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ABSTRACT

Background: Dermatitis refers to the inflammation of the skin and can be acute, sub-acute, or chronic. In 2014, there were 364 cases in Meureubo Health Center, which increased sharply to 1,854 cases in 2015 and 2,794 cases in 2016. Purpose: This study looks at the relationship between personal hygiene and a history of contact with family members suffering from dermatitis and the incidence of dermatitis in farmers in West Aceh Regency. Methods: This research was conducted using a descriptive observational design and was carried out in the working area of the Meureubo Health Center in West Aceh Regency between 5 December 2017 and 8 January 2018. A purposive sampling technique was used for the collection of primary and secondary data. Results: The majority of respondents were male (60%), aged 31–40 years (66%), and had a low education level (76%). The majority of farmers had poor personal hygiene (61%) and had a history of contact with family members suffering from dermatitis (66%). Conclusion: There is a high prevalence of poor personal hygiene in farmers, and they tend to have a history of contact with family members suffering from dermatitis.


ABSTRAK

INTRODUCTION

Dermatitis and urticaria are occupational dermatoses. Contact dermatitis accounts for 50% of all occupational diseases (OD), and most of them are nonallergic or irritant type. There are two types of contact dermatitis: irritant contact dermatitis, which is a nonimmunological response; and allergic contact dermatitis, which is caused by specific immunologic mechanisms (Salawati, 2015). Both types can be acute or chronic. The causes of allergic contact dermatitis include chemicals in the tools used by the sufferer and materials in the vicinity of the sufferer (Wardani, Mashoe dojo, & Bustaman, 2018). Other factors that facilitate the onset of dermatitis are air temperature, humidity, friction, and occlusion (Alex, Longinus, Olatunde, & Chinedu, 2018).

Data from the UK found 129 cases of occupational dermatitis per 1,000 workers. Almost all occupational dermatoses are contact dermatitis (95%), while some are other skin diseases such as acne, contact urticaria, and skin tumors (Mrema, Ngowi, Kishinhi, & Mamuya, 2017). Dermatitis usually affects people who have frequent contact with substances that are toxic or allergic, e.g. housewives, farmers, and workers who deal with chemicals (Malik & English, 2015). A study by Negatu, Kromhout, Mekonnen, & Vermeulen (2016) also concluded that personal hygiene influences the incidence of dermatitis.

Atopic dermatitis is also increasing in prevalence worldwide and is estimated to be around 15–30% in children and 2–10% in adults (Rahman, Sultana, Rahman, & Bilgrami, 2015). The incidence of atopic dermatitis has doubled in the last three decades in industrialized countries, and according to the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC), its prevalence in children varies from 1 to 20%, with the highest prevalence found in Northern Europe. The prevalence of atopic dermatitis in Asian children is yet to be widely reported, but the reported overall prevalence rates are 20% in Hong Kong, 19% in Japan, and 21% in Singapore (Chandarakesan, Muruhan, & Sayanam, 2018).

The results of epidemiological studies in Indonesia have suggested that 97% of the 389 dermatitis cases are contact dermatitis, with 66% found to be contact dermatitis irritant (CDI) and 34% allergic contact dermatitis (DKA) (Mekonnen, Yenealem, & Tolosa, 2019). The incidence of occupational contact dermatitis is estimated at 0.50 to 0.70 cases per 1,000 workers per year in Indonesia (Dewi, Tina, & Nurzalmariah, 2017), and skin diseases are estimated to account for 9–34% of ODs. Occupational contact dermatitis usually occurs on the hands. The incidence rate for dermatitis in North American varies between 2% and 10%, and it is estimated that 5% to 7% of dermatitis sufferers will develop chronic dermatitis (Kadivar & Belsito, 2015).

Data from the Aceh Health Service stated that many people complained of skin disease or dermatitis due to the floods that hit several districts in Aceh Province (Dinkes Aceh, 2016). According to data from the West Aceh Regency Health Office, there were as many as 8,076 dermatitis cases in 2016, compared to 7,487 in 2015. In addition, many dermatitis cases were experienced by farmers who work in the fields and plantations. Based on data from the Meureubo Health Center, the number of dermatitis cases in farmers in 2014
was 364, which increased to 1,854 cases in 2015 and 2,794 cases in 2016 (Dinkeskab Aceh Barat, 2017).

Based on observations at the research location, dermatitis is common in farmers. This condition may occur due to lack of personal hygiene, for example, not taking a shower and changing clothes after work. Farmers also often use family bath towels, which can lead to the transmission of dermatitis. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate personal hygiene and history of contact with families who have dermatitis and how these relate to the incidence of dermatitis in farmers.

METHODS

This research used a descriptive observational design and was conducted in the work area of the Meureubo Health Center in West Aceh Regency between 5 December 2017 and 8 January 2018. The data were collected through questionnaires and observation sheets. The condition of dermatitis determined by health workers (doctors). The sample was taken from 1,809 farmers in the work area of the Meureubo Health Center in West Aceh Regency between 2014 and 2016. The number of samples was determined using the Slovin formula, and 95 people were chosen for inclusion in the study using a purposive sampling technique.

Personal hygiene was measured using a questionnaire and direct observation, specifically when the respondent was farming. The incidence of dermatitis was determined based on the observations and recollections of health workers and farmers in the form of physical appearance and symptom (Harahap, 2015). Dermatitis was considered to be “present” if the respondent had physical abnormalities and skin complaints (e.g. itching, red rashes, cuts and scars) and “absent” if the respondent had no physical abnormalities or skin complaints.

A history of contact with dermatitis sufferers in the family environment was obtained via interviews and categorized based on the contact history between farmers and sufferers during the past year. Contact could refer to direct skin contact or to indirect contact via home appliances and clothing. Contact was classified as “present” if there were family members suffering from dermatitis who had lived together in the past year, and “absent” if there were no family members suffering from dermatitis. Primary data were obtained through interviews and a questionnaire, while secondary data were obtained from health department reports and local health centers.

RESULTS

The majority of respondents were male (60%), aged 31–40 years (38%), and had a low level of education (38%). The majority of respondents had poor personal hygiene (61%), and dermatitis was more common in these farmers compared to farmers with good personal hygiene. The majority of respondents had a history of contact with sufferers of dermatitis within a family environment (66%) (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Characteristics of Respondents

Age can affect the occurrence of dermatitis in farmers because as human skin ages, it loses the top fat layer and becomes more sensitive and drier. Older skin is also more prone to allergies, sensitivity, and irritation compared to younger skin due to a weakened immune system (Sundararaj, Govindaraju, & Thangaraj, 2017). Weakening of the immune system in old age is triggered by the diminution of the thymus gland, which makes it harder for skin cells to maintain their moisture levels due to the depletion of the basal layer. In old age, the skin elements that retain water and maintain the texture of the intercellular structure decrease (Nutten, 2015).

Gender can also influence dermatitis as women’s skin is more sensitive than men’s skin due to differences in hormones and the number of hair follicles and sebaceous glands/sweat glands. Men’s skin has a dominant hormone, androgen, which can cause men to sweat more and grow more hair, whereas women’s skin is thinner than men's skin, making it more susceptible to damage (Moore et al., 2017).
Table 1
Respondent Demographics at Meureubo Primary Health Care (Puskesmas), West Aceh District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic of Respondent</th>
<th>Kejadian Dermatitis Pada Petani</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ya</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>57.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>43.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>38.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle School</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Hygiene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>60.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of Contact with Family Member Who Suffers From Dermatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Education can affect a person's knowledge, and knowledge is an important factor in the formation of behavior. It will be seen from personal hygiene in daily life, especially when working as a farmer, such as using clean clothes, gloves and shoes when farming, and also take a shower immediately after work. Moreover, this behavior will help protect the health of farmers and prevent dermatitis (Ramdan, Ilmiah, & Rahmat, 2018).

Personal Hygiene and the Occurrence of Dermatitis in Farmers

The results of the observations indicated that farmers were more likely to have poor personal hygiene than good personal hygiene. Farmers prefer to rest or do other housework, such as washing dishes or sweeping the yard.

According to Penders et al (2014) personal hygiene is personal care with the aim of maintaining health before, during, and after work. The purpose of personal hygiene is to improve health, improve self-confidence, prevent disease, and create beauty.

Farmers are included in the wet work category, which can be defined as activities where workers must immerse their hands in liquid for more than 2 hours a day, need to wear gloves for an appropriate amount of time, or wash their hands more than 20 times a day. Skin diseases such as dermatitis mostly found on the type of work that members are often exposed to the air, such as farmers, fishermen, and health workers (Nutten, 2015).

According to Antonov, Schliemann, & Elsner (2015), to do a Clean and Healthy Lifestyle Patterns (PHBS), several assessments should be done, like eating a balanced diet, families using clean water for their daily needs, families defecating in latrines/toilets that meet health requirements, drinking boiled water, bathing using bath soap, washing hands using soap before eating, washing equipment using soap, and eradicating the sources of disease transmission.

The results of this study are supported by the findings of Dewi, Tina, & Nurzalmariah (2017), who stated that poor personal hygiene can cause dermatitis by allowing germs to multiply. The results of this study are also supported by Susanty (2015), who stated that poor personal hygiene can cause skin disease, e.g. sweaty clothing can allow germs to breed easily. Dewi, Tina, & Nurzalmariah (2017) also mentioned that personal hygiene can influence dermatitis, while Bhuiyan,
Sikder, Wadud, Ahmed, & Faruq (2016) stated that personal hygiene influences skin disease. Similarly, Bonamonte et al (2019) found that poor personal hygiene was a risk factor for dermatitis.

**Contact with Family Members with Dermatitis and the Occurrence of Dermatitis in Farmers**

The field observations suggested that the respondents who had contact with family members suffering from dermatitis were more likely to experience dermatitis themselves compared to the respondents who did not have a history of contact with family members suffering from dermatitis. Al-Otaibi & Alqahtani (2015) also revealed that one of the risks associated with the occurrence of dermatitis was contact with dermatitis sufferers. Some respondents with no history of contact with family members suffering from dermatitis still experienced dermatitis themselves, however, possibly due to a lack of good personal hygiene (Kantor & Silverberg, 2017). Safriyanti, Lestari, & Ibrahim (2016) stated that certain jobs had a higher risk of dermatitis, such as farming, jobs in the furniture industry, carpentry, painting, hairdressing, and jobs in the textile industry. The results of their study also showed that there was a significant relationship between personal hygiene and a history of contact with dermatitis sufferers. The same results were also found in a study by Chafidz & Dwiyanti (2018) who stated that there was a correlation between duration of contact and occurrence of dermatitis. This condition according to the research conducted by Herwanto & Hutomo (2016) that duration of contact will provide a great risk for the spread of skin diseases, such as dermatitis.

According to Moore et al (2017), bathing and using soap at least two times a day (more often when working in dirty or sweaty places), avoid using clothes, towels, blankets, bath soap, and gloves in congregation can decrease the risk of dermatitis infection. The study also mentioned that the factors causing contact dermatitis can be divided into direct causes (characteristics of media contact) and indirect causes (characteristics of host). The results of the current study are also supported by research conducted by Sasseville, Alfalah, & Lacroix (2015), who mentioned that contact with dermatitis sufferers can cause dermatitis.

Skin disease can be caused by several factors, such as environmental factors, exposure characteristics, agent characteristics, and individual factors like age, sex, and personal hygiene (Anshar, Pramuningtyas, & Usdiana, 2016). Inadequate personal hygiene can cause fungal, bacterial, viral, and parasitic infections, as well as skin disorders and other complaints. If working conditions are dirty and moist, this can cause skin diseases to develop more easily (Dewi, Tina, & Nurzalmariah, 2017; Moore et al., 2017)

**CONCLUSION**

The majority of respondents were male, aged 31–40 years, and had a low level of education. Farmer with poor hygiene and a history of contact with family members who suffered from dermatitis were more likely to suffer from dermatitis themselves.
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