors, nurses, and midwives per 100,000 population were 42.13, 187.7, and 208.93, respectively. The ratios of community health centers and hospitals per 100,000 population were 28.64 and 1.51, respectively. The ratio of integrated health service posts per 1.000 target infants was 63.19 (Table 1).

Substantial variation was observed across regencies for each variable. The two main outcomes (UCI village coverage and CBI) were overlaid with each explanatory variable separately to investigate any similar features. In general, regencies with low village coverage of UCI and CBI were often found to have low human resources, inadequate health facilities, and a high proportion of high-risk communities. Notably, Pidie, Pidie Java, Aceh Java, Aceh Besar, and Aceh Barat were identified as regencies with low village coverage of UCI and CBI (Appendix 1).

UCI village coverage exhibited a pattern similar to that of human resources, with areas reporting low UCI village coverage also experiencing low human

resources, as observed in Aceh Utara. Similarly, CBI coverage showed a similar pattern with health facilities and an inverse pattern with poverty levels. Specifically, low CBI values were associated inadequate health facilities and high rates of poverty. This situation was evident in regencies such as Bireuen, Pidie Jaya, and Aceh Singkil. In Subulussalam City, low UCI village was coverage reported alongside low HDI. Additionally. а regencies such as Pidie and Pidie Jaya were noted for having low UCI village coverage and a high proportion of poor population (Appendix A).

In summary, uneven distributions of variables (immunization coverage, resources, and facilities) were still prevalent in 2019. Significant positive autocorrelation was identified for several variables at the regency level in Aceh Province (Table 2). Variables exhibiting clustered patterns in this study included CBI, unemployment rates, coverage of polio immunization (doses 1-4), coverage of DPT-HB-Hib immunization (doses 1-3), coverage of measles immunization, coverage measles-rubella immunization, and the number of congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) cases.

The LISA analysis revealed cold spot regencies in Aceh Jaya, Pidie, and Pidie Jaya. The variables that indicated low-low districts included complete basic immunization, as well as coverage of DPT-HB-Hib immunization (doses 1–3), measles immunization, and polio immunization (doses 1–4). Hot spot regencies were identified in Pidie Jaya and Bireuen for the CRS variable. Additionally, no significant autocorrelation was observed for the unemployment rates across all regencies (Appendix B).

The first outcome of the UCI village analysis utilized a backward method to examine the relationship between UCI village coverage and explanatory variables (Table 3). The variables included in the model were the ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population, the percentage of the poor population, and average monthly expenditure (IDR). The adjusted R² for this model was 0.18, while the F-test yielded a value of 2.57. For the second outcome of

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301

CBI coverage, the ratios of doctors, nurses, and midwives per 100,000 population, as well as unemployment rates, were included in the final model (Table 3). The adjusted R² for this model was 0.19, while the F-test yielded a value of 2.26. All VIF values for both models were below 7, indicating no multicollinearity among the selected variables.

Qualitative Approach

Based on FGDs with key informants, the list of proxy variables was finalized and validated to enable the mapping of resources. Local issues and challenges were identified based on the diversity of local resources, culture, and values.

The challenges with human included frequent resources the replacement and redeployment of staff or officers to other divisions or departments. Many staff members did not have sufficient communication skills for delivering the messages to the community. Missed opportunities to reach the targets were still common, often occurring because the officers did not bring a sufficient supply of vaccines to integrated health service posts. Meanwhile. health facilities communication materials and tools that were crucial for educating the community, such as flip charts, in integrated health service posts.

In terms of high-risk communities, there were rising concerns about vaccines being considered haram (prohibited by Islamic law). Certain groups within the community rejected immunization, posing a challenge for immunization teams as they had to confront arguments from religious leaders and community figures. Moreover, there were no official data on high-risk communities at the provincial level. Information about subsequent immunization schedules was often poorly communicated, leading to missed follow-up visits by the targets for receiving the next immunization service.

The challenges with the recording and reporting system included the absence of proper documentation by midwives working villages. The existing system still relied on supporting books which complicated the transfer of data into the

cohort book and added to the workload of health workers. In some community health centers, only a single laptop was available and had to be shared across multiple programs. Therefore, the immunization team used rented computers to input the data into the system.

In the spatial analysis training session. post-tests and were preconducted. The tests consisted of 15 auestions covering microplanning immunization programs, map utilization, and the creation of maps using the mapping application. The pre-test results showed an average participant score of 35 with, scores ranging from 20 to 66. After the training, the post-test results showed an improvement, with an average score of 69.75, scores ranging from 47 to 80. There increase in scores between the pre and post-tests was statistically significant (p = 0.028). This indicated a significant increase knowledge after the spatial analysis training.

Based on the evaluation feedback from the training participants, participants strongly agreed that using mapping applications simplified microplanning activities compared to manual (handdrawn) map creation. The participants expressed intentions to use the mapping application for microplanning, recommended that officers create area maps microplanning, and emphasized mapping immunization data is essential to support the preparation of microplanning in immunization.

In general, this study found that the average coverage of UCI in villages was 24.18%, while CBI coverage was 55.85%. Regencies with low coverage of UCI and CBI often had low human resources, inadequate health facilities, and a high proportion of high-risk communities. This study revealed the presence of hot spots and cold spots in the study area. The results of the OLS analysis indicated no association between the explanatory and outcome variables. Focus group discussions (FGDs) provided further information about the implementation of immunization programs as well as the influencing factors that were not documented in the official data sources. Additionally, the participants

	D: () ()		A . D .
Lable 1	1)istribution	of variables in	Aceh Province

Variables Mean SD* UCI village coverage (%) 24.18 17.74 CBI coverage (%) 55.86 23.69 Ratio of doctors per 100,000 population 42.13 18.31 Ratio of nurses to 100,000 population 187.7 92.63 Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population 208.93 93.36 Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population 1.51 1.51 Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants 63.19 21.21 Poor population (%) 15.71 3.49 Human development index (HDI) 71.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 72.05 14.99 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 PV immunization coverage (%) 60.88 <	Table 1. Distribution of variables in Aceh Province		
CBI coverage (%) 55.86 23.69 Ratio of doctors per 100,000 population 42.13 18.31 Ratio of nurses to 100,000 population 208.93 93.36 Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population 1.51 1.51 Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population 28.64 20.94 Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants 63.19 21.21 Poor population (%) 15.71 3.49 Human development index (HDI) 71.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%)			
Ratio of doctors per 100,000 population 42.13 18.31 Ratio of nurses to 100,000 population 187.7 92.63 Ratio of midwives per 100,000 population 208.93 93.36 Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population 1.51 1.51 Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population 28.64 20.94 Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants 63.19 21.21 Poor population (%) 15.71 3.49 Human development index (HDI) 71.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 72.05 14.99 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB-24 hours			
Ratio of nurses to 100,000 population 187.7 92.63 Ratio of midwives per 100,000 population 208.93 93.36 Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population 1.51 1.51 Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population 28.64 20.94 Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants 63.19 21.21 Poor population (%) 15.71 3.49 Human development index (HDI) 71.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 72.05 14.99 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB1-7 days immuni	CBI coverage (%)	55.86	23.69
Ratio of midwives per 100,000 population 208.93 93.36 Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population 1.51 1.51 Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population 28.64 20.94 Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants 63.19 21.21 Poor population (%) 15.71 3.49 Human development index (HDI) 71.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB-24 hours immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib2 i	Ratio of doctors per 100,000 population	42.13	18.31
Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population 1.51 1.51 Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population 28.64 20.94 Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants 63.19 21.21 Poor population (%) 15.71 3.49 Human development index (HDI) 71.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB-24 hours immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 58.4 20.46 DP	Ratio of nurses to 100,000 population	187.7	92.63
Ratio of community health centers per 100,000 population Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants Poor population (%) Human development index (HDI) Human development index (HDI) Human development rate From population (%) Human development index (HDI) Human development index (HDI) Human development rate From population (DI) Human development rate From population (DI) Human development rate From population (DI) Human development index (HDI) Human development	Ratio of midwives per 100,000 population	208.93	93.36
population Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants Poor population (%) Human development index (HDI) Unemployment rate Unemployment rate Unemployment rate Unemployment rate Do rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles BCG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 8 immunization coverage (%) Polio 9 immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 59.94 Polio 59.94 Pla-7 days immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib4 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib5 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib6 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib	Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population	1.51	1.51
Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000 target infants Poor population (%) Human development index (HDI) Top out (DD) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 Do rates of DPT/HB1-measles BCG immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) BS-24 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-25 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-26 hours immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) BS-27 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-28 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-29 hours immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) BS-26 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-27 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-28 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-29 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-20 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-20 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-21 hours immunization coverage (%) BS-28 hours immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) BS-29 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-20 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-21 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-22 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-23 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-24 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-25 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-26 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-27 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-28 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-29 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-20 hours immunization for measles (%) BS-21 hours immunization for measles (%)	Ratio of community health centers per 100,000	29.64	20.04
target infants Poor population (%) Human development index (HDI) Nonthly spending/expenditure (IDR) Unemployment rate Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles EG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) BS2 Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) PDT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) POT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) Booster immunization for total measles (%) P1.79 15.71 3.49 16.44 1.043,253 282,147.19 11.66 7.89 DO. 78.67 11.69 22.35 23.75 868,71 16.92 20.57 18.79 18.79 20.58 21.21 21.22 21.00	population	20.04	20.94
Poor population (%) Human development index (HDI) Human development index (HDI) T1.09 4.64 Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles EG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 7 immunization coverage (%) Polio 8 immunization coverage (%) Polio 9 immunization coverage (%) PV immunization coverage (%) PV immunization coverage (%) PS 9.94 PB 21.89 HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib3 immunization	Ratio of integrated health service posts per 1,000	63 10	21 21
Human development index (HDI) Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) Unemployment rate Unemployment rate Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles EG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 7 immunization coverage (%) Polio 8 immunization coverage (%) Polio 9 immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 4 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 5 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 6 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 7 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 8 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 8 immunization coverage (%) Bolio 9 immunization c	target infants	03.19	21.21
Monthly spending/expenditure (IDR) 1,043,253 282,147.19 Unemployment rate 5.82 2.42 Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 11.66 7.89 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 72.05 14.99 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%)	Poor population (%)	15.71	3.49
Unemployment rate Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles BCG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 7 immunization coverage (%) Polio 8 immunization coverage (%) Polio 9 immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 7 immunization coverage (%) Polio 8 immunization coverage (%) Polio 9 immunization coverage (%)	Human development index (HDI)		
Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3 DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 BCG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 7 immunization coverage (%) PV immunization coverage (%) PV immunization coverage (%) PN			•
DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles 22.35 23.75 BCG immunization coverage (%) 68.71 16.92 Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 72.05 14.99 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB 24 hours immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) 18.67 20.58 Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 65.4 20.46 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00		5.82	2.42
BCG immunization coverage (%) Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) Polio 5 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) Polio 6 immunization coverage (%) PV immunization coverage (%) PV immunization coverage (%) PS-94 PB-24 hours immunization coverage (%) PB-7 days immunization coverage (%) PB-7 days immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) PS-78 Poster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) PS-46 PS-47 Polio 1 immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) PS-46 PS-47 PO.58 PS-57 PO.57 PO.5	Drop out (DO) rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3	11.66	7.89
Polio 1 immunization coverage (%) 72.05 14.99 Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) 18.67 20.58 Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 65.4 20.46 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	DO rates of DPT/HB1-measles	22.35	23.75
Polio 2 immunization coverage (%) 70.57 18.79 Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) 18.67 20.58 Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 65.4 20.46 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00		68.71	16.92
Polio 3 immunization coverage (%) 63.86 19.61 Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) 60.88 20.5 IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) 18.67 20.58 Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 65.4 20.46 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Polio 1 immunization coverage (%)	72.05	14.99
Polio 4 immunization coverage (%) IPV immunization coverage (%) HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%) HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) PT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) FS.78 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) Total measles immunization coverage (%) FS.78 Total measles immunization coverage (%) FS.78 Total measles immunization coverage (%) FS.78 FS.78 Total measles immunization coverage (%) FS.78 Total measles immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) FS.78 Total measles immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) FS.78 Total measles immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) TS.80	Polio 2 immunization coverage (%)	70.57	18.79
IPV immunization coverage (%) 30.03 25.81 HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%) 59.94 21.89 HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) 18.67 20.58 Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) 78.61 10.58 DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 65.4 20.46 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Polio 3 immunization coverage (%)	63.86	19.61
HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%) HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) Total measles immunization coverage (%) Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) Total measles immunization for measles (%) Total measles immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Total measles immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Total measles immunization for measles (%)	Polio 4 immunization coverage (%)	60.88	20.5
HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%) Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) Total measles immunization coverage (%) Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.58	IPV immunization coverage (%)	30.03	25.81
Total HB0 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) Total measles immunization coverage (%) Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) Booster immunization for measles (%) Booster immunization for total measles (%) Total measles immunization for measles (%)	HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%)	59.94	21.89
DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%) 65.4 20.46 DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%)		
DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%) 61.14 21.45 DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Total HB0 immunization coverage (%)	78.61	10.58
DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%) 58.78 21.59 Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%) 51.84 24.73 Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization coverage (%)	65.4	20.46
Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%)51.8424.73Total measles immunization coverage (%)52.4624.49Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%)32.2241.66Booster immunization for measles (%)7.8012.35Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%)17.7920.58Booster immunization for total measles (%)20.4221.00	DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%)	61.14	21.45
Total measles immunization coverage (%) 52.46 24.49 Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%)		21.59
Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%) 32.22 41.66 Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Measles-rubella immunization coverage (%)	51.84	24.73
Booster immunization for measles (%) 7.80 12.35 Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Total measles immunization coverage (%)	52.46	24.49
Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%) 17.79 20.58 Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%)	32.22	41.66
Booster immunization for total measles (%) 20.42 21.00	Booster immunization for measles (%)	7.80	12.35
\	Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%)		
N			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Number of congenital rubella syndromes (CRS)	0.39	0.72
Number of diphtheria cases 5.61 6.83		5.61	6.83

^aStandard deviation

Table 2. The results of cluster detection in Aceh province with global analysis of Moran I

Variables	Moran's Index	Z Score	p- value
UCI village coverage (%)	0.05	0.59	0.56
CBI coverage (%)	0.33	2.35	0.02
Ratio of doctors per 100000 population	-0.18	-0.94	0.34
Ratio of nurses per 100000 population	-0.07	-0.17	0.87
Ratio of midwives per 100000 population	0.03	0.51	0.61
Ratio of hospitals per 100000 population	-0.12	-0.53	0.60
Ratio of community health center per 100000 population	0.18	1.42	0.15
Ratio of integrated health service per 1000 target babies	0.13	1.12	0.26
Poor population (%)	0.04	0.51	0.61
Human Development Index	0.20	1.60	0.11

3 initial words of title... page Last name

Variables	Moran's Index	Z Score	p- value
Monthly spending/ expenditure (IDR)	-0.07	-0.14	0.89
Unemployment rate	0.23	1.71	0.09
DO rates of DPT/HB1-DPT/HB3	0.09	1.18	0.24
DO rates of DPT/HB1-Measles	0.17	1.44	0.15
BCG immunization coverage (%)	0.19	1.46	0.14
Polio 1 immunization coverage (%)	0.27	1.97	0.05
Polio 2 immunization coverage (%)	0.40	2.81	0.00
Polio 3 immunization coverage (%)	0.30	2.13	0.03
Polio 4 immunization coverage (%)	0.27	1.97	0.05
IPV immunization coverage (%)	0.01	0.34	0.74
HB<24 hours immunization coverage (%)	-0.25	-1.30	0.20
HB1-7 days immunization coverage (%)	-0.23	-1.25	0.21
Total HB0 immunization coverage (%)	0.05	0.61	0.54
DPT-HB-Hib1 immunization (%)	0.35	2.47	0.01
DPT-HB-Hib2 immunization coverage (%)	0.29	2.10	0.04
DPT-HB-Hib3 immunization coverage (%)	0.25	1.82	0.07
Measles- rubella immunization coverage (%)	0.24	1.79	0.07
Total measles immunization coverage (%)	0.25	1.84	0.07
Booster immunization for DPT-HB-Hib (%)	0.07	0.90	0.37
Booster immunization for measles (%)	-0.19	-1.12	0.26
Booster immunization for measles-rubella (%)	-0.03	0.13	0.89
Booster immunization for total measles (%)	0.13	1.17	0.24
Number of congenital rubella syndromes (CRS)	0.30	2.55	0.01
Number of diphtheria cases	-0.07	-0.13	0.89

Table 3. Linear regression analysis results regarding UCI and CBI

No	Explanatory variables	Estimate	SEb	t value	p-value	VIFc
1	Model for UCI					
	Intercept	38.37	26.89	1.43	0.170	
	Ratio of hospitals per 100,000 population	-9.094	3.62	-2.51	0.021	2.52
	Poor population (%)	-1.903	1.12	-1.71	0.104	1.29
	Monthly expenditure	2.827e-005	0	1.49	0.153	2.43
	R^2	0.29				
	Adjusted R ²	0.18				
	F-test	2.57			0.085	
2	Model for CBI					
	Intercept	107.89	23.96	4.50	<0.001	
	Ratio of doctors per 100,000 population	-0.69	0.37	-1.86	0.079	2.24
	Ratio of nurses per 100,000 population	0.27	0.10	2.69	0.015	4.02
	Ratio of midwives per 100,000 population	-0.21	0.08	-2.51	0.022	2.89
	Unemployment rate (%)	-0.499	2.21	-2.27	0.036	1.38
	R^2	0.33				
	Adjusted R ²	0.19				
	F-test	2.26			0.103	

^bStandard error ^cVariance inflation factor

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia) p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301 € 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

reported that using mapping applications was more practical and necessary to support the preparation of microplanning in immunization programs.

The average village coverage of UCI and CBI across regencies varied but remained low. The results of the choropleth mapping showed that UCI village coverage in Aceh Province exhibited a pattern similar to that of CBI coverage. Regencies with low UCI village coverage also tended to have low CBI coverage, as observed in Aceh Jaya, Pidie, Pidie Jaya, Aceh Besar, and Aceh Barat regencies.

According to the 2019 strategic plan targets, the expected CBI coverage among infants aged 0-11 months was 93%, while the target for UCI village coverage was (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 92% 2020b). Thus, Aceh province had not yet achieved the targets in the National Medium-Term Development Plan Pembangunan (Rencana Jangka Menengah Nasional/RPJMN) for CBI and UCI village coverage. In addition, dropout rates between DPT/HB-1 and DPT HB-3, as well as between DPT/HB-1 and measles immunization were 11.6% and 22.35%, respectively. These rate exceed the threshold of 5% (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2020b).

Polio immunization coverage in Aceh province was still very low, despite the national target for polio immunization being set at a minimum of 95% with equal distribution across all regions (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2020a). Therefore, in 2022, Aceh Province experienced a polio outbreak (Indonesian Ministry of Health, 2022). Low immunization coverage can hinder the development of herd immunity (Rasmussen, 2020; Bullen, Heriot and Jamrozik, 2023). The large population at risk causes the chain of transmission to persist when a case occurs, increasing the likelihood of an outbreak (Schaller, Schulkind and Shapiro, 2019). In this study, several regencies, including Pidie, which reported a polio outbreak in 2022, were identified as having low UCI village coverage and CBI coverage.

The average ratio of doctors per 100,000 population in Aceh Province was also far below the standard set by the

Directorate of Health Worker Planning in Indonesia, which is 1 doctor per 1,000 population (Directorate of Health Worker Planning, 2022). Health workers, such as doctors, play an important role as primary sources of health information (Abdisa *et al.*, 2022). The low ratio of doctors likely contributed to limited parental access to information about vaccines.

CBI coverage had a pattern similar to that of health facilities and had an inverse pattern with the proportion of the poor population. Regencies with low CBI coverage also had inadequate health facilities and a high proportion of the poor population. This condition was observed in Biruen, Pidie Jaya, and Aceh Singkil regencies. Aceh Province also recorded an unemployment rate (5.3% in 2019) and a percentage of the poor population (9.22%) above the national averages. Additionally, Aceh Province's HDI remained lower than the national average of 71.92, and its average monthly expenditure was lower than the Indonesian average of IDR 1,388,212 (BPS, 2020).

Despite the non-significant results of the OLS linear model, the findings provide crucial underlying information regarding immunization programs in Aceh Province. Identifying risk factors can assist in mapping high-risk areas that are vulnerable to potential outbreaks. This study also found that significant clustered patters for CBI coverage, types of vaccine/antigen coverage, and the number of CRS cases.

Incomplete immunization remains a significant problem in Indonesia, especially in Aceh Province. Various attempts had been made by the government to overcome this problem. Various studies have also revealed geographic variations immunization rates. For instance, research in Ethiopia identified clustered patterns of incomplete immunization in high-risk areas across multiple study periods (Melaku, Nigatu and Mewosha, 2020), as well as a significant clustered pattern of measlescontaining vaccine first-dose coverage in children (Tesfa et al., 2022). Similarly, research in Nigeria revealed variations in immunization coverage at the regional, urban or rural, and district levels, with some states forming hot spot and cold spot

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301
@10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

clusters (Akinyemi, 2020). Research conducted in Pakistan also showed a clustered pattern in districts with low vaccine coverage (Umer et al., 2020). District-level variations vaccination in coverage might be due to strong environmental factors such as socioeconomic and demographic characteristics (Singh and Vishwakarma, 2021; Dimitrova et al., 2023).

In Aceh province, the spatial variation in incomplete immunization was likely influenced by geographic and climatic differences, as well as differences in population distribution (Aceh, B.P., 2020). Population distribution poses complex challenges, as it contributes to more complex economic and social problems (Aceh, D.K., 2020). Moreover, differences in the number of health personnel and health services across regencies or cities in Aceh Province were identified as one of the factors affecting immunization coverage (Aceh, D.K., 2020). Substantial differences in health service utilization at the regency or city level persists in Indonesia, influencing access to health services (Mulyanto, Kunst and Kringos, 2019, 2020). This ultimately results in differences in immunization coverage in each region. Studies have also shown that the distance between households to health facilities affects immunization coverage (Johns et al., 2022; Ogero et al., 2022).

Socioeconomic inequalities in access to health services remains a persistent challenge in Indonesia. Prioritizing geographic and financial access is critical to overcome these problems (Akinyemi, 2020; Mulyanto, Kunst and Kringos, 2020). In addition, low immunization coverage in regions such as Aceh Province may also be attributed to the low ratio of health workers in Indonesia. The ratio of healthcare providers in Indonesia is notably lower than the average in other Southeast Asian countries, and their distribution across regencies or cities is uneven (Muharram et al., 2024). Most healthcare services in Indonesia are concentrated in primary health centers. Populations living below the poverty line are frequently affected, as they tend to have limited health knowledge and restricted access to quality health services.

Moreover, geographical disparities exacerbate this condition. People have reaching health facilities difficulties in location. because of their thereby increasing the cost of health services. Together, geographic and financial inequalities limit equitable access to health services.

The implementation of decentralization in Indonesia's health sector has influenced service delivery, health financing, and (Rakmawati, distribution workforce Hinchcliff and Pardosi, 2019). Immunization programs continue to face significant challenges on both the supply and demand sides, due to geographic barriers, topographical conditions, and limited availability of cold chain outreach and maintenance activities. In addition, negative perceptions of immunization, such as side effects, as well as suspicion of its dangerous and haram ingredients, remain common among communities (Alsuwaidi et al., 2023; Jinarong et al., 2023).

The low coverage of immunization in Aceh Province was supported by the results of the FGDs, which revealed several local issues and challenges in the immunization program, namely diversity of local resources, culture, and values. The results of the training evaluation highlighted that the use of mapping technology and applications enabled the identification of high-risk communities and supported development of more comprehensive microplanning in immunization programs, addressing inequalities thereby immunization. Although maps have been utilized in the preparation of microplanning in immunization programs, the maps were produced by manual hand-drawing and the information provided was limited to the number of villages in the service area, the total population, and the distance from the villages to health facilities (WHO, 2009).

A limitation of this study lies in the dataset which only included 23 units of analysis. The non-significant findings in non-spatial and spatial model represent the challenges in incorporating the dependent and independent variables within a single analytical framework.

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia) p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301 € 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

The novelty proposed by this study is the introduction of more practical analytical techniques to provide scientific evidence that could inform microplanning in subsequent years. Unlike traditional hand-drawn maps that only provide a descriptive configuration, this study presents a more robust approach by employing a rigorous statistical framework to facilitate the creation of maps.

Microplanning applications in immunization programs such as GIS-based can help map the population, health facilities, and coverage of health services, making it easier to identify areas with high risk. In addition, accurate microplanning could reach people in remote areas and/or prioritize areas with vulnerable populations. In addition, proper microplanning enables the distribution of health workers, logistics, and transportation. Therefore, the use of applications in microplanning represents a promising technological innovation that can help address the root causes of inequality in access to health services, especially immunization services.

Conclusion

The average village coverage of UCI and CBI in Aceh Province remains low. This study revealed the presence of hot spots and cold spots in the study area, classifying certain districts as high-risk areas. The highlight the importance findinas addressing immunization problems by considering geographic factors. The use of spatial analysis methods in identifying the distribution of immunization coverage, the capacity of immunization services, and the pattern of relevant resources provided robust evidence for further stages of the immunization program. such microplanning and intervention. This technique can reduce inequalities in immunization service and uneven distribution of supporting resources during the implementation of the immunization program. Further studies could examine the extent to which the use of GIS by local policy makers can improve effectiveness of planning and distribution of immunization services.

Abbreviations

CBI: Complete Basic Immunization: BPS: Central Bureau of Statistics; FGD: Focus Group Discussion: GIS: Geographic Information System: HDI: Human Development Index; LISA: Local Indicators of Spatial Association: OLS: Ordinary Least UCI: Universal Sauares: Child Immunization; VIF: Variance Inflation Factor.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent Participant

This study received ethical approval from the Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia, with a reference number 71/EA/KEPK/2020.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Availability of Data and Materials

Data and materials of this study can be provided upon request.

Authors' Contribution

Conceptualization: EA, AH, KDA, ACH, ZH. Data curation: EA, AH, KDA, ACH, ZH. Formal analysis: EA, ZH, SSNS. Methodology: AH, KDA, ACH, ZH, MF. Project administration: SSNS, KDA, EA. Visualization: EA, AH, KDA, ACH, ZH. Writing—original draft: EA, AH, KDA, ACH, ZH. Writing—review and editing: EA, AH, KDA, ACH, ZH, MF, AS, RVS, RBH.

Funding Source

This study was supported by the Alliance for Health Policy and Systems Research (Alliance). The Alliance's work is made possible through the commitment and support of various funders, including Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance, which contributed designated funding and support for this project, alongside the Alliance's long-term core contributors from national governments and international institutions.

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301
@10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Faculty of Public Health, Universitas Airlangga, for their technical support and all contributors who assisted in the implementation of this study.

References

- Abdisa, A. B. et al. (2022) 'Health workers' use of routine health information and related factors at public health institutions in Illubabor Zone, Western Ethiopia', BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making, 22(1), p. 140. doi: 10.1186/s12911-022-01881-y.
- Aceh, B. P. (2020) *Provinsi Aceh dalam angka*. Available at: http://library1.nida.ac.th/termpaper6/sd/2554/19755.pdf.
- Aceh, D. K. (2020) *Profil kesehatan aceh* 2019. Available at: https://scholar.google.com/scholar?h l=id&as_sdt=0%2C5&q=profil+keseh atan+aceh+2019&btnG= (Accessed: 21 December 2020).
- Akinyemi, Y. C. (2020) 'Geospatial Analysis of Vaccination Coverage and Predictors of Non- and Under-Vaccination of Children Aged 12–23 Months in Nigeria', *Papers in Applied Geography*. Routledge, pp. 1–33. doi: 10.1080/23754931.2020.1809009.
- Ali, D. et al. (2020) 'A cost-effectiveness analysis of traditional and geographic information system-supported microplanning approaches for routine immunization program management in northern Nigeria', *Vaccine*. Elsevier, 38(6), pp. 1408–1415. doi: 10.1016/J.VACCINE.2019.12.002.
- Allan, S., Adetifa, I. M. O. and Abbas, K. (2021) 'Inequities in childhood immunisation coverage associated with socioeconomic, geographic, maternal, child, and place of birth characteristics in Kenya', *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 21(1), p. 553. doi: 10.1186/s12879-021-06271-9.
- Alsuwaidi, A. R. et al. (2023) 'Vaccine hesitancy within the Muslim community: Islamic faith and public health perspectives', Human

- Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics. Taylor & Francis, 19(1), p. 2190716. doi:
- 10.1080/21645515.2023.2190716.
- Andika, F., Rayyanis, N. and Suanda, J. (2020) 'Analysis of Measles and Rubella vaccination determinants in toddlers at Kopelma Darussalam health center', in AIP Conference Proceedings. AIP Publishing LLC, p. 20047.
- BPS (2020) Statistik Indonesia 2020.

 Available at:
 https://www.bps.go.id/publication/do
 wnload.html?nrbvfeve=ZTkwMTFiMz
 E1NWQ0NWQ3MDgyM2MxNDFm&
 xzmn=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cuYnBzLm
 dvLmlkL3B1YmxpY2F0aW9uLzlwMj
 AvMDQvMjkvZTkwMTFiMzE1NWQ0
 NWQ3MDgyM2MxNDFmL3N0YXRp
 c3Rpay1pbmRvbmVzaWEtMjAyMC
 5odG1s&twoadfnoarfeauf=MjAyMS0
 wNC0 (Accessed: 16 April 2021).
- Bullen, M., Heriot, G. S. and Jamrozik, E. (2023) 'Herd immunity, vaccination and moral obligation.', *Journal of medical ethics*. England, 49(9), pp. 636–641. doi: 10.1136/jme-2022-108485.
- Dimitrova, A. et al. (2023) 'Essential childhood immunization in 43 lowmiddle-income countries: Analysis of spatial trends socioeconomic inequalities in vaccine coverage', PLOS Medicine. Public Science. Library of 20(1), p. e1004166. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed. 1004166.
- Directorate of Health Worker Planning Indonesia (2022) Target Rasio Tenaga Kesehatan. Available at: https://pusatkrisis.kemkes.go.id/__pub/files71827Salinan_Dokumen_Target_Rasio_Tenaga_Kesehatan.pdf.
- Dougherty, L. et al. (2019) 'From paper maps to digital maps: enhancing routine immunisation microplanning in Northern Nigeria', BMJ Global Health, 4(Suppl 5), p. e001606. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001606.
- Harapan, H. et al. (2020) 'Religion and Measles Vaccination in Indonesia, 1991–2017', American Journal of

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia)
p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301
@10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

- Preventive Medicine. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.202 0.07.029.
- Jinarong, T. et al. (2023) 'Muslim parents' beliefs and factors influencing complete immunization of children aged 0–5 years in a Thai rural community: a qualitative study', *BMC Public Health*, 23(1), p. 1348. doi: 10.1186/s12889-023-15273-y.
- Johns, N. E. et al. (2022) 'Association childhood immunisation between coverage and proximity to health facilities in rural settings: a cross-Service sectional analysis of Provision Assessment 2013–2014 facility data and Demographic and Health Survey 2015-2016 individual data in Mal', BMJ Open, 12(7), p. 10.1136/bmjopene061346. doi: 2022-061346.
- Melaku, M. S., Nigatu, A. M. and Mewosha, W. Z. (2020) 'Spatial distribution of incomplete immunization among under-five children in Ethiopia: Evidence from 2005, 2011, and 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and health survey data', *BMC Public Health*. BioMed Central Ltd, 20(1). doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-09461-3.
- Ministry of Health Indonesia (2020a)

 Buletin Surveilans & Imunisasi.

 Available at:

 https://www.who.int/docs/defaultsource/searo/indonesia/sit-rep/epivpd-bulletined1.pdf?sfvrsn=ae70706f_2
 (Accessed: 4 January 2023).
- Ministry of Health Indonesia (2020b) *Profil Kesehatan Indonesia Tahun 2019*. Available at: https://www.kemkes.go.id/folder/view/01/structure-publikasi-pusdatin-profil-kesehatan.html (Accessed: 17 February 2021).
- Ministry of Health Indonesia (2022) Menkes
 Optimis Target 95% Anak di Provinsi
 Aceh Diimunisasi Polio Tercapai –
 Sehat Negeriku. Available at:
 https://sehatnegeriku.kemkes.go.id/b
 aca/umum/20221205/1941945/menk
 es-optimis-target-95-anak-diprovinsi-aceh-diimunisasi-polio-

- tercapai/ (Accessed: 4 January 2023).
- Ministry of Health Indonesia (2023) 'Laporan Survei Kesehatan Indonesia (SKI) 2023'. Available at: https://layanandata.kemkes.go.id/kat alog-data/ski/ketersediaan-data/ski-2023.
- Muharram, F. R. et al. (2024) 'Adequacy and Distribution of the Health Workforce in Indonesia', WHO South-East Asia Journal of Public Health, 13(2). Available at: https://journals.lww.com/wsep/fulltext/2024/13020/adequacy_and_distribution_of_the_health_workforce.2.aspx
- Mulyanto, J., Kunst, A. E. and Kringos, D. S. (2019) 'Geographical inequalities in healthcare utilisation and the contribution of compositional factors: A multilevel analysis of 497 districts in Indonesia', *Health & Place*. Elsevier Ltd, 60(August), p. 102236. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace. 2019.102236.
- Mulyanto, J., Kunst, A. E. and Kringos, D. S. (2020) 'The contribution of service density and proximity to geographical inequalities in health care utilisation in Indonesia: A nation-wide multilevel analysis', Journal of global health. International Society of Global Health, 10(2), 20428. doi: p. 10.7189/jogh.10.020428.
- Ogero, M. et al. (2022) 'Pentavalent vaccination in Kenya: coverage and geographical accessibility to health facilities using data from a community demographic and health surveillance system in Kilifi County', BMC Public Health, 22(1), p. 826. doi: 10.1186/s12889-022-12570-w.
- Oteri, J. et al. (2021) 'Application of the Geographic Information System (GIS) in immunisation service delivery; its use in the 2017/2018 measles vaccination campaign in Nigeria', Vaccine, 39, pp. C29–C37. doi:
 - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.202 1.01.021.
- Peters, D. H. et al. (2013) 'Implementation research: what it is and how to do it.',

(Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia) p-ISSN 2303-3592, e-ISSN 2540-9301 € 10.20473/jaki.v13i1.2025.xx-xx

- BMJ (Clinical research ed.). England, 347, p. f6753. doi: 10.1136/bmi.f6753.
- Rakmawati, T., Hinchcliff, R. and Pardosi, J. F. (2019) 'District-level impacts of health system decentralization in Indonesia: A systematic review', *The International journal of health planning and management*. Wiley Online Library, 34(2), pp. e1026–e1053.
- Rasmussen, A. L. (2020) 'Vaccination Is the Only Acceptable Path to Herd Immunity.', *Med (New York, N.Y.)*. United States, 1(1), pp. 21–23. doi: 10.1016/j.medj.2020.12.004.
- Schaller, J., Schulkind, L. and Shapiro, T. (2019) 'Disease outbreaks, healthcare utilization, and on-time immunization in the first year of life', *Journal of Health Economics*. North-Holland, 67, p. 102212. doi: 10.1016/J.JHEALECO.2019.05.009.
- Singh, S. K. and Vishwakarma, D. (2021) 'Spatial heterogeneity in the coverage of full immunization among children in India: Exploring the contribution of immunization card', *Children and Youth Services Review*, 121, p. 105701. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2 020.105701.
- Tesfa, G. A. et al. (2022) 'Spatial distribution and associated factors of

- measles vaccination among children aged 12–23 months in Ethiopia. A spatial and multilevel analysis', Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics. Taylor & Francis, 18(1), p. 2035558. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2022.2035558.
- Umer, M. F. et al. (2020) 'Spatiotemporal clustering analysis of Expanded Program on Immunization (EPI) vaccination coverage in Pakistan', Scientific Reports, 10(1), p. 10980. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-67839-0.
- WHO (2009) Microplanning for immunization service delivery using the Reaching Every District (RED) strategy. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/ite m/microplanning-for-immunization-service-delivery-using-the-reaching-every-district-(-red)-strategy (Accessed: 11 January 2023).
- WHO (2020) Immunization coverage.
 Available at:
 https://www.who.int/en/newsroom/factsheets/detail/immunization-coverage
 (Accessed: 22 December 2020).
- WHO (2025) Vaccines and immunization.
 Available at:
 https://www.who.int/healthtopics/vaccines-andimmunization#tab=tab_1 (Accessed:
 16 April 2025).