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ABSTRACT

Medication errors (MEs) are preventable events that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm. Annually 98,000 patients die because of avoidable MEs, and it is considered a financial burden on public health organizations. The purpose of our study was to examine the incidence rate, types, and causes of medication errors. We conducted a prospective, descriptive study from 1st November 2018 to 1st March 2019 at Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital in Morocco. We performed prescriptions analysis for all patients, and the proper corrective measures were taken. We analyzed 19,200 prescriptions during the study period and made 2,152 (11.20%) pharmaceutical interventions (PI). We identified 752 medication errors (34.94%) of the total PIs) in 562 patients, with an incidence rate of 3.91%. The number of medication errors was higher in men than in women. The most frequent medication errors were: drug interaction errors, dosage or concentration errors, and dose errors. Bearing in mind the outcomes of our study, it is crucial to raise awareness among healthcare professionals of the significance of medication errors. Further investigations are required to fully understand and establish measures to reduce the prevalence rate of medication errors.

INTRODUCTION

Medication errors (MEs) have not gained a lot of attention until the publication of To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System in 1999, a report by the Institute of Medicine showed the significance and seriousness of medication errors on the healthcare systems and pointed out that every year 98,000 patients dies in hospitals because of avoidable medication errors. The report labeled medication errors as a factor of morbidity and mortality and a financial burden as well. MEs cost the European healthcare systems between €4.5 and 21.8 billion annually. Another recent study estimated that yearly in England, 66 million potentially preventable adverse drug effects are committed, inducing and contributing to a longer hospital stay and the death of 1,081.

In healthcare institutes, MEs are more frequently observed in intensive care units where patients are more vulnerable, and the outcomes can be lethal. According to the French Agency for the Safety of Health Products (AFSSAPS), a medication error is the omission or performance of an
unintended act involving a medication during the caregiving process. It may be the source of a risk or an adverse event for the patient\(^5\). The National Coordinating Council for Medication Error Reporting and Prevention (NCC MERP) defines a medication error as any preventable event that may cause or lead to inappropriate medication use or patient harm while the medication is in the control of the healthcare professional, patient, or consumer\(^6\).

The main reasons for medication error are incomplete patient information, missing drug information, lack of drug history details from the patient’s side, improper drug storage, illegible handwriting, drug interactions, improper training of nurses, etc.\(^7\). In Morocco, the incidence of medication errors are underestimated, and not enough data are available to evaluate the situation, despite its seriousness. The comparison with or the extrapolation of information from different publications is challenging because of the dissimilarities in the demographic parameters. The struggle with MEs is also worsened by the absence of a standardized taxonomy that characterizes an error, potential error, error cause, or contributing factor\(^8\). The aim of this study was to examine the incidence rate, types, and causes of medication errors in Mohamed V Military Teaching Hospital, Rabat, Morocco.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We conducted a prospective and descriptive study on medication errors in a hospital pharmacy. We collected and analyzed prescriptions of various departments within the pharmacy department in Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital over 4 months, starting the 1\(^{st}\) of November 2018 to the 1\(^{st}\) of March 2019. We collected the data using a patient follow-up sheet that was established in agreement with the pharmacy department and its staff. The sheet form contained different pieces of information related to the patient: Identity of the patient (first and last name, age, weight, height, BMI, city, and telephone number) also the type of error involved (risk of error, potential, proven) and the principal causes responsible for these errors. We analyzed prescriptions and evaluated drug interactions using the database of the National Hospital Center for Drug Information (CNHIM)\(^9\) and the 2016 ANSM thesaurus\(^10\). The inclusion criteria for this study included all personalized prescriptions of patients of all ages. The exclusion criteria included prescriptions for reagents, medical devices, and antituberculosis drugs, and global prescriptions.

**RESULTS**

During the period of the study, we analyzed 19,200 prescriptions. The pharmacists made 2,152 pharmaceutical interventions (PI), which represent 11.20%. Among these PIs, 752 were related to MEs, representing 34.94% of the total PIs with an incidence rate of 3.91%. From the 752 MEs, we could identify 562 patients with a male predominance (80.60%), an average age of 62.5 ranging from 2 to 92 years old, and an average hospitalization length of 10 days. As much as 92.81% of the MEs were dominated by five categories (Table 1).

The drugs most repeatedly concerned (Figure 1) were: antibiotics (189 MEs), antithrombotics (156 MEs), analgesics (174 MEs), cardiovascular system drugs (153 MEs), blood substitutes
and infusion solutions (48 MEs), and laxatives (32 MEs).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of error</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drug interaction errors</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>47.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dosage or concentration errors</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>17.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose errors</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>16.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Errors of mission</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Therapeutic and clinical follow-up</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug errors</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galenic formulation errors</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration time errors</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration route errors</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>752</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Six causes backed 64.2% of these medication errors (Table 2).

The prevalence of drug interactions represented 47.87% of the total errors. As many as 360 interactions were identified in 94 patients, with the most common being acetylsalicylic acid/clopidogrel (12.22%), acetylsalicylic acid/heparin (8.33%), and furosemide/spironolactone (5.83%). As much as 11.11% of the drug interactions were serious interactions where the association was not recommended, 37.22% were moderate interactions, and 51.66% were minor interactions.

![Figure 1. The drugs most repeatedly concerned with dication errors](image_url)
DISCUSSION

MEs are a significant concern in healthcare. It can carry severe implications for patients and healthcare professionals as well, contributing to the extension of the hospital stay length. The main goal of this study was to determine the prevalence of medication errors in Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital in Rabat, Morocco with patient safety as the target by establishing and reducing the major cause of medication errors where the human factor plays a significant role in medication errors8.

Before all else, medication errors may occur at any step in the process, from prescribing, ordering, transcription, dispensing, and administration. Most of the available studies reviewed MEs at the prescription, transcription, and administration phases. Our work studied MEs at the prescriptions step. We ascertained that medication errors in Mohammed V Military Teaching Hospital were frequent, with the most frequent MEs being: drug interaction errors, dosage and concentration errors, and dose errors. In agreement with other studies, we found that MEs were more frequent with antibacterial drugs11,12.

A voluntary reporting system, including the FDA, MedWatch, MEDMARX, and the medication error reporting program, introduced in 1998, to date has received over 1 million entries. The top 10 drugs repeatedly implicated in medication errors are insulins, albuterol, morphine, sodium chloride, heparin, cefazolin, furosemide, levofloxacín, and vancomycin13.

Understanding MEs and determining their prevalence is the gateway to establishing measures and procedures to reduce their occurrence. Nonetheless, a prospective cohort study by Leaped et al14 declared that most MEs go unnoticed, and only significant events are reported.

According to the report "To Err is human" MEs cause the death of 1 in 131 outpatients and 1 in 854 inpatients1. Another two studies reported that the prevalence of MEs rates between 4.8% and 5.3% in inpatients15,16. Bats et al. studied the frequency of harm related to MEs. They examined over 10,000 medication orders identifying a prevalence of 5.3% errors. Yet, they deduced that only 0.9% of the errors resulted in adverse drug occurrences16. Most MEs can go unnoticed. However, a decline in the patient’s renal or hepatic function, patient’s impaired cognition, advanced age, and other comorbidities can aggravate it17. The rate of MEs is influenced by numerous factors that fluctuate from one hospital to another, and sometimes from one department to another in the same hospital. In general, it includes drug interaction errors, dosage or concentration errors, dose errors, errors of omission, therapeutic and clinical follow-up errors, drug errors, galenic formulation errors, administration time errors, and administration route errors.

The WHO launched the third WHO Global Patient Safety Challenge in 2017 with the theme "Medication without Harm" which aims to reduce severe avoidable medication-related harm by 50%. Medication without harm is an approach that encourages all persons involved in the caregiving process (doctors, pharmacists, nurses, and patients) to take an active role to ensure safer medication practices18.

Organizations like the Institute for Safe Medication Practices, The American
Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the American Society of Clinical Oncology with the US Oncology Nursing Society, and the Clinical Oncology Society of Australia have shared recommendations for a safe approach to enhance medication safeness\(^{19,21}\). The guidelines enclose: Using unambiguous packaging and labelling, using Tallman lettering to distinguish similar-looking or sounding drugs, using templated order sets with required fields, and the shifting from handwritten to pre-printed or electronic prescriptions.

The guidelines also suggested additional safe practices, including the use of checklists, prohibition of verbal prescription, avoidance of ambiguous abbreviations, and the establishment of a no interruption rule during drug prescribing or dispensing.

Although retrospective reviews and studies can lead to spotting medication errors and taking corrective measures, prospective studies offer a tool for understanding and improving MEs. In addition, it provides the possibility of evaluating the effectiveness of the taken and rectifying actions\(^{22}\).

Over the last decade, information technology and computerized prescription entry have grown extensively\(^{23}\). A meta-analysis reported that electronic prescribing significantly reduced MEs compared to no electronic strategy. Preventable adverse drug events were also reduced. However, other parameters, such as length of stay and mortality, were not altered. Nevertheless, the studies included in the meta-analysis were very heterogeneous\(^{24}\).

A study by Liang et al.\(^{25}\) also reported that using electronic prescription entry systems with advanced decision support could benefit oncology practices in particular, as well as the general population.

Advanced decision support, namely drug allergy, interactions, dose limit warning, and calculation support for weight-based and body surface-based dosing, can limit medication errors and benefit the caregiving process. Another two studies by Pichon et al.\(^{26}\) and Huertas Fernández et al.\(^{27}\) additionally noted that electronic entry ensures complete prescriptions, reduces oversights related to legibility, and eliminates the necessity for transcription, which taken together reduces errors rate by 62% - 75%. Clifton-Koeppel\(^{28}\) stated that the presence of a clinician pharmacist responsible for the prevention of global errors showed promising results in the process of reducing MEs, and a simulation experience by Hayes et al.\(^{29}\) conducted in an Australian university reported that exposing nurses to clinical experiences improved their deduction reasoning and the analysis of the practical situation.

**CONCLUSION**

MEs have always been a sensitive subject and a burden on public health organizations considering their cost in terms of mortality and finance. In Morocco, insufficient information is available about the incidence rate of MEs. In our prospective study, we tried to determine the markers and the patterns of MEs to provide a deep understanding of the situation and direct the process of establishing measures to reduce them. Nevertheless, additional studies are needed to enhance the apprehension of the prevalence of MEs on the entire medication circuit.
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