Nur Rachmat Yuliantoro

Universitas Gadjah Mada

ABSTRACT

China has introduced several initiatives aimed at further strengthening its influence both regionally and globally. As a complement to the Belt and Road Initiative, the Chinese government also launched the trio of Global Development Initiative, Global Security Initiative, and Global Civilization Initiative. The Global Security Initiative (GSI) has received widespread attention regarding its meaning, strategy, objectives, and implications for China's role in international security and peace. By looking at this issue from the current dynamics of global security, this study invites us to understand what the GSI is and the goals that China wants to achieve with it, especially in Southeast Asia. The implications of the GSI for the region's economic development and stability are also highlighted. China intends the GSI to act as a "broker" for achieving world peace, with strategic consequences for the stability of the Southeast Asian region.

Keywords: China; Global Security Initiative; Southeast Asia; regional stability; economic development.

Dewasa ini Cina telah memperkenalkan beberapa inisiatif yang ditujukan untuk semakin memperkuat pengaruhnya baik secara regional maupun global. Sebagai pelengkap dari Belt and Road Initiative, pemerintah Cina juga meluncurkan trio Global Development Initiative, Global Security Initiative, dan Global Civilization Initiative. Global Security Initiative (GSI) mendapatkan perhatian yang luas terkait dengan makna, strategi, tujuan, dan implikasinya bagi peran Cina dalam keamanan dan perdamaian internasional. Dengan melihat isu ini dari dinamika keamanan global yang berlaku, studi ini mengajak kita untuk memahami apa sesungguhnya GSI serta tujuan yang hendak Cina capai dengannya, khususnya di Asia Tenggara. Implikasi dari GSI terhadap pembangunan ekonomi dan kestabilan kawasan ini juga disorot oleh studi ini. GSI dimaksudkan oleh Cina untuk berperan sebagai "perantara" bagi upaya mencapai perdamaian dunia, dengan konsekuensi-konsekuensi strategis bagi kestabilan kawasan Asia Tenggara.

Kata-kata Kunci: Cina; Global Security Initiative; Asia Tenggara; stabilitas kawasan; pembangunan ekonomi.

China's rise as a global power has been one of the most significant developments of the 21st century. China has witnessed incredible economic development and transformation over the last three decades, driving it to the world's second-largest economy. However, China's ascent to global power is not restricted to economic might. It also includes political clout, military capability, and a growing worldwide footprint in areas such as trade, investment, and diplomacy. The West is divided on whether China's emergence should be viewed as a threat or an opportunity (Scott 2007).

The integration of China into the global economy has been a gradual and strategic process driven by the state's power and control (Babić and Dixon 2022). One of the factors contributing to China's economic rise today is its high demand for natural resources and how these can be made available through various domestic and foreign policies. The impact of China's escalating industrial resource consumption on the environment has also emerged as a significant concern for the 21st century, making the implications of its rise extend beyond its borders.

The rise of China particularly challenges Western political and economic dominance, as it fosters diplomatic, investment, trade, and aid relations with many countries. Primarily since 2013, China's growing power has raised concerns among neighboring countries and Western powers about its intentions and the possibility of conflict. Despite China's efforts to promote peaceful development and a harmonious world, many believe that its rapid economic growth may lead it to follow an expansionist strategy like that of the United States, potentially challenging U.S. hegemony and causing instability in the regional and global order (Park 2022). In short, China's rise as a global power has been driven by its unprecedented economic growth, state power and control, and the reconfiguration of great powers.

The increasing economic prowess of China has compelled the U.S. and other key players in the global economy, security and governance to adjust. China's increasing influence in global economic governance and its assertive approach to projecting power along its periphery signifies a significant shift in the existing world order (Zhang 2016). In this context, understanding and comprehending China's Global Security Initiative (GSI) is

of utmost importance. The trio of GSI, the Global Development Initiative (GDI), and the Global Civilization Initiative (GCI) (Khalid 2023; Osman 2023; Schuman, Fulton, and Gering 2023) are China's efforts to not only respond to global issues but also to develop its image as a friendly nation, a pioneer of a harmonious world, while showing its growing influence.

The main goal of the GSI is to enhance China's influence and leadership in regional security dynamics, establishing itself as a regional hegemonic power. From a specific viewpoint, the GSI has the potential to create instability in the region. Southeast Asian nations are confronted with a predicament as they try to find a balance between the economic advantages gained from China's infrastructure investments and development projects and the potential threats to their sovereignty and regional security. The fears are amplified by China's growing military capabilities and assertive position in the South China Sea, which have the potential to escalate tensions and provoke wars related to territorial disputes.

On the other hand, the GSI also offers prospects for Southeast Asian countries. The initiative's focus on the development of infrastructure and the establishment of regional connections has the potential to stimulate economic growth and promote integration in Southeast Asia. Furthermore, China's partnership with ASEAN (the Association of Southeast Asian Nations) in cybersecurity and other crucial fields is intended to strengthen regional stability and security, providing concrete advantages.

Nevertheless, the overall influence of the GSI on regional stability is still a subject of disagreement. China's methods of fostering global peace and security, as expressed through the GSI, may appear advantageous. However, they also entail potential dangers such as heightened reliance and strategic manipulation. Southeast Asian states must carefully negotiate this intricate situation, aiming to strike a balance between pursuing economic benefits through engagement with China, while also protecting their national security and autonomy.

This paper explores the importance of understanding China's GSI and the strategic challenges that the country poses to neighboring states in the 21st century, especially in Southeast Asia. It investigates

how China has utilized its newfound power in various forms to assert itself along its peripheries (Jain and Lee 2021). In doing so, the paper is divided into six parts. Following this introduction, a short overview and contextual understanding of GSI will be presented. After that, our discussion will continue by looking at the objectives of the GSI, both general and specific, and how they have defined China's ever-increasing power demonstration. The paper will analyze the possible implications of the GSI in Southeast Asia, focusing on how the GSI plays a role in constructing the current and future relations between the two sides. The paper then concludes by reflecting on how the GSI may define China's rise and redefine the country's ties with Southeast Asia.

A multifaceted framework

Over the past decade, China has made significant efforts to establish itself as a dominant force and to restructure the global system. Unveiled by President Xi Jinping in April 2022, the Global Security Initiative is a crucial element of China's strategy, extensively analyzed and debated in academic and policy literature.

An in-depth analysis of the GSI is essential, focusing on its evolution, goals, and consequences as documented in various sources. The GSI is a sophisticated and multifaceted policy framework founded on multilateralism and the belief that global prosperity depends on ensuring security. According to Manoj (2023), the initiative's progress since President Xi's announcement involves evaluating its goals, scope, and specific policy actions. Manoj argues that the GSI's primary objective is to counter the U.S.' Indo-Pacific Strategy and dissuade nations from aligning with Washington (see also Camba 2023). Additionally, it aims to secure China's economic interests globally and advance Chinese military and security diplomacy in emerging countries. China also seeks to establish itself as a leading force in maintaining the UNcentered international order while promoting a more inclusive and collaborative global security policy.

Research into the GSI often considers its context within global governance. Scholars have highlighted how China has risen in global governance, noting its diverse relationships with various institutions and regimes (see Zhang 2023; Yang 2021; Liu 2020; and Wu 2016). The core principles of the GSI reflect China's vision for a new world order based on principles of sovereignty, noninterference, and multilateral cooperation, aimed at elevating Chinese influence in global governance (Schuman, Fulton, and Gering 2023). This initiative is not just about influence but also about offering China's solutions and insights into global security (Raditio 2023; Jash 2022; Xinhua 2022). The primary objective of the GSI is to exert a significant impact on a worldwide scale, while simultaneously restructuring the regulations and guidelines of global security governance in accordance with Chinese values and norms. The alignment is achieved by bolstering China's influence, questioning Western dominance, expressing leadership, and pursuing economic and geopolitical goals on the international platform (Schuman, Fulton, and Gering 2023).

The GSI also aims to enhance Chinese military and security diplomacy in developing countries, suggesting a more significant role for China in addressing conflicts and hotspot issues that affect its interests. China has emphasized mutual trust, mutual benefit, equality, and cooperation for a long time to address security challenges and promote regional stability. However, the GSI faces resistance from countries wary of China's military advancements. particularly in Southeast Asia (Thi Ha 2023). Over time, China's security strategy has developed to tackle emerging threats and has influenced its approach to security collaboration with Southeast Asian nations. Despite the attempts made, several Southeast Asian countries still have reservations regarding China's assertive behavior in the South China Sea and its potential impact on regional security dynamics (Parameswaran 2022). Furthermore. specific authors highlight that the GSI is an ambiguous notion, and converting it into a concrete framework has been difficult. The implementation of the GSI has faced challenges and intricacies in developing a significant framework (Manoj 2023).

The GSI encompasses the exercise of power and influence on a global level and the establishment of international security governance regulations. It highlights China's efforts to redefine global security standards and institutions according to its objectives and viewpoints. Nevertheless, China encounters obstacles in executing the GSI because of concerns expressed by many nations,

particularly those inside its historical area of influence, who perceive it as a possible security risk. Southeast Asia is a region that requires special attention. Stakeholders in the region perceive the South China Sea as a theater for China's strategic competition with the United States. China's assertiveness in the South China Sea has shaped its security initiatives in Southeast Asia and raised complex challenges for regional security (Parameswaran 2022). Therefore, further dialogue is necessary to understand how Southeast Asian nations are addressing the GSI and its impact on regional security.

The Global Security Initiative: What is It?

As China's global economic presence continues to grow, so does its political and military influence. This has led to the development of the Global Security Initiative, which aims to enhance China's national security while also contributing to global peace and stability (Yunsong and Han 2022). The initiative is also intended to bolster China's economic power and geopolitical standing in the world.

President Xi announced the GSI in his keynote address at the Boao Forum for Asia Annual Conference on April 21, 2022. Promoted as a Chinese solution to global security challenges, the GSI is expected to function as "security for all in the world ... [as it is] committed to the vision of common, comprehensive, cooperative and sustainable security ... [and aims to] uphold the principle of indivisible security, build a balanced, effective and sustainable security architecture, and oppose the pursuit of one's security at the cost of others' security" (Jash 2022). This explains how crucial the GSI is to China. Since then, it has become one of China's primary references for international relations, especially in power politics, regional and international security, and challenges from hegemonic powers.

The GSI includes various measures such as information sharing, military cooperation, and joint exercises with other countries to address common security challenges (Cash 2022; Javid 2022; Kewalramani 2023). The GSI "upholds the principles of respect for national sovereignty, territorial integrity, noninterference in

internal affairs, and the right of all countries to independently choose their development paths and social institutions" (Khalid, 2023). Through this initiative, China seeks to establish itself as one of the dominant forces in international relations.

Along with the GDI and the GCI, the GSI is expected to play an essential role in China's efforts to shape the world's future. It "aims to bring countries on board with Beijing's vision of a security landscape governed by the principle of mutual noninterference" (Osman, 2023), potentially influencing international security dynamics and alliances. The three "global outreach" reflect China's aspirations to increase its geopolitical power and promote its preferred development, security, and cultural diversity principles. They are anticipated to help form China's expanding role in international relations, development cooperation, and cultural interchange, potentially impacting the balance of power and norms in the larger geopolitical landscape. They demonstrate China's commitment to promoting a shared future for humanity and could potentially contribute to a more peaceful and prosperous global community (Khalid, 2023).

Schuman, Fulton, and Gering (2023) add that the core principles of the GSI include "paramount importance of state sovereignty and territorial integrity; noninterference in the internal affairs of states; opposition to "unilateral" sanctions and "bloc confrontation"; a vision of common, comprehensive, cooperative, and sustainable security; respecting the sovereignty and territorial integrity of all countries; abiding by the purposes and principles of the UN Charter; taking the legitimate security concerns of all countries seriously; peacefully resolving differences and disputes between countries through dialogue and consultation; and maintaining security in both traditional and nontraditional domains." These principles reflect China's vision for a new global governance structure and its objective of expanding influence while undermining American preeminence.

The GSI has significantly impacted China's diplomatic relations with other nations. According to Schuman, Fulton, and Gering (2023), China has consistently supported the GSI in its diplomatic interactions, placing significant emphasis on its strategic significance in tackling global risks and challenges. These include

mediating the Iran-Saudi diplomatic agreement, extending an offer to support a peace settlement between Israel and the Palestinians, and presenting a 12-point "peace plan" to resolve the conflict in Ukraine. These diplomatic endeavors serve to authenticate China's stance and adherence to global governance principles. The GSI, which provides public goods within an alternative normative framework, is an integral part of China's ambitious strategy to position itself as a frontrunner in international governance.

Beijing is emphasizing the GSI more as President Xi continues to reshape the global order in his favor. The GSI, in this context, is an emerging security framework that seeks to foster a security community centered around China while denouncing the eastward expansion of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and U.S. Indo-Pacific strategy, both of which are viewed negatively by Xi (Arase 2022). At the end of the day, "the GSI is a manifesto for an alternative system of international affairs to the current "rulesbased" order led by the U.S. and its partners in Europe and the Indo-Pacific" (Schuman, Fulton, and Gering 2023).

Main Objectives of the Global Security Initiative

The GSI represents China's endeavor and contribution towards addressing many international challenges, with a particular emphasis on security and defense. While some argue that China's expectation of leadership in the GSI reflects its position as the global center, the GSI is also regarded by some as an essential counterbalance to the dominance of Western powers. How the world perceives and how we define China's rise are decisive factors.

Scholars have devoted considerable attention to China's ascent to power, concentrating on its grand economic strategy and resistance to the American international order. Initially, China has become a manufacturing and exporting powerhouse and lifted millions of people out of poverty because of its unprecedented economic development. Proudly announcing in February 2022 that China is devoid of extreme poverty, Xi's assertion drew widespread criticism from the West. Second, China's integration into the global economy has been significantly influenced by its state power and control. China actively participates in the Asia Pacific Economic

Cooperation (APEC) and Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) and is a significant member of the World Trade Organization (WTO).

Furthermore, despite certain controversies, China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has broadened global awareness regarding the country's substantial contributions to economic development across numerous regions. Furthermore, the rise of China has resulted in a restructuring of global superpowers, thereby posing a challenge to the longstanding supremacy of the U.S. and diminishing the sway of the European Union (Men and Shen 2014). The establishment of AUKUS in September 2021—a security alliance comprising Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States—underscores Western nations' apprehension regarding China's burgeoning influence and their determination to contain it.

The emergence of China as a significant global power has not been devoid of controversy and apprehension. China's assertive behavior in territorial disputes is a substantial source of concern, particularly in the South China Sea. Neighboring nations have questioned China's intentions and the possibility of a military conflict, considering its actions in the South China Sea, which have exacerbated tensions. Furthermore, this has resulted in heightened rivalry and competition for dominance in the Asia-Pacific region, specifically concerning the role of the United States. The latter, sensing a decline in its international standing, has escalated its involvement in regional matters, deteriorating relations and increasing tensions between China and its US-aligned neighbors.

In general, this paper acknowledges that the primary objective of the GSI is to present China's approaches and viewpoints to promote global peace and security. This aligns with an argument that "[the Global Security Initiative is the way China promotes itself further] as an honest broker in global security affairs" (Oudenaren 2023). Put simply, the GSI represents China's endeavor to refute assertions that it is a hostile nation; it wishes to demonstrate that its expanding global influence and power do not give rise to any cause for concern or apprehension. Despite this, Southeast Asian countries frequently perceive China as ambivalent in its status as a major power. While relying on China for investment, financing,

and trade, they oppose the country's escalation into an aggressive and arrogant superpower, particularly concerning the South China Sea dispute. In Southeast Asia, the main objectives of the GSI can be categorized into two key areas: economic power and geopolitical influence.

Significant Chinese engagement has been observed in Southeast Asia through the implementation of the GSI. Geographically, China is well-positioned to increase its authority and influence in this region in a variety of domains. Southeast Asia serves as a critical partner for China in its efforts to safeguard the strength and development of ASEAN, specifically with respect to matters of political and economic stability. China and Southeast Asia's economic relations have been fundamental to the GSI. The predominant focus of China's endeavors in the region has been economic expansion, achieved through the implementation of strategic investments and initiatives such as the BRI. These investments serve the dual purpose of enhancing connectivity within the region and promoting trade. China's strategic objective is to bolster its economic ties with nations in Southeast Asia to ensure a steady supply of resources and expand its clientele for various goods and services. The countries involved in this economic interaction encounter a blend of benefits and drawbacks (Abdulsalam et al. 2021).

It is imperative to bear in mind that Southeast Asian countries have also been actively involved in China's economic developments. The beneficiaries have observed the positive impacts of Chinese investments, which encompass improved infrastructure and increased trade prospects. Furthermore, China's diplomatic involvement with Southeast Asian nations during the COVID-19 pandemic has underscored its dedication to safeguarding regional security. Southeast Asian countries have received medical assistance, economic support, and knowledge exchange from China regarding the pandemic (Parameswaran 2022; Yuliantoro 2022).

Nevertheless, this perspective on economic cooperation motivated by geopolitics draws striking similarities to arguments put forth regarding China's association with Southeast Asia. One could contend that China's involvement in Southeast Asia is motivated by comparable strategic goals, including consolidating its regional influence, acquiring energy resources, and expanding its market (Hung 2018). Furthermore, the GSI has emphasized Southeast Asian agriculture and food security with an example in Chandra and Lontoh (2010). Aside from the potential for China's investments in Southeast Asia to stimulate economic development and growth, they have also sparked concerns regarding the sustainability of debt and discriminatory trade practices. There have been apprehensions regarding the potential consequences of China's economic influence on Southeast Asian nations, including heightened reliance and relinquishment of sovereignty (Hung 2018).

Therefore, one of the primary objectives of the GSI in Southeast Asia is, not surprisingly, the expansion of China's economic influence. As stated previously, China has historically held a prominent position in the region's economic development. China's involvement has grown substantially over the past decade due to the BRI. The BRI is a significant infrastructure endeavor that seeks to foster economic solidarity and collaboration between China and various regions in the world, with a specific focus on Southeast Asia. To ensure the smooth operation of BRI projects, we can emphasize that the BRI is a component of the GSI, which entails its development and implementation. The collaboration between the two initiatives will bolster China's economic influence in Southeast Asia and exert substantial geostrategic and political sway over the diplomatic ties of the participating nations.

Given the magnitude and scope of its power, it is only natural that China would seek to expand its geopolitical influence. One of the primary objectives of China's foreign policy is unquestionably to increase its perceived influence in Southeast Asia. In this particular context, the GSI assumes significance and pertinence. The main objectives of China's GSI are to solidify its sway in Southeast Asia and contest America's dominant position by weakening its hegemony (Egberink & Van Der Putten 2010; Pak Yiu 2022). The GSI can be interpreted as an attempt to 'catch two flies with one sway,' bolstering China's influence in Southeast Asia and diminishing America's preeminent position and involvement in the region. This is achieved through various strategies, including cooperation with ASEAN members, an increased Chinese presence

in the South China Sea, and partnerships with Southeast Asian nations to ensure the security of energy supply routes to the Middle East and Central Asia.

Notwithstanding the economic advantages and diplomatic involvement, China's involvement in Southeast Asia has encountered obstacles and sparked debates. This perspective on economic cooperation motivated by geopolitics is consistent with arguments regarding China's participation in the region, which encompass the reinforcement of its influence in comparison to the U.S., the exhibition of its leadership capacity, and the establishment of a pathway to the Indian Ocean (Pratiwi, Saraswati, and Muttaqien 2018). China's geopolitical aspirations in Southeast Asia transcend mere economic considerations. The strategic approach adopted by China towards Southeast Asia serves to consolidate its influence in the region.

Implications of the Global Security Initiative to Southeast Asia

The analysis of China's Southeast Asian strategy for the Global Security Initiative can be approached from multiple vantage points. According to one viewpoint, China may seek to consolidate its influence in Southeast Asia to the detriment of the U.S. This observation is evident in China's endeavors to enhance diplomatic relations with Southeast Asian nations and its advocacy for economic collaboration and development approaches in the region.

Conversely, China may seek to establish itself as a regional hegemon and assert its authority. China demonstrates this objective through its pursuance of strategic alliances, expansion of maritime presence, and efforts to secure energy supply lines. Indeed, there have been numerous analyses of the security situation in the South China Sea, encompassing Chinese foreign policy, the intricacies of ASEAN maritime security cooperation in the area, and the ramifications for Asian regional security (Budiana and Djuyandi, 2023). Southeast Asian nations are confronted with complex tasks, and some may even argue about the dilemma of simultaneously safeguarding their national security interests and

managing their relations with China (Umar and Santoso 2023).

Southeast Asian nations have exhibited diverse reactions to the GSI. Certain countries have approved the endeavor and enhanced their economic relations and collaborative efforts with China (Camba 2023: Haenle and Le Thu 2023: Parameswaran 2022). They perceive the GSI as a chance to fortify their infrastructure investment and economic development policies and strategies. Conversely, other Southeast Asian countries have voiced apprehensions regarding the escalating sway of China and the resulting ramifications for security in the region (Basundoro 2023; Darmawan and Ng 2022: Parameswaran 2022). Southeast Asian nations have encountered a security quandary since the end of the Cold War. The presence of the GSI has purportedly further complicated this dilemma. However, as suggested by Umar & Santoso (2023), Southeast Asian nations have managed to alleviate, if not entirely resolve, this security dilemma by utilizing ASEANcentered multilateral mechanisms that serve as intermediaries between them and significant powers. These mechanisms have provided a platform for Southeast Asian countries to engage with China and other major regional powers, promoting peaceful resolution of disputes and enhancing regional stability.

In addition to posing a challenge to "the regional security architecture" (Anwar 2023) as it currently stands, the GSI has precipitated a reconfiguration of the balance of power in Southeast Asia. The GSI has placed Southeast Asian nations in a difficult position as they attempt to balance their relations with great powers, notably the U.S. and China. Southeast Asian countries have historically employed a "hedging" approach, which entailed preserving favorable diplomatic ties with the U.S. and China to safeguard their respective economic and security concerns (Jones and Jenne 2022). Nevertheless, the emergence of China as a regional hegemon has prompted Southeast Asian nations to reassess their alliances and partnerships. They are confronted with maintaining their independence and strategic objectives amidst the pressures and influence exerted by the U.S. and China. Furthermore, the implementation of China's GSI has engendered apprehensions regarding the possibility of China exerting coercion or influence over Southeast Asian nations. Southeast Asian countries exhibit prudence towards becoming entangled in the

U.S.-China rivalry, desiring to avoid being compelled to decide between the two preeminent powers (Narine, 2023).

The expansion of China's military capabilities in the region has prompted concerns regarding the repercussions of its GSI. China's growing military might have long instilled apprehension among Southeast Asian nations, and the GSI has merely intensified these apprehensions. The GSI aims to bolster China's military capabilities and extend its sphere of influence throughout Southeast Asia. Southeast Asian nations must assess the ramifications of China's expanding military capabilities and GSI with great care while navigating the intricate dynamics of U.S.-China competition in the region. It is understood that the intensifying U.S.-China geopolitical competition and the development of China's BRI have added new uncertainties to Southeast Asia's security landscape. They are confronted with the dilemma of whether to preserve favorable relations with China, which could result in infrastructural development and economic prospects or rather jeopardize their sovereignty and regional security.

A critical element of China's GSI is its prioritization of fostering mobility and connectivity in Southeast Asia. China acknowledges the significance of developing physical infrastructure to bolster security and regional integration. The potential drawbacks of a physical infrastructure architecture resembling a hub-and-spoke system and relying on Chinese value chains are acknowledged, as they can impede intra-ASEAN integration (Mueller 2019). China has actively engaged with ASEAN through the ASEAN-China Strategic Partnership Vision 2030 to mitigate these risks. By pursuing synergies and shared objectives in regional connectivity, this partnership seeks to synergize the Master Plan on ASEAN Connectivity and the BRI in some aspects. China and ASEAN are expected to collaborate through this partnership to guarantee that infrastructure development is advantageous for all participants and does not undermine the region's unity.

Within this implication of connectivity, an additional significant objective of the GSI is the improvement of information sharing and cybersecurity capabilities. China acknowledges the criticality of cybersecurity as a means to safeguard regional stability and thwart potential risks. Beijing recognizes the potential hazards of

cyberattacks and information warfare, which may cause economic disruptions, compromise national security, and erode international trust (Javid 2022). China has been facilitating the exchange of information with ASEAN, establishing collaborative response systems to counter cyber threats, and promoting information-sharing mechanisms and sharing of cybersecurity best practices. Beyond cybersecurity and physical infrastructure, the GSI includes nuclear technology, collaborative vaccine production, and technology transfer, among other critical domains. The collaboration between China and ASEAN in these vital domains seeks to guarantee that the GSI is not a hollow symbolic gesture but one that yields concrete advantages and fortifies the partnership between the two sides.

Discussing the implications of the GSI for Southeast Asia may also lead to a further look at the initiative's prospects. The multifaceted and ambiguous nature of the GSI is evident. One potential consequence of China's expanding military capabilities and regional presence facilitated by the GSI is the possibility that it will attain a more dominant and assertive stance in Southeast Asia. Particularly for Southeast Asian nations embroiled in territorial disputes with China, this may deteriorate their sovereignty and territorial integrity. The GSI, on the other hand, may also offer some advantages to these nations. As already mentioned, the BRI's infrastructure projects, supported by the GSI, have the potential to bolster regional connectivity and stimulate economic expansion. However, such endeavors raise concerns regarding the potential for China to exploit its influence for geostrategic and political benefit, as well as economic dependence.

ASEAN member states widely recognize the challenges and hazards associated with China's GSI. They are striving to achieve a nuanced equilibrium between engaging with China to capitalize on its economic prospects and simultaneously protecting their sovereignty and security. Moreover, Southeast Asian nations have established mechanisms to manage their regional security concerns and are not solely dependent on China for their security. The ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF), which functions as a forum for dialogue and confidence-building initiatives among key powers, is one such mechanism.

The implications of the GSI for Southeast Asia are significant. A paradigm shift occurs in the region, as China assumes a prominent role in influencing and establishing security norms and dynamics. The GSI can potentially disrupt the established regional security order, historically marked by the preeminence of ASEAN and the participation of external powers like the United States. Southeast Asian states may be confronted with challenging decisions and compromises as China endeavors to establish its dominance in the region. While safeguarding their national interests, they must cautiously navigate their relations with China and the United States.

In summary, China's GSI profoundly affects Southeast Asia. This development denotes a transformation in China's regional security strategy and indicates its expanding leadership and influence in the region. Southeast Asian nations face risks and difficulties due to China's increased involvement and initiatives in this region (Egberink and Van Der Putten 2010). These nations are confronted with the intricate task of balancing protecting their sovereignty and security while capitalizing on the economic advantages of engaging with China. Moreover, to reestablish regional norms and assert dominance, the GSI may also potentially disrupt the existing regional security order.

Southeast Asian nations must maintain ASEAN's unity and cohesion while balancing their national interests with those of China and the U.S. in their diplomatic relations. Concerns are also raised regarding the potential militarization of the South China Sea by the GSI, given China's territorial assertions and expansion of its presence in the area. The circumstances above have promptly escalated tensions and introduced the potential for conflict, as adjacent nations assert their territorial claims and vie for maritime resources. The increasing sway of China and its GSI has the potential to erode ASEAN's central position and compromise its capacity to resolve regional security disputes and mediate between competing interests.

Conclusion

China's Global Security Initiative seeks to bolster the country's security capabilities and extend its global influence. The ramifications of this endeavor are substantial in Southeast Asia, considering the region's intricate security dynamics and strategic location. To consolidate its influence and enhance control over critical regions like the South China Sea, the GSI signifies a transformation in the regional balance of power. Southeast Asian nations are concerned about the GSI, specifically regarding territorial disputes in the South China Sea. Southeast Asian nations are vested in preserving their sovereignty and territorial integrity while ensuring stability in the South China Sea.

Beyond physical infrastructure and cybersecurity, the GSI incorporates many other priority areas to bolster regional stability and cooperation between China and ASEAN. China aims to mitigate potential tensions and promote the mutual advantage of all stakeholders in infrastructure development by employing its strategic partnership with ASEAN. China's engagement in cooperative endeavors with ASEAN across multiple domains of worldwide security exemplifies its dedication to confronting challenges within the region, fostering solid alliances, and advancing sustainable development.

The GSI affects the security concerns of Southeast Asian nations. These nations are tasked with safeguarding their national security interests while navigating their relations with China. The GSI poses a dual profile of prospects and obstacles. Opportunities encompass prospects for enhanced economic collaboration, entry into infrastructure development, and potential advantages stemming from China's expanding influence. Difficulties emerge due to the possibility of reliance on China, the potential for territorial disputes, and apprehensions regarding the regional balance of power.

The GSI affects Southeast Asia in substantial ways. It involves the region's security dynamics and poses difficulties for the unity and cohesion of ASEAN. Southeast Asian nations must maintain ASEAN cohesion while judiciously navigating their relations with China and the U.S. in consideration of their respective national

interests. Moreover, they must consider the potential disruption of the established regional security order and the dangers associated with the militarization of the South China Sea. The determination of whether China is genuinely endeavoring to enhance its international reputation and advance regional and global peace and security as a peace broker is a matter of time.

Acknowledgment

The completion of this study was made possible through the financial support provided by the Department of International Relations, Universitas Gadjah Mada. The author extends his sincere gratitude to the Department for its commitment to advancing knowledge and invaluable contribution to the production of this work.

About the Author

Nur Rachmat Yuliantoro is an associate professor at the Department of International Relations, Universitas Gadjah Mada. One of his main research areas is China's foreign policy. He can be reached at nur. rachmat@ugm.ac.id.

References

Book and Book Chapters

Men, Jing, and Wei Shen, 2014. "The EU, the US and China: Towards a New International Order?" In Men, Jing and Wei Shen, 2014. *The EU, the US and China – Towards a New International Order?*. Cheltenham & Massachusetts: Edward Elgar.

Journal and Online Journal

Abdulsalam, Alnoah, Helian Xu, Waqar Ameer, Al Barakani Abdo, and Jiejin Xia, 2021. "Exploration of the Impact of China's Outward Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) on Economic Growth in Asia and North Africa along the Belt and Road (B&R) Initiative.", Sustainability, 13(4): 1–16.

Nur Rachmat Yuliantoro

- Babić, Milan, and Adam D Dixon, 2022. "Is the China-Effect Real? Ideational Change and the Political Contestation of Chinese State-Led Investment in Europe.", *The Chinese Journal of International Politics*, **15**(2): 111–39.
- Budiana, Muhammad, and Yusa Djuyandi, 2023. "International Security Based on the United States Response Post to the South China Sea Claim by the People's Republic of China.", *Jurnal Wacana Politik*, **8** (1): 89–96.
- Egberink, Fenna, and Frans-Paul Van Der Putten, 2010. "ASEAN and Strategic Rivalry among the Great Powers in Asia.", *Journal of Current Southeast Asian Affairs*, **29**(3): 131–41.
- Hongsong, Liu, 2020. "Chinese perception of China's engagement in multilateralism and global governance.", *The Pacific Review*, **33**(3–4): 469–496.
- Hung, Ho Fung, 2018. "The Tapestry of Chinese Capital in the Global South.", *Palgrave Communications*, **4**(1).
- Jain, Romi, and Joseph Tse-Hei Lee, 2021. "Geopolitical Implications of China's Rise in Asia.", *Social Transformations in Chinese Societies*, **17**(1): 1–11.
- Jones, David Martin, and Nicole Jenne, 2022. "Hedging and Grand Strategy in Southeast Asian Foreign Policy.", *International Relations of the Asia-Pacific*, **22**(2): 205–35.
- Mueller, Lukas Maximilian, 2019. "ASEAN Centrality under Threat—the Cases of RCEP and Connectivity.", *Journal of Contemporary East Asia Studies*, **8**(2): 177–98.
- Park, Yong Soo, 2022. "An Appraisal of Power Balancing between India and China.", *International Journal of Social Science Studies*, **11**(1): 39.
- Pratiwi, Fadhila Inas, Dini Putri Saraswati, and M Muttaqien, 2018. "Does the Rise of China Present a Threat to the Interests of the United States?", *Masyarakat, Kebudayaan Dan Politik*, **31**(4): 350–57.
- Scott, David, 2007. "The 21st Century as Whose Century?", *Journal of World-Systems Research*, **13**(2): 96–118.
- Umar, Ahmad Rizky M., and Yulida Nuraini Santoso, 2023. "AUKUS and Southeast Asia's Ontological Security Dilemma.", *International Journal*, **78**(3): 435–453.

- Yang, Yi Edward, 2021. "China's Strategic Narratives in Global Governance Reform under Xi Jinping.", *Journal of Contemporary China*, **30**(128): 299–313.
- Yuliantoro, Nur Rachmat, 2022. "The Politics of Vaccine Diplomacy and Sino-Indonesian Relations.", *Global Strategies*, **16**(1): 127–46.
- Zhang, Yongjin, 2016. "China and Liberal Hierarchies in Global International Society: Power and Negotiation for Normative Change.", *Chinese Journal of International Politics*, **92**(4): 23–37.
- Zhang, Yun, 2023. "China's Role in Global Governance in the Post-COVID-19 Era.", *Journal of Global Strategic Studies*, **3**(1): 67–78.

Reports

- Chandra, Alexander C, and Lucky A Lontoh, 2010. "Regional Food Security and Trade Policy in Southeast Asia The Role of ASEAN." International Institute for Sustainable Development.
- Darmawan, Aristyo, and Jefferson Ng, 2022. "China's Global Security Initiative: A View from Indonesia." *IDSS Paper*. September 11, 2022.
- Fengshi, Wu, 2016. "China and Global Governance: Four Pathways to Cross a Threshold." *SSRN*. March 18, 2016.
- Thi Ha, Hoan, 2023. "Why Is China's Global Security Initiative Cautiously Perceived in Southeast Asia?" *ISEAS Perspective*. February 2023.

Online Article

- Arase, David, 2022. "China's Global Security Initiative Stoking Regional Tensions." *TIME* [Online] Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362076391 [accessed on December 19, 2023].
- Basundoro, Alfin Febrian, 2023. "Indonesia's Dilemma as China Pushes 'Global Security Initiative." *The Interpreter* [Online] Available at: https://www.lowyinstitute.org/the-interpreter/

- indonesia-s-dilemma-china-pushes-global-security-initiative [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Camba, Alvin, 2023. "Will China's Global Security Initiative Impact ASEAN." *The Diplomat* [Online] Available at: https://thediplomat.com/2023/04/will-chinas-global-security-initiative-impact-asean/[accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Cash, Chris, 2022. "What Is China's Global Security Initiative?" *Council on Geostrategy* [Online] Available at: https://www.geostrategy.org.uk/research/what-is-chinas-global-security-initiative/ [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Haenle, Paul, and Huong Le Thu, 2023. "Vietnam's Response to China's Global Security Initiative." *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace* [Online] Available at: https://carnegieendowment.org/2023/10/18/vietnam-s-response-to-china-s-global-security-initiative-pub-90793 [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Jash, Amrita, 2022. "Xi's Global Security Initiative: In Pursuit of China's Own Interests and Ambitions." *ThinkChina* [Online] Available at: https://www.thinkchina.sg/xis-global-security-initiative-pursuit-chinas-own-interests-and-ambitions. [accessed on December 19, 2023].
- Javid, Siham, 2022. "China's Global Security Initiative and Global Development Initiative: A Challenge to the US-Led Global Order?" *Institute for Regional Studies* [Online] Available at: http://irs.org.pk/Focus/11FocusDec22.pdf [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Kewalramani, Manoj, 2023. "China's Global Security Initiative: Undermining US Alliances or Quest for a New Security Architecture?" *Takshashila Foundation* [Online] Available at: https://takshashila.org.in/research/global-security-initiative-undermining-us-alliances [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Khalid, Imran, 2023. "Three Forward-Looking Initiatives by China." *CGTN.Com* [Online] Available at: https://news.cgtn.com/news/2023-03-18/Three-forward-looking-initiatives-by-China-1ih5nxnbUEU/index.html [accessed on December 19, 2023].
- Osman, Ruby, 2023. "Bye Bye BRI? Why 3 New Initiatives Will Shape the Next 10 Years of China's Global Outreach." *TIME* [Online] Available at: https://time.com/6319264/china-belt-and-road-ten-years/ [accessed on December 19, 2023].

- Pak Yiu, 2022. "China's Global Security Initiative: Xi's Wedge in the U.S.-Led Order." *Nikkei Asia* [Online] Available at: https://asia.nikkei.com/Spotlight/Asia-Insight/China-s-Global-Security-Initiative-Xi-s-wedge-in-the-U.S.-led-order [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Parameswaran, Prashanth, 2022. "Southeast Asia and China's Global Security Initiative Between Rhetoric and Reality." *The Diplomat* [Online] Available at: https://thediplomat.com/2022/08/southeast-asia-and-chinas-global-security-initiative-between-rhetoric-and-reality/ [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Raditio, Klaus Heinrich, 2023. "Opinion China's Global Security Initiative's Revisionism." *E-International Relations* [Online] Available at: https://www.e-ir.info/2023/04/28/opinion-chinas-global-security-initiatives-revisionism/ [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Schuman, Michael, Jonathan Fulton, and Tuvia Gering, 2023. "How Beijing's Newest Global Initiatives Seek to Remake the World Order." *The Atlantic Council* [Online] Available at: https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/issue-brief/how-beijings-newest-global-initiatives-seek-to-remake-the-world-order/ [accessed on December 19, 2023].
- Xinhua, 2022. "Global Security Initiative offers China's solutions, wisdom." *XinhuaNet.com*. [Online] Available at: https://english.news. cn/20220423/66511d44ca634963b03dfd5120a115ef/c.html [accessed on December 14, 2023].
- Yunsong, Wang, and Bao Han, 2022. "Global Security Initiative Offers China's Solution to Safeguarding Global Security and Peace." *The China Daily* [Online] Available at: https://global.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202206/07/WS629f03d1a310fd2b29e61335.html [accessed on December 14, 2023].