Original Research

Volume 18, No. 1, 2025 OPEN Access

Uncovering Intention to Adopt Self-Checkout Through Technology Readiness: Empirical Study of Retail Customers

*Muhammad Hanif Ibrahim[®], Widya Ayu Amelia[®], Ansari A. Syahafin[®]

Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Correspondence*: Address: Bulaksumur, Kec. Depok, Kabupaten Sleman, Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta, Indonesia 55281 | e-mail: muhammadhanifibrahim@mail.ugm.ac.id

Abstract

Objective: The objective of this study is to examine the factors that influence the intention to adopt self-checkout in a retail context.

Design/Methods/Approach: This study employs the PLS-SEM method, with a total of 356 respondents selected using purposive sampling.

Findings: The dimensions of technology readiness have been shown to have a significant effect on expected ease of use and expected usefulness, except discomfort, which did not affect expected usefulness. In addition, autonomous motivation is proven to have a significant positive effect on expected ease of use and expected usefulness, despite controlled motivation having no impact on either expected ease of use and expected usefulness. Consequently, expected ease of use and expected usefulness significantly improve attitudes toward self-checkout system.

Originality/Value: This research integrates three theories, technology readiness, technology acceptance model, and self-determination theory in predicting self-checkout

Practical/Policy implication: For retailers looking to implement a self-checkout system, our research provides insights into the importance of adequate resources and support to facilitate user adoption. Retailers can leverage these findings to develop effective communication strategies highlighting the benefits of self-checkout through in-store and online advertising, resource updates, and employee training.

Keywords: Self-Checkout; Technology readiness; Retail customers

JEL Classification: D13, I31, J22, K31, M48



DOI: https://doi.org/10.20473/jmtt.v18i1.69806 Received: February 13, 2025; Revised: April 10, 2025; April 15, 2025; Accepted: April 16, 2025; Available online: April 21, 2025 Copyright © 2025, The Author(s) Published by <u>Universitas Airlangga</u>, Department of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business

This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC-BY) International License. The full terms of this license is a state of the full terms of this license is a state of the full terms of te

I. Introduction

The rapid development of technology impacted changes in consumer behavior in transactions, prompting businesses to adopt self-checkout technologies (SSTs). In this regard, adopting SSTs has positively affected retail business growth (Collier et al., 2015). Integrating SSTs into business would produce more significant results in two ways: (1) reducing operational cost through work efficiency and (2) enhancing service quality through the favorable experience of SSTs (Leung & Matanda, 2013; Saloman, 2006). On top of that, SSTs have become increasingly relevant as they minimize physical contact, aligning with consumer preferences for safer and more convenient transactions. As technology-driven shopping experiences become the norm, businesses should continuously adapt their strategies by adopting SSTs to remain competitive in the evolving retail landscape.

Self-checkout, a swiftly growing self-service technology (SST), transforms consumer roles by allowing them to scan their product barcode, pay for the product, and place it into the cart themselves without assistance from employees (Meuter et al., 2000; Schliewe & Pezoldt, 2010). This innovation, which relies on barcode scanning and universal product codes (UPCs) introduced in the 1970s (Basker et al., 2012), reshapes retail transactions. By adopting self-checkout, consumers could speed up the payment process to avoid long lines, save time, and obtain a more comfortable shopping experience (Duarte et al., 2022; Mekruksavanich, 2020). In other words, from the consumers' perspective, self-checkout is helpful by offering greater autonomy to consumers in shaping their shopping experience. While SSTs (i.e., self-checkout) provide efficiency and cost savings from the business perspective and convenience from the consumers' perspective, they also introduce risks such as technical failures and consumer frustration due to usability challenges (Thomas-Francois & Somogyi, 2023). In this regard, businesses must understand the factors influencing consumers' adoption of self-checkout technology.

To understand consumer adoption of self-checkout, it is essential to examine key theoretical perspectives that explain how individuals perceive and interact with technology. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) by Davis (1989) has been widely utilized to explain technology adoption, emphasizing two critical constructs: perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use (Ahmad et al., 2020). Perceived usefulness refers to the extent to which an individual believes that using a technology will enhance their performance, while perceived ease of use reflects the degree of effort required to use the technology (Davis, 1989). These factors may shape consumer attitudes toward self-checkout and ultimately influence their intention to use such a system. However, while TAM provides valuable insights into technology adoption, it does not fully account for individual differences in consumer readiness and motivation to engage with new technologies.

Integrating the technology readiness (TR), which was developed by Parasuraman (2000), allows us to capture a deeper understanding of how consumer perception of readiness toward technology influences their adoption. Technology readiness comprises four key dimensions: optimism, innovation, discomfort, and insecurity (Parasuraman, 2000). Optimism and innovation encourage technology adoption by fostering a willingness to embrace new solutions, whereas discomfort and insecurity may hinder adoption due to concerns over complexity or reliability. By incorporating TR into the study of self-checkout adoption, businesses could position their customers' segment based on their readiness to engage with these systems and develop targeted strategies to encourage adoption.

In addition, self-determination theory (SDT) by Deci and Ryan (1985) offers a complementary perspective by examining the motivational aspects underlying consumer willingness to adopt self-checkout. SDT differentiates between autonomous and controlled motivation, where autonomous motivation enhances positive adoption behaviors, whereas controlled motivation may lead to less favorable experiences (Dubnjakovic, 2017; Meisler, 2020). In other words, SDT complements TAM and TR by examining the motivational factors that influence individuals' intention to adopt self-checkout.

Integrating TAM, TR, and SDT is crucial for capturing the multifaceted nature of consumer adoption of selfcheckout technologies in retail. While TAM explains cognitive evaluations of technology, TR accounts for individual readiness to adopt technology, and SDT highlights the role of motivation in shaping adoption behavior. Understanding these interconnections allows businesses to design SSTs that cater to various consumer segments, ensuring a balance between usability, readiness, and motivation. This study aims to bridge these theoretical frameworks to comprehensively explain consumer adoption behavior regarding self-checkout, providing managerial insights for businesses looking to enhance self-checkout implementation strategies.

The adoption of self-checkout in developed countries as the only option in their services is widespread, such as Amazon Go, Kroger, Tesco, Walmart, and so on (Lee & Leonas, 2021; Nusrat & Huang, 2024; Wolniak et al., 2024). On the other hand, prior studies have shown that self-checkout adoption in developing countries is still limited (Lee et al., 2009; Oreal & Kara, 2014). To address the gap, this study focused on unveiling the factors of intention to adopt self-checkout in a developing country. Specifically, we examined whether the cognitive perception of technology acceptance, readiness to embrace technology, and motivational factors affect the intention to adopt self-checkout in retail business within an emerging market setting.

Furthermore, previous studies have shown that technology readiness (Aguzman et al., 2020), ease of use (Aguzman et al., 2020; Cebeci et al., 2020; Lee & Leonas, 2021; Safitri et al., 2021), usefulness (Aguzman et al., 2020; Cebeci et al., 2020), and self-determined motivation (Leung & Matanda, 2013) influence the adoption of self-checkout separately. The three theoretical domains underlying these factors may have stronger interconnections in explaining the

antecedents of intention to adopt self-checkout. We address the gap by capturing a more comprehensive perspective by integrating these three theoretical domains (TAM, TR, SDT) in predicting the intention to adopt self-checkout.

This research contributes to both practical and theoretical perspectives. From a practical perspective, we offer inputs to retail business management regarding the factors that drive and inhibit consumers' intention to adopt self-checkout. Based on theoretical perspectives, we provide a better understanding of the potential adoption of self-checkout in retail business by testing the TAM model, which includes perceived ease of use and usefulness, attitude, and intention to use. Second, to delve deeper into the likelihood of self-checkout adoption in retail business, we consider technology readiness, which involves the drivers and inhibitors of technology readiness. Third, we propose controlled & autonomous motivation as an external variable that also plays a role in influencing perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness, which in turn affects the adoption of self-checkout in the retail business. Lastly, we integrate these three theories to offer a comprehensive perspective determining individuals' intention to adopt self-checkout in the retail industry.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

2.1. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

TAM refers to people's behavior in adopting technological innovations (Davis, 1989). This model is widely used by research in various disciplines to explain predictors of human behavior toward the potential acceptance or rejection of technology (Davis, 1989). Furthermore, Davis (1989) said that TAM is a derivative of TRA (theory of reasoned action) and TPB (theory of planned behavior). Moreover, Taylor and Todd (1995) explained that TAM is in the Ease of Use and Usefulness variables in assessing beliefs, while TRA and TPB have many beliefs that are not specific to certain behaviors. In the end, TAM is robust in measuring the behavior of using information technology (Venkatesh et al., 2003). It is hoped that the use of technology can bring performance improvements to individuals or organizations by increasing efficiency, saving costs, improving customer service, enabling simple communication, and encouraging strong collaboration with stakeholders (Rawwash et al., 2020). Expected Usefulness (PU), Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU), Attitude (ATT), and Intention to Use (ITU) are strong predictors of usage acceptance of a technology. In recent years, TAM has emerged as a leading paradigm in explaining technology adoption in various fields, such as social media (Zhao et al., 2016), health technology (Rouidi et al., 2022), online banking (Lee, 2009), and education (Natasia et al., 2022; Sukendro et al., 2020). The literature above shows the application of TAM, which has been widely used in various fields, including the mobile application domain. However, to the best of the researcher's knowledge, efforts to use TAM concepts to test public acceptance of self-checkout are limited, and this topic deserves further exploration.

TAM is a theoretical framework that explains how consumers adopt self-checkout technology in retail settings. This model emphasizes that perceived ease of use and usefulness are key factors that shape consumers' intention to use a technology, which drives actual usage (Demoulin & Djelassi, 2016). In the context of self-checkout as a new technology, the constructs within TAM are highly relevant in understanding the factors that influence consumer adoption decisions. Recent studies also show that, besides the two core TAM factors, other elements such as performance expectancy, effort expectancy, facilitating conditions, hedonic motivation, and habit significantly affect individuals' intention to use self-checkout technology (Rashid et al., 2024).

2.2. Technology Readiness

Technology readiness (TR) refers to how likely individuals are to accept new technology daily (Parasuraman, 2000). This readiness is measured by two types of beliefs: positive and negative. This implies that a person's personality can reflect a person's ability to adopt new technology. There are four main components in TR (Parasuraman, 2000). Optimism and innovation represent positive beliefs while discomfort and insecurity represent negative beliefs. According to Lin et al. (2016) and Musyaffi et al. (2021), optimism and innovation will be the driving factors. Meanwhile, discomfort and insecurity will be inhibiting factors.

Optimism is related to a customer's positive expectations when using new technology (Musyaffi, Sari, et al., 2021), where these positive expectations exceed negative expectations, making it easier for someone to adopt new technology, whereas innovation is the extent to which a customer understands and wants new technology. Innovative users will be open to accepting new things. As a result, innovative users will tend to be able to take technology and realize that technology can be helpful for themselves and others in general (Aboelmaged et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2010).

Discomfort describes feelings of discomfort, being overwhelmed, or helplessness when dealing with technology (Colby & Parasuraman, 2001). Discomfort can influence users to adopt technology (Aboelmaged et al., 2022). Sometimes, the customer who is already comfortable with the conditions that have become a habit will find it difficult to use new technology. A customer with this type feels that using technology is too complicated. As a result, they will be afraid and anxious when faced with new technology (Chingarande & Saayman, 2018; Sun & Zhang, 2006). Finally, customer insecurity is most often related to their ability to perform well. Technological uncertainty will cause a pessimistic attitude toward the use of technology, which will then cause feelings of insecurity on the user's side (Chang

et al., 2017); the effect is to make someone look negatively at new technology. On the other hand, the lower the customer's discomfort, the easier it will be for them to use technology (Godoe & Johansen, 2012).

TR becomes essential in adopting new technology, such as self-service technology (SST). Consumers with high TR will tend to view technology as something interesting from a new experience aspect, encouraging consumers to use it. Conversely, consumers with low TR will view new technology as something that can be inhibiting, so consumers will tend to reject it (Lin & Hsieh, 2006; Mukerjee et al., 2018). TR is seen as a relevant theoretical framework in viewing the adoption of self-checkout technology in the retail sector (Mukerjee et al., 2018; Schliewe & Pezoldt, 2010; Siebenaler et al., 2018).

2.2.1 Technology Readiness as The Antecedents of Expected Usefulness

Expected usefulness (EU) refers to an individual's belief that the use of technology enhances performance and productivity (Marangunić & Granić, 2015). As a central construct in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), EU has been identified as a strong predictor of one's intention to adopt a particular technology (Virani et al., 2023). This relationship is often reinforced by Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU), which positively influences performance, collaboration, and operational efficiency. When individuals perceive that a system such as a self-checkout technology has a beneficial impact, it fosters a favorable attitude toward usage, ultimately leading to greater adoption. In turn, this adoption contributes to improved effectiveness and productivity in completing tasks. Perceived usefulness, therefore, reflects the extent to which an individual believes that their performance is enhanced by using technology (Marangunić & Granić, 2015). In line with this, usability can be defined as the degree to which a system provides functional value or benefits to its users. In the context of retail self-service checkout systems, perceived usefulness can be evaluated based on the system's ability to improve consumer efficiency. For example, when self-checkout technology facilitates quicker transactions, reduces wait times, and increases service effectiveness, it is perceived as highly useful (Davis, 1989).

Furthermore, technology readiness (TR) is closely associated with perceived usefulness. Individuals with high levels of technology readiness are more likely to adopt emerging technologies, as increased self-efficacy and reduced anxiety enhance their confidence in using new systems. As supported by Mukerjee et al. (2018), consumers with higher TR tend to view retail self-checkout systems as effective and efficient tools for completing transactions, especially when both ease of use and usefulness are perceived positively. As consumers become more familiar with the use of technology, the perception of complexity that often becomes a barrier will decrease. This sense of comfort contributes to greater satisfaction and encourages continued usage. Ultimately, such experiences enhance the likelihood of consumer adoption, particularly when the technology is viewed as beneficial and aligned with users' needs (Rashid et al., 2024). Therefore, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- HI: Optimism has a positive effect on expected usefulness
- H2: Innovation has a positive effect on expected usefulness
- H3: Discomfort has a negative effect on expected usefulness
- H4: Insecurity has a negative effect on expected usefulness

2.2.2 Technology Readiness as the Antecedents of Perceived Ease of Use

Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) refers to the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would require minimal effort (Davis, 1989). This perception plays a critical role in enhancing job performance, as systems that are easier to use tend to be adopted more readily. Segars and Grover (1993) further suggest that PEOU contributes significantly to increasing users' clarity, understanding, and flexibility when engaging with new technologies. In contemporary contexts, such as self-checkout systems, ease of use is closely linked to efficiency and effectiveness, serving as a measure of technological usefulness. When users perceive a system as convenient and easy to navigate, this perception enhances operational effectiveness and shapes user attitudes through heightened awareness and understanding of its benefits (Davis, 1989).

Moreover, individuals are more likely to adopt a technology when they perceive it as easy to use (Al-Maroof et al., 2020). Ease of use implies the absence of significant difficulty or effort, making the technology appear more valuable and user-friendly. Davis et al. (1989) emphasize that PEOU encapsulates the ability to interact with a system effortlessly, while Segars and Grover (1993) reaffirm its role in improving users' experience through enhanced clarity and usability. Research also indicates that the ease of learning a technology fosters user confidence, which in turn promotes a positive attitude toward innovation (Popy & Bappy, 2020). Furthermore, PEOU encompasses system accessibility, adaptability, and usability factors that collectively contribute to a favorable user experience. This positive interaction subsequently strengthens users' attitudes toward the continued use of technology. Consistent with this, several empirical studies have demonstrated that perceived ease of use has a significant positive impact on user attitude (Abdullah & Ward, 2016; Davis, 1989; Lust et al., 2012).

High readiness levels will be strongly correlated with increased ease of use of technology. Previous studies show a positive relationship between TR and the intention to use new technology (Aboelmaged et al., 2022). Positive attitudes shown by individuals toward new technology will lead consumers to ease of use (Lai & Lee, 2020). When technology is easy to use, there is a possibility of more sustainable technology adoption (Mukerjee et al., 2018).

Furthermore, user-friendly design and clear instructions make self-checkout viewed as an easy-to-use technology across age demographics (Liang et al., 2021). Therefore, the following hypotheses are proposed:

- H5: Optimism has a positive effect on expected ease of use
- H6: Innovation has a positive effect on expected ease of use
- H7: Discomfort has a negative effect on expected ease of use
- H8: Insecurity has a negative effect on expected ease of use

2.3 Self-Determination Theory

Self-determination theory (SDT) refers to a theory of motivation regarding a person's ability to make choices and regulate their behavior independently (Bidee et al., 2017; Sie et al., 2018). SDT theory explains that motivation can be seen depending on how much autonomy a person has over their actions. Autonomy relates to the freedom to act according to one's wishes without external pressure. This serves as the basis for a person's motivation to develop. There are three categories in SDT: intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, and motivation (Dubnjakovic, 2017; Meisler, 2020). Intrinsic motivation will arise when a person is involved in an activity that is enjoyable and personally satisfying to them.

In contrast, extrinsic motivation is driven by external goals, such as rewards or recognition, rather than the activity itself (Sie et al., 2018). Extrinsic motivation is further divided into autonomous motivation (driven by self-awareness and personal values) and controlled motivation (driven by pressure or obligation) (Huang et al., 2017). In technology adoption, SDT can serve as a relevant lens. When a person's level of control and freedom is high, they will quickly adopt technology, in this case, self-checkout technology. High autonomy makes the experience feel more personal and comfortable. Research by Widyarini (2021) revealed that self-service technology will inherently support consumer autonomy in managing their purchases. Furthermore, Rashid et al. (2024) found that using self-checkout can reduce technology anxiety and that allowing consumers to try the system can reduce anxiety about complex technology and foster autonomous motivation to use it. These findings support the relevance and application of SDT as a theoretical framework to explain the adoption of self-checkout technology in the retail sector.

2.3.1 The Outcome of Autonomous Motivation

Ryan and Connell (1989) explained that the key concept of self-determination theory is autonomous motivation (AM), which is behavior that realizes a perceived cause or goal. AM will be more effective in initiating individual behavior related to success (Wang & Hou, 2015). Self-motivated individuals tend to be more consistent with their behavior if there are no extrinsic benefits that will produce extrinsic benefits, such as satisfaction and competence (Rodrigues et al., 2023). In their research, Sheldon and Elliot (1998) explained that autonomous motivation consists of two psychological motivations: intrinsic and identified. Intrinsic motivation comes from within the individual (Deci & Ryan, 1985) and identified motivation comes from humans' most profound beliefs and core values . If individuals feel that their behavior provides benefits, then they will feel motivated (Deci & Ryan, 1985; Sheldon & Elliot, 1998). This self-directed engagement generally results in a better user experience when interacting with technology.

Research shows that individuals with higher levels of autonomous motivation tend to approach new technologies with tremendous enthusiasm and confidence, leading to increased adoption of self-checkout systems (Guo, 2022). The study interestingly found that various motivational factors, including performance expectancy and effort expectancy, influence the adoption of self-checkout systems. These factors are enhanced through autonomous motivation (Rashid et al., 2024). According to their study, motivated customers perceive the technology as helpful and easy to use, which can directly affect their intention to adopt the system (Rashid et al., 2024). Therefore, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H9: Autonomous motivation has a positive effect on expected usefulness

HIO: Autonomous motivation has a positive effect on expected ease of use

2.3.2 The Outcome of Controlled Motivation

Controlled motivation (CM) represents the state of an individual in an environment where the individual is driven by internal and external pressure to perform a task. Controlled motivation refers to the negative consequences of behavior (Wang & Hou, 2015). Individuals who can control motivation will be more consistent in their behavior. Individuals with this type are influenced by external reinforcement, pressure, and obligation (Wu & Li, 2019). Chiu and Cho (2020) explained that CM has similarities in developing individual motivation and can also affect individuals regarding technology adoption. Individuals tend to be able to use technology with mixed feelings and fewer doubts. In this context, even when users may have mixed feelings, controlled motivation can reduce hesitation and encourage them to try using the technology. In the case of technological services such as self-checkout systems, controlled motivation can enhance users' perception of ease of use. When consumers feel pushed by external pressures, such as long queues, the drive for efficiency, or social norms, they are more likely to perceive the system as more straightforward (Sapry et al., 2022). Additionally, technology-related anxiety influences consumers' intention to adopt, where situational pressure becomes a trigger that encourages them to engage with available technological solutions.

Controlled motivation also contributes to shaping perceptions of usefulness. In many cases, consumers view self-checkout technology as efficient because it facilitates faster transactions, especially under pressure to complete purchases quickly (Kazançoğlu & Yarımoğlu, 2018). Esch et al. (2021) found that social expectations can further strengthen consumers' intention to use such technologies, as they associate the systems with convenience and high utility. Thus, there is a feedback loop between controlled motivation, perceived ease of use, and perceived usefulness. When consumers are externally motivated, their interactions with technology tend to be more positive, ultimately reinforcing their perception of its benefits (Lee & Leonas, 2020). Therefore, the following hypotheses are proposed:

HII: Controlled motivation has a positive effect on expected usefulness

H12: Controlled motivation has a positive effect on expected ease of use

2.4 The Mediating Role of Attitude

Maryam et al. (2022) found that attitude is critical to customers' technology adoption. Attitude shows susceptibility to react favorably or unfavorably to a technology. In another explanation, attitude is also related to likes or dislikes (Schermerhorn et al., 2011). Therefore, individuals' feelings of likes and dislikes are closely related to positive and negative responses. Individuals who have a positive attitude tend to be able to engage with technology. Meanwhile, individuals with a negative attitude tend to avoid technology because they feel it has no benefit to their lives (Abouzeid et al., 2020). Attitudes have properties that can be understood from what is said and what is done by individuals (Yang & Yoo, 2004), shaping a customer's behavior by filtering information and perceptions about the surrounding environment. A positive attitude from a customer will create strong motivation within the individual. So, someone with positive motivation will encourage the individual to behave positively when adopting technology. Several studies have shown that attitude significantly impacts a customer's behavioral intention to embrace technology (Bananuka et al., 2020; Echchabi et al., 2016; Kaawaase, 2017). Therefore, the following hypotheses are proposed:

HI3: Expected ease of use to intention to use self-checkout is positively mediated by the attitude

HI4: Expected usefulness to intention to use self-checkout is positively mediated by the attitude

The research model illustrated in Figure 1 is based on the formulated hypotheses.



Figure 1. Research Framework

3. Method

This research uses a quantitative approach, collecting respondents by distributing online questionnaires. The focus is the Indonesian public, and this specification was chosen because, especially in Indonesia, there are not many retailers that use self-checkout systems.

Indonesia will be an interesting setting in which to study the adoption of self-checkout technology. First, selfcheckout systems are becoming popular and showing an increasing trend so that consumers will be more free to scan products with their smartphones. Indonesia offers a promising opportunity to explore the acceptance and effectiveness of self-checkout technology systems because the penetration of smartphone use in Indonesia is increasing from year to year (Liang et al., 2021). Second, from the retail sector, Indonesia shows significant growth. This is indicated by the increasing number of international brands entering Indonesia, which will expand more modern retail formats. With increasing competition between industry players in Indonesia, it will also increase technological competition in retail operations. This will encourage the use of self-checkout technology because it has been proven to save time and costs and also improve customer privacy; this aspect is important for countries with dense populations (Cebeci et al., 2020).

Third, demographically, Indonesia excels in terms of technology literacy. This is because the majority of the population in Indonesia are young, tech-savvy consumers. However, psychological factors such as technology anxiety and individual innovativeness influence consumers' intention to use self-checkout systems (Sapry et al., 2022). Given the increasing penetration of mobile technology, wider adoption may be possible if these psychological barriers can be minimized (Lee & Leonas, 2020). Fourth, unlike Western countries where self-service technology is widely accepted, Indonesian consumers often expect face-to-face interactions in physical stores. Therefore, perceptions of service quality significantly influence the acceptance of self-service technology (Park et al., 2020). Understanding local cultural nuances is essential to ensure service design aligns with Indonesian consumer preferences (Rashid et al., 2024). Fifth, technological advances such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) create new opportunities for innovation in self-service systems. The integration of these technologies has the potential to improve customer experience and operational efficiency. Further research on the adoption of self-service systems can help address local challenges such as theft and high operational costs (Abana et al., 2019; Jie & Kamsin, 2021).

Furthermore, this research uses non-probability with a purposive sampling technique as a reference for data collection design. The criteria used include Indonesian people over 17 years old who have never used a self-checkout technology system. The questionnaire began with a confirmation question to screen respondents. Only individuals who had never used self-checkout services were eligible to continue filling out the questionnaire, so this study was specifically aimed at exploring the adoption intentions of potential technology users.

This research model consists of 10 latent variables with 35 manifest variables and uses a 5-point Likert scale (i.e., from I strongly disagree to 5 strongly agree). In addition, this study also adapted questionnaire questions from previous studies that have been tested for validity and reliability, including technology readiness dimensions (namely optimism, innovation, discomfort, and insecurity) adapted from McNamara et al. (2022), autonomous motivation and controlled motivation adapted from Ryan and Connell (1989), expected usefulness adapted from Joo et al. (2018), expected ease of use adapted from Lu et al. (2009), and attitude adapted from Wang et al. (2023). Previous research highlights that primary data using a questionnaire approach have been proven and logically used to measure technology adoption (Bananuka et al., 2020; Echchabi et al., 2016). See Table I. This study uses an online questionnaire distributed via Google Forms. The number of respondents who were successfully collected amounted to 356 responses. PLS PLS-SEM was used to test this research model because PLS-SEM is suitable for complex models with relatively small samples and can also identify the primary "driving" constructs (Hair et al., 2021). The sample adequacy is felt to be sufficient to fulfill the procedure, where the minimum sample in the study amounted to 350 respondents, according to the advice from Hair et al. (2019), which states that the minimum sample size in PLS-SEM research is 10 times the number of measuring instrument indicators.

Variable	Definition	Item	Statement	Reference
Optimism	The degree to which an individual	OPT I	Self-checkout system contributes to the quality of the shopping experience	(McNamara et al., 2022)
	believes that technology offers	OPT 2	The self-checkout system provides customers with flexibility in shopping mobility	-
	increased efficiency, bleakness, and	OPT 3	Self-checkout system allows consumers more control over shopping time	-
	control over their lives.	OPT 4	The self-checkout system makes customers more productive in utilizing time	-

Table I. Definition and Measurement

Variable	Definition	ltem	Statement	Reference
Innovation	The level of	INN I	Other customers could potentially	(McNamara et al.
	openness and		come to me to ask about the self-	2022)
	tendency of		checkout system	
	individuals to	INN 2	I could potentially be the first	
	be pioneers in		customer in my immediate	
	trying and		neighborhood to try the self-	
	using new		checkout system in the future	
	technologies.	INN 3	l potentially understand the system	
			of checking out without the help of	
			others	
		INN 4	I could potentially be the first in the	
			immediate environment to update on	
	T 1		technological developments	
Discomfort	The degree to	DISC I	When I get tech support from	(McNamara et al.
	which an individual feels		retailers, I sometimes feel taken	2022)
	uncomfortable.		advantage of by people who know	
	overwhelmed.	DISC 2	l felt the technical support staff were	
	or lack of		not helpful because they didn't	
	control when		explain in a context that I	
	dealing with		understood	
	technology.	DISC 3	Sometimes I think the self-checkout	-
	6/	2.000	system is not designed for the	
			layman	
Insecurity	The level of	ISC I	Customers potentially rely on self-	(McNamara et al.
	distrust or		checkout systems	2022)
	concern an	ISC 2	The use of self-checkout systems has	,
	individual has		the potential to reduce the quality of	
	about technology,		relationships through decreased	
			customer interaction	
	particularly	ISC 3	I potentially have no confidence in	
	regarding the		being able to learn the self-checkout	
	reliability and		system	
	potential risks			
	posed by the			
	use of the			
Autonomous	technology. Self-	AM I	I could potentially use the self-	(Ryan & Connell,
Motivation	motivation,		checkout system because I think it's	(Ryan & Connen, 1989)
1001440011	where an		important to me	1707
	individual	AM 2	I could potentially use the self-	
	performs an	/ 11 / 2	checkout system because I think it's	
	action for		meaningful	
	internal	AM 3	I could potentially use a self-	-
	reasons such	-	checkout system because I think it	
	as interest,		helps to manage time	
	personal	AM 4	I could potentially use the self check	-
	values, or a		out system because I think it makes	
	sense of		it easier for me to wait in line for	
	responsibility,		too long	
	rather than	AM 5	I could potentially use the self-	-
	because of		checkout system because it's fun	
	external		·	
	pressure.			
Controlled	Motivation	CM I	I could potentially use a self-	(Ryan & Connell,
	that comes		checkout system because that's what	1989)
Motivation	from external		l have to do	,

Variable	Definition	ltem	Statement	Reference
	pressures,	CM 2	I could potentially use a self-	
	such as the		checkout system because my	
	desire to		immediate environment is doing it	
	receive	CM 3	I could potentially use a self-	-
	rewards, avoid		checkout system because I feel	
	punishment, or		pressure from my immediate	
	meet the		environment	
	expectations	CM 4	I could potentially use the self-	-
	of others,	CIT I	checkout system because I feel	
	rather than		embarrassed if I don't use it in the	
	from personal		future	
	desire or free			
	choice.			
Expected	The extent to	EU I	I think the self check out system is	(Joo et al., 2018)
Usefulness	which a		beneficial for me	(00 et al., 2010)
- Jeraine 33	person	EU 2		-
	believes that	10 2	I think using a self-checkout system	
	using a system	EU 3	can improve the ease of transactions.	-
	or technology	20.3	I think that using a self-checkout	
	will improve		system can increase my effectiveness	
	performance		in transactions	
	or			
	effectiveness in			
	an activity.	EEOU I	I baliava ida anay ta lasur tha artí	(Lu at al. 2000)
Expected	The extent to	EEOU I	I believe it's easy to learn the self-	(Lu et al., 2009)
Ease of Use	which a	550112	checkout system	-
	person	EEOU 2	I believe it is easy to find information	
	believes that		related to the self-checkout system	-
	using a system	EEOU 3	I believe it might be easy to use a	
	or technology		self-checkout system	_
	will be free of	EEOU 4	I believe using a self-checkout system	
	heavy effort or		might create a positive experience	
A <i>i i</i> i	hassle.	A TT -		
Attitude	The extent to	ATT I	Using a self-checkout system is a	(Wang et al., 2023)
	which a		great idea	_
	person has a	ATT 2	Using a self-checkout system is a	
	positive or		wise idea	_
	negative	ATT 3	I am confident in the self-checkout	
	evaluation of		system	
	the use of a			
	particular			
	technology.			
Intention to	The extent to	ITU I	In the future, I will use the self-	(Gu et al., 2009)
Use	which a		checkout system	_
	person has a	ITU 2	In the future, I will recommend the	
	conscious		self-checkout system to my friend	_
	desire or	ITU 3	In the future, I will share my	-
	inclination to		experience related to using the self-	
	use a		checkout system with friends	
	technology in			
	the near			
	future.			

4. Result and Discussion

4.1. Respondents' Characteristics

The total final sample analyzed in this study amounted to 356 respondents, with various spectra based on gender, age, domicile, education, occupation, income, average shopping expenditure, frequent shopping, and places. In addition, the spectrum of respondents was dominated by gender (73.3% of females), age (< 20 years by 75.6%), domicile (Java by 63.5%), education (senior high school by 47.8%), occupation (student by 46.9%), income (Rp. < 1,000,000 by 46.9%), average shopping expenditure (Rp. 50,001 - 200,000 by 38.8%), frequent shopping (Sometimes 3-4 times per month by 38.8%), and places (Indomaret by 38.5%). Table 2 provides detailed information regarding the demographics of respondents in this study.

User Characteristics	Description	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	95	26.7
	Female	261	73.3
Age	< 20 years	269	75.6
0	21-30 years	71	19.9
	31-40 years	13	3.7
	> 40 years	3	0.8
Domicile	Sumatera	71	19.9
Donnene	Java	226	63.5
	Kalimantan	13	3.7
	Sulawesi and Maluku	27	7.6
	Nusa Tenggara dan Bali	13	3.7
			1.7
Education	Papua Flavor Salvard	6	0
Education	Elementary School	0	
	Junior High School	10	2.8
	Senior High School	170	47.8
	Bachelor	149	41.9
	Master	26	7.3
	Doctor	I	0.3
Occupation	Student	167	46.9
	Operational Employee	70	19.7
	Managerial Employee	8	2.2
	Entrepreneur	15	4.2
	Professional	13	3.7
	Housewife	18	5.1
	Others	65	18.3
Income	Rp. < 1.000.000	167	46.9
	Rp.1.000.000 – 2.500.000	87	24.4
	Rp. 2.500.001 – 5.000.000	64	18.0
	Rp. 5.000.001 –	31	8.7
	10.000.000		
	Rp. > 10.000.000	7	2.0
Average Shopping	Rp. < 50.000	32	9.0
Expenditure	Rp. 50.001 – 200.000	138	38.8
	Rp. 200.000 – 350.000	99	27.8
	Rp. 350.001 – 500.000	52	14.6
	Rp. > 500.000	35	9.8
Time Shopping	< 15 minutes	56	15.7
	16 – 30 minutes	170	47.8
	31 - 45 minutes	72	20.2
F	> 45 minutes	58	16.3
Frequent Shopping	Rarely (1-2 times per month)	136	38.3
	Sometimes (3-4 times per month)	138	38.8
	Routine (I-2 times per week)	48	13.5

Table 2. Respondents' Characteristics

User Characteristics	Description	Frequency	Percentage (%)
	Often (3-4 times per week)	22	6.2
	Very Often (> 4 times per week)	12	3.4
Places	Super Indo	36	10.1
	Carrefour	11	3.1
	Hypermart	24	6.7
	Lotte Mart	8	2.2
	Farmer's Market	4	1.1
	Giant	3	0.8
	Indomaret	137	38.5
	Alfamart	71	19.9
	Others	62	17.4

4.2. Data Screening

Common method bias (CMB) was used in this study. CMB ensures that there are no missing values or straightline patterns in the data collected by the researcher. This is important because CMB is generally more widely accepted as a threat that can affect the validity of research constructs (Juneman, 2013; Podsakoff et al., 2003). Harman's singlefactor test was used in this study to verify the potential presence of CMB. Factor analysis showed all 10 construct factors (eigenvalues more than 1), and the maximum variance by one factor was 37.774%, where each factor was less than 50%, which proved that the test results verified no potential CMB in the data used (Khan et al., 2020; Rodríguez-Ardura & Meseguer-Artola, 2020).

4.3. Measurement Model Assessment (Outer Model)

The measures used in this study were subjected to validity and reliability tests. Cronbach's alpha is used in this study as a reliability test. Internal consistency has been examined using Cronbach's alpha, which considers the outer loadings of the variable indicators; scores above 0.7 indicate high reliability (Hair et al., 2019). The factor loading scores of the indicator were then used to calculate the convergent validity. While outer loading was more significant than 0.5, no indicators should be removed (Hair et al., 2019). However, ISC3 was omitted since its value was less than the threshold. In addition, the convergent validity was investigated using average variance extracted (AVE). According to Hair et al. (2019), the AVE values in this study are over 0.5 and are considered acceptable. Cross-loading was carried out to assess discriminant validity, with each item having the most significant load on its related construct but not relying on any other constructs of relevance (Henseler et al., 2015). The assigned constructs have the most significant factor loadings, as shown in Table 3, and as a result, the constructs differ from one another. As indicated in Table 4, this study employed the heterotrait-monotrait ratio (HTMT) to examine discriminant validity further. Each construct was assessed using the HTMT ratio; the findings indicated HTMT values below the 0.9 cut-offs, indicating that every construct differs from the others (Henseler et al., 2015).

Variable	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
Technology Readiness			
OPTI	0.821	0.674	0.909
OPT2	0.820		
OPT3	0.810		
OPT4	0.832		
INNI	0.691	0.605	0.859
INN2	0.785		
INN3	0.751		
INN4	0.873		
DISCI	0.826	0.644	
DISC2	0.832		0.844
DISC3	0.747		
ISCI	0.930	0.717	0.834
ISC2	0.754		
Self-Determined Motivation			
AMI	0.822	0.666	
AM2	0.813		0.909
AM3	0.850		

Variable	Outer Loading	AVE	Composite Reliability
AM4	0.821		
AM5	0.774		
CMI	0.818	0.529	0.814
CM2	0.837		
CM3	0.641		
CM4	0.578		
Expected Ease of Use			
EEOUI	0.847	0.679	0.894
EEOU2	0.846		
EEOU3	0.836		
EEOU4	0.764		
Expected Usefulness			
EUI	0.883	0.799	
EU2	0.901		0.923
EU3	0.897		
Attitude			
ATTI	0.883	0.798	
ATT2	0.907		0.922
ATT3	0.890		
Intention to Use			
ITUI	0.895	0.888	
ITU2	0.919		0.930
ITU3	0.896		

	AM	ΑΤΤ	СМ	DISC	EEOU	EU	INN	ISC	ITU	ΟΡΤ
AM										
ATT	0.829									
СМ	0.685	0.691								
DISC	0.237	0.220	0.586							
EEOU	0.85 I	0.730	0.643	0.297						
EU	0.782	0.706	0.480	0.153	0.859					
INN	0.674	0.625	0.718	0.454	0.744	0.634				
SC	0.463	0.391	0.659	0.864	0.527	0.426	0.587			
ITU	0.766	0.876	0.588	0.196	0.788	0.688	0.700	0.407		
OPT	0.689	0.672	0.496	0.197	0.724	0.796	0.620	0.420	0.611	

Note: AM = Autonomous Motivation; ATT = Attitude; CM = Controlled Motivation; DISC = Discomfort; EEOU = Expected Ease of Use; EU = Expected Usefulness; INN = Innovation; ISC = Insecurity; ITU = Intention to Use; OPT = Optimism

4.4. Structural Model Assessment (Inner Model)

Before hypothesis testing, multicollinearity indicators were assessed using the variance inflation factor (VIF). The threshold value is VIF less than five (Sarstedt et al., 2017). The VIF values showed the expected results, with the lowest being 1.000 and the highest being 2.173. After all assumptions are met, the structural model is tested using the t and p values (t => 1.96 and p = <0.05) by the recommendations of Hair et al. (2018). Furthermore, this study used a bootstrap approach of 5.000 (resampling) with corrected and accelerated confidence intervals (BCCI) with p values for two-way significance (*p: 0.05, ** p: 0.01, *** p: 0,001).

Tables 5 and 6 show the findings using path analysis. As a result, five hypotheses are not supported (i.e., H5, H7, H8, H11, H12). Technology readiness, optimism, and innovation are significantly and positively related to expected ease of use and usefulness. Therefore, H1, H2, H3, and H4 are supported. Meanwhile, Discomfort has no significant effect on Expected Ease of Use but is significantly related to Expected Usefulness. However, insecurity has no significant relationship with Expected Ease of Use or Expected Usefulness. Therefore, H5, H7, H8 are not supported, while H6 Otherwise, H6 is supported. Shifting to self-determined motivation, Autonomous Motivation directly impacts Expected Ease of Use and Expected Usefulness (H9: $\beta = 0.407$; p = 0.000; H10: $\beta = 0.381$; p = 0.000). Therefore, H9 and H10 are

supported. Otherwise, Controlled Motivation has no significant impact on Expected Ease of Use, nor Expected Usefulness (H11: β = 0.124; p = 0.070; H12: β = -0,009; p = 0.891), hence H11 and H12 are not supported. In the end, Expected Usefulness and Expected Ease of Use positively impact Attitude as mediating variables, so H13 and H14 are supported.

Hypothesis	β	t-value	P-Values	95% BCCI	Result
H1. Optimism \rightarrow Expected Ease of Use	0.207	3.948	0.000	(0.103; 0.307)	Supported
H2. Optimism → Expected Usefulness	0.389	6.671	0.000	(0.272; 0.498)	Supported
H3. Innovation \rightarrow Expected Ease of Use	0.187	3.683	0.000	(0.086; 0.285)	Supported
H4. Innovation → Expected Usefulness	0.126	2.202	0.031	(0.013; 0.235)	Supported
H5. Discomfort \rightarrow Expected Ease of Use	-0.011	0.252	0.805	(-0.097; 0.080)	Not Supported
H6. Discomfort \rightarrow Expected Usefulness	-0.090	2.251	0.028	(-0.016; -0.009)	Supported
H7. Insecurity → Expected Ease of Use	0.050	1.148	0.245	(-0.039; 0.131)	Not Supported
H8. Insecurity → Expected Usefulness	0.074	1.405	0.165	(-0.030; 0.175)	Not Supported
H9: Autonomous Motivation \rightarrow Expected Ease of Use	0.407	7.076	0.000	(0.295; 0.521)	Supported
H10. Autonomous Motivation → Expected Usefulness	0.381	5.715	0.000	(0.250; 0.513)	Supported
HII. Controlled Motivation → Expected Ease of Use	0.124	1.822	0.070	(-0.008; 0.261)	Not Supported
H12. Controlled Motivation → Expected Usefulness	-0.009	0.133	0.891	(-0.135; 0.135)	Not Supported

Table 6. Indirect Effect					
Hypothesis	β	t-value	P-Values	95% BCCI	Result
HI3. Expected Usefulness → Attitude → Intention to Use	0.264	4.322	0.000	(0.152; 0.390)	Supported
H14. Expected Ease of Use \rightarrow Attitude \rightarrow Intention to Use	0.296	4.971	0.000	(0.178; 0.409)	Supported

Assessment of the coefficient of determination of the ITU construct shows a moderate value ($R^2 = 0.605$, R^2 Adjusted = 0.604). It can be concluded that 60.4% of ITU variation is explained by TR, AM, CM, EEOU, EU, and ATT. However, the R2 value only provides in-sample explanations and does not provide out of sample explanatory factors. Subsequently, a predictive PLS approach was applied (Shmueli et al., 2019). This approach uses the recommendations by Shmueli et al. (2019), while the indicator (minority/same) in the PLS-SEM > linear naive model (benchmark) shows moderate predictive power. Furthermore, predictive relevance analysis is applied using Stone-Geisser's Q^2 . The Q^2 value of the INV variable was 0.502, which is above the minimum threshold value of 0. This indicates that the model has high predictive accuracy (Hair et al., 2019). Finally, Cohen's f^2 is used to identify the effect between variables in the model, which indicates a change in the value of R^2 when one of the exogenous constructs is removed from the model. Cohen's f2 of 0.02 (small), 0.15 (medium), and 0.35 (large) can be a measure of the predictor effect (Hair et al., 2017). Table 7 explains that the overall f^2 is in the range of 0.009 to 0.029.

Latent		VIF			F ²	
Variables	ATT	EEOU	EU	ATT	EEOU	EU
AM	-	2.173	2.173	-	0.207	0.168
CM	-	2.159	2.159	-	0.019	0.023
DISC	-	1.503	1.503	-	0.009	0.013
EEOU	2.191	-	-	0.121	-	-
EU	2.191	-	-	0.096	-	-
INN	-	1.933	1.933	-	0.049	0.021
ISC	-	1.708	1.708	-	0.004	0.008
OPT	-	1.666	1.666	-	0.070	0.229

Table 7. VIF and F² results

Table 8. Q^2 and R^2 Results

Latent Variables	Q ²	R ²	R ² Adjusted
ATT	0.525	0.451	0.448
EEOU	0.610	0.633	0.626
EU	0.577	0.604	0.597
ITU	0.434	0.605	0.604

4.5. Discussion

This study shows that optimistic people see technology as an opportunity to improve their performance. Therefore, HI is supported. This attitude can increase motivation to learn and adapt to new systems (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000), which indirectly ignores the potential challenges and risks associated with them (Carver et al., 2010). According to previous research, optimism positively affects expected ease of use, which means that people who feel optimistic tend to be confident when using self-checkout technology. They focus on the benefits and opportunities that exist in it, not on the potential difficulties. This belief makes individuals feel that the self-checkout system is easy to use and can help improve their work efficiency. This finding is consistent with previous research, which shows that optimism is positively related to expected ease of use (Colby & Parasuraman, 2001; Tsikriktsis, 2004).

This study found that optimism has a positive impact on expected usefulness. Therefore, H2 is supported. Optimistic individuals tend to have positive expectations of the potential benefits of self-checkout and are motivated to take beneficial actions in the future (Musyaffi et al., 2021). They can also see potential benefits behind obstacles and challenges, making it easier to process valuable information in the future (Lin et al., 2016). This study's results align with previous research that found that people's perspectives on self-checkout are beneficial because they facilitate the transaction process (Lai & Lee, 2020).

Innovation has a significant positive effect on expected ease of use. Therefore, H3 is supported. This is in line with previous research, which implied that innovation positively affects ease of use (Erdoğmuş & Esen, 2011; Seong & Hong, 2022). This suggests that the extent to which popular and practical self-checkout technology facilitates openness to innovation leads to increased expected ease of use. The system's convenience makes consumers feel comfortable, which will then make consumers willing to use the technology (Godoe & Johansen, 2012). In addition, more incredible innovation has been shown to increase the value viewed (Li et al., 2023).

This study also found that innovation positively affects expected usefulness, so H4 is supported. This result aligns with research by Erdogmus and Esen (2011), who discovered that innovation positively affected expected usefulness. Our finding implied that increased eagerness for innovation fosters benefits that customers hoped for. Furthermore, Wang and Hou (2015) explained that the benefits of technology, such as improving performance and increasing happiness, make consumers confident in adopting a self-checkout system. Innovation has been identified as one of the best predictors of technology readiness, increasing expected usefulness significantly (Leung & Chen, 2019).

The negative impact of discomfort on expected ease of use is not well-supported by data. Therefore, H5 is not supported. Nevertheless, previous research (Martens et al., 2017; Ramos de Luna et al., 2016; Walczuch et al., 2007) found that discomfort negatively affects the expected ease of use. When people feel less control over technology and believe that they cannot overcome it will lead to pain (Colby & Parasuraman, 2001). As a result, individuals who feel discomfort have a sense that new technology controls them, and some of these new technologies may not be suitable for ordinary people (Parasuraman, 2000).

Discomfort has a significant negative effect on expected usefulness. Therefore, H6 is supported. This finding aligns with recent studies (Lai & Lee, 2020; Nugroho & Fajar, 2017; Yusuf et al., 2021). This suggests that individuals may feel uncomfortable with technology but are still willing to adopt it. In addition, the more pain they think, the more confident they are in the ease of use of the technology (Panday, 2015). People who think intensely uncomfortable evaluate technology usage as both unappealing and depressing, so they try to avoid using that technology. Therefore, discomfort with adopting technology negatively influences these apps' perceived usefulness (Eksioglu & Ural, 2022).

Data do not support the negative impact of insecurity on expected ease of use, so H7 is not supported. Nevertheless, insecurity is negatively correlated with expected ease of use (Martens et al., 2017; Ramos de Luna et al., 2016; Walczuch et al., 2007). This result may occur because consumers feel afraid and anxious about using new technology, which will cause them discomfort. Consumers who feel uncomfortable think technology is not easy to use.

Insecurity occurs when there is a perception that technology does not work correctly and worries about possible negative consequences (Parasuraman, 2000). Insecurity does not significantly affect expected usefulness based on data. Therefore, H8 is not supported. However, literature has shown that insecurity negatively affects expected usefulness (Martens et al., 2017; Ramos de Luna et al., 2016; Walczuch et al., 2007). Blut and Wang (2020) stated that skeptical people are inherently insecure about technology. They show little interest in using technology and, therefore, find it difficult to use. Thus, insecurity may negatively impact the ease of self-checkout usage. Some individuals do not trust new technology and reject it because of their privacy and security issues (Eksioglu & Ural, 2022)

It has been found that autonomous motivation (AM) plays a vital role in determining the expected ease of use of a technology. This supports hypothesis H9. AM is closely related to the successful adoption of a technology, indicating that people are more likely to use a technology if they are highly motivated (Wang & Hou, 2015). Motivation arises when people believe a technology can be easily used (Chen & Zhao, 2022). In the context of self-checkout, we assume that self-checkout systems are easy to use. People tend to find self-service meaningful and intrinsically satisfying, which gives them internal satisfaction. These positive experiences lead to high expectations that the self-checkout system will be easy. This finding further strengthens the research from Smith and Sivo (2012) and Chen and Zhao (2022) which states that autonomous motivation positively impacts expected ease of use.

The concept of autonomous motivation positively impacts the expected usefulness of technology, thus supporting hypothesis H10. When perceived as valuable, technology is expected to improve performance and help achieve personal goals (Marangunic & Granic, 2015). This perceived usefulness is related to individuals' perceptions that the technology can be helpful in their lives and line with their personal goals and aspirations (Laumer et al., 2012; Roca & Gagné, 2008; Yi & Hwang, 2003). The term "autonomous" is often associated with high control, and people who feel in control of their actions tend to believe they can also control the outcomes and effectiveness of self-checkout. This perception of control leads to an increase in expected benefits. This finding supports previous research by Sun and Zhang (2006) and Chen and Zhao (2022) which showed that autonomous motivation positively impacts the expected usefulness of technology.

According to HII, controlled motivation doesn't affect expected ease of use, indicating that HII is unsupported. However, previous research conducted by Chen and Zhao (2022) found that controlled motivation positively affects expected ease of use. This study found that controlled motivation does not significantly affect expected usefulness, implying that HI2 is unsupported. Regardless, the study conducted by Chen and Zhao (2022) implied that controlled motivation has a positive effect on expected usefulness. The reason behind this may be because the assessment of comfort and the assessment of the usefulness of using the self-checkout system is based on the individual's perception of the self-checkout system, where this perception comes from within the consumer, not the influence of the consumer's external environment, such as receiving rewards or avoiding punishment (Deci & Ryan, 1985).

Expected ease of use indirectly positively affects the intention to use through attitude toward self-checkout. This result is consistent with previous studies (Cebeci et al., 2020). Therefore, H13 is supported. In addition, expected ease of use has been found to affect attitude positively, and attitude in turn positively increases intention to use (Kelly & Palaniappan, 2023), customers are encouraged to use self-checkout when they believe it is easy to learn (Al-Maroof et al., 2020). Consequently, their expectations of self-checkout are based on their belief that it would be user-friendly, which affects their intention to utilize self-checkout for retail transactions (Thomas-Francois & Somogyi, 2023).

Expected usefulness has a significant positive effect on the intention to use self-checkout through attitude toward self-checkout. This result aligns with earlier research (Cebeci et al., 2020). Therefore, H14 is supported. Previous studies emphasize that expected usefulness directly forms attitude (Rejali et al., 2023). Other studies found that expected usefulness contributed significantly to determining intention to use (Rejali et al., 2023; Rosli & Saleh, 2023). Therefore, the increase in attitude due to expected usefulness, in turn, increases the intention to use self-checkout (Cebeci et al., 2020). People who are highly optimistic about the benefits of self-checkout will intend to use it when making retail purchases. As a result, their intention to use self-checkout will depend more on a system that can deliver benefits, including convenience and effectiveness (Lee & Leonas, 2021).

5. Conclusion

This study integrates the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), technology readiness theory (TRT) (optimism, innovation, discomfort, and insecurity), and self-determination theory (SDT) (autonomous motivation and control). The results showed that the dimensions of technology readiness significantly influenced perceived ease of use and usefulness, except for discomfort, which did not affect perceived usefulness. In addition, autonomous motivation had a significant positive effect on perceived ease of use and perceived usefulness, while controlled motivation did not affect either. Ultimately, this second factor improved attitudes toward the self-checkout system.

Customers consider self-checkout's positive and negative aspects in determining their readiness to adopt this system. The ease of learning self-checkout without having to set aside special time increases confidence in using it. The convenience of use will be even higher if the retailer provides full support to ensure a smooth experience. The main benefits of this technology, such as reducing queue time and making it easier to manage shopping time, can be the primary motivation for customers to adopt the self-checkout system.

5.1. Theoretical Implication

This study offers an integration of three theories, namely the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), Technology Readiness Index (TRI), and self-determination theory (SDT), to explain the adoption behaviour of selfcheckout technology in developing countries. Integrating the three theories will provide a more comprehensive understanding of how psychological traits, cognitive evaluations, and motivations together drive the adoption of selfcheckout technology. More specifically, this study also expands the TAM framework. This study evaluates that convenience and usefulness factors alone are not enough to explain technology adoption. Still, factors such as consumer psychology from the TR dimension also play an essential role. The findings in this study emphasize that the technology adoption process is not only limited to cognitive processes, but personal characteristic factors in the four TR dimensions are crucial to see technology adoption in developing countries. Thus, the integration of TR in the TAM model helps explain how the internal role of individuals becomes essential in the technology adoption process, which is often overlooked in other studies. Furthermore, the use of SDT theory is also essential to explain how the use of new technology is also influenced by how much autonomous motivation comes from within consumers. The motivational dimension in SDT theory broadens the theoretical scope of TR and TAM, where the focus of both theories is limited to viewing technology adoption in cognitive and dispositional aspects only. Coupled with Indonesia as the research setting, the integration of these three theories is helpful for viewing technology adoption, especially in developing countries.

This study's strength is its integrative approach, which combines TAM, TR, and SDT theories. This approach is also useful for refining existing literature with a more comprehensive explanation. Future research could use this to explore the complex interactions between technological readiness, psychological perceptions, and motivational factors. This approach also provides a strong theoretical foundation for understanding socio-cultural factors and levels of digital maturity, especially in developing countries, on technologies that have previously been developed and tested in developed countries.

5.2. Managerial Implication

This study highlights the factors in the adoption of self-checkout technology. Thus, retailers and policymakers must jointly take strategic actions to accelerate this adoption in developing countries, in this case Indonesia. First, optimism and innovation are closely related to convenience and usability. Retailers need to foster a positive view of self-checkout technology by conducting attractive and educational campaigns. One way to do this is by creating simple digital content but providing efficient steps as an initial way to build trust and increase consumers' curiosity. Second, discomfort and insecurity in this study are considered obstacles. Retailers need to pay attention to both aspects. Several steps can be taken: First, retailers can provide direct technical assistance on the spot, with active staff support and tutorials that can help consumers who are having difficulties. Second, retailers need to ensure data security and reliability of the self-checkout technology system. Thus, consumer privacy will be protected, and transaction transparency will be paid attention to.

Third, the role of autonomous motivation shows that consumers are more likely to adopt self-service when they consider it a personal choice rather than a forced requirement. Thus, promotional efforts should focus on empowerment, not pressure. Retailers can provide options, personalize the user experience, and emphasize convenience without making consumers feel pressured to adopt the technology. Finally, because perceived ease of use and usefulness strongly influence attitudes and behavioral intentions, simplifying system interfaces and improving system reliability should be a top priority. Fast, intuitive, and frictionless experiences will lead to more positive attitudes and encourage continued use. By implementing these strategic steps, both retail managers and policymakers can more effectively drive digital transformation in the retail sector while addressing common challenges in developing countries, such as digital literacy and trust in automation.

5.3. Limitations and Suggestion for Further Studies

The limitations of this study open up significant opportunities for future research. One of the main limitations is the absence of a specific focus on generational groups. Each generation possesses distinct characteristics, preferences, and attitudes toward technology, including self-checkout services. Exploring intergenerational differences could provide deeper insights into the evolving nature of consumer behavior, allowing marketing strategies and public policies to be better tailored to each group (Twenge & Campbell, 2018). For instance, Generation Z represents a particularly relevant demographic for future exploration. This generation has grown up in a digital environment and prioritizes shopping experiences in their decision-making processes. As such, they are potential users of self-checkout services (Huwaida et

al., 2024). However, Generation Z is also known for valuing social interaction during shopping. Since self-checkout services are typically designed for independent use with minimal interaction, this may pose a challenge for some group members. Therefore, understanding their preferences and behavioral tendencies is essential for developing more targeted and effective technology adoption strategies (Hafez, 2023).

Furthermore, since this study was conducted in the context of Indonesia, the generalizability of the findings to other countries may be limited. Future research is encouraged to broaden the geographical scope to test the proposed model's validity and robustness in different settings. Studying other developing countries would be a strategic next step, as significant differences exist between developed and developing nations regarding digital infrastructure readiness, consumer technology literacy, and trust in automated systems. In many developing countries, limited internet connectivity, low digital literacy, and minimal exposure to self-service technologies remain key barriers to implementation (Barakabitze et al.., 2019; Wafula & Njaramba, 2024). Therefore, expanding the research context to countries with similar challenges could enrich our understanding of the factors influencing technology adoption in the retail sector on a broader, more global scale.

Author Contribution

Author I: Conceptualization, writing original draft, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology.

- Author 2: Assisted in model design, helped determine research ideas, and guided the first author.
- Author 3: Review and editing, translating, supervision, and guiding the first author.

Financial Disclosure

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

- Abana, E., Daña, T., Alan, C., Martin, J., Buraga, R., & Balagtas, C. (2019). Self-service checkout system for groceries. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8(4), 1815-1818. https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.c6245.118419
- Abdullah, F., & Ward, R. (2016). Developing a General Extended Technology Acceptance Model for E-Learning (GETAMEL) by analyzing commonly used external factors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *56*, 238–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.11.036
- Aboelmaged, M., Ali, I., & Hashem, G. (2022). Mobile apps use for wellness and fitness and university students' subjective wellbeing. *Information Development*, 38(4), 672–687. https://doi.org/10.1177/02666669211020498
- Abouzeid, H. L., Chaturvedi, S., Ahmed Alzahrani, F., Alqahtani, N. M., Salim AlQarni, A. A., Alaajam, W. H., & Elsir Elmahdi, A. (2020). A Cross-Sectional Survey to Evaluate Acquaintance about Dental Photography among Dental Students in Daily Clinical Practice. *Journal of Public Health Research*, 9(3), jphr.2020.1866. https://doi.org/10.4081/jphr.2020.1866
- Aguzman, G., Pangaribuan, C. H., & Sasongko, A. H. (2020). Self-Service Checkouts: The Role Of Service Innovation In Indonesian Convenience Stores. *Psychology and Education*, 57(9), 81–87.
- Ahmad, S., Bhatti, S. H., & Hwang, Y. (2020). E-service quality and actual use of e-banking: Explanation through the Technology Acceptance Model. *Information Development*, 36(4), 503–519. https://doi.org/10.1177/0266666919871611
- Bananuka, J., Katamba, D., Nalukenge, I., Kabuye, F., & Sendawula, K. (2020). Adoption of Islamic banking in a non-Islamic country: evidence from Uganda. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 11(5), 989–1007. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-08-2017-0119
- Barakabitze, A.A., William-Andey Lazaro, A., Ainea, N., Mkwizu, M.H., Maziku, H.H., Matofali, A.X., Iddi, A.J., & Sanga, C. (2019). Transforming african education systems in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (stem) using icts: challenges and opportunities. *Education Research International*, 1-29. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/6946809
- Basker, E., Klimek, S., & Hoang Van, P. (2012). Supersize It: The Growth of Retail Chains and the Rise of the "Big-Box" Store. *Journal of Economics & Management Strategy*, 21(3), 541–582. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-9134.2012.00339.x

- Bidee, J., Vantilborgh, T., Pepermans, R., Willems, J., Jegers, M., & Hofmans, J. (2017). Daily motivation of volunteers in healthcare organizations: relating team inclusion and intrinsic motivation using self-determination theory. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 26(3), 325–336. https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2016.1277206
- Blut, M., & Wang, C. (2020). Technology readiness: a meta-analysis of conceptualizations of the construct and its impact on technology usage. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(4), 649–669. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00680-8
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Segerstrom, S. C. (2010). Optimism. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30(7), 879–889. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2010.01.006
- Cebeci, U., Ertug, A., & Turkcan, H. (2020). Exploring the determinants of intention to use self-checkout systems in super market chain and its application. *Management Science Letters*, 1027–1036. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.11.007
- Chang, C.-T., Hajiyev, J., & Su, C.-R. (2017). Examining the students' behavioral intention to use e-learning in Azerbaijan? The General Extended Technology Acceptance Model for E-learning approach. *Computers & Education*, 111, 128–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2017.04.010
- Chen, Y., & Zhao, S. (2022). Understanding Chinese EFL Learners' Acceptance of Gamified Vocabulary Learning Apps: An Integration of Self-Determination Theory and Technology Acceptance Model. *Sustainability*, 14(18), 11288. https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811288
- Chingarande, A., & Saayman, A. (2018). Critical success factors for tourism-led growth. International Journal of Tourism Research, 20(6), 800–818. https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.2233
- Chiu, W., & Cho, H. (2020). The role of technology readiness in individuals' intention to use health and fitness applications: a comparison between users and non-users. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 33(3), 807–825. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-09-2019-0534
- Colby, C. L., & Parasuraman, A. (2001). Techno-Ready Marketing : How and Why Customers Adopt Technology. The free Press.
- Collier, J. E., Moore, R. S., Horky, A., & Moore, M. L. (2015). Why the little things matter: Exploring situational influences on customers' self-service technology decisions. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(3), 703–710. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.08.001
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self-determination in personality. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 19(2), 109–134. https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-6566(85)90023-6
- Demoulin, N. T., & Djelassi, S. (2016). An integrated model of self-service technology (SST) usage in a retail context. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 44(5), 540-559. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-08-2015-0122
- Duarte, P., Silva, S. C., Linardi, M. A., & Novais, B. (2022). Understanding the implementation of retail self-service check-out technologies using necessary condition analysis. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 50(13), 140–163. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJRDM-05-2022-0164
- Dubnjakovic, A. (2017). Information Seeking Motivation Scale development: a self-determination perspective. *Journal of Documentation*, 73(5), 1034–1052. https://doi.org/10.1108/JD-03-2017-0032
- Echchabi, A., Azouzi, D., & Aziz, H. A. (2016). The future prospects of Islamic banking in Tunisia: an empirical survey. EuroMed Journal of Business, 11(1), 119–131. https://doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-03-2015-0018
- Ekşioğlu, Ş., & Ural, T. (2022). The Effects of Technology Readiness on Intention of Using the Mobile Payment Applications.. https://doi.org/10.1108/S1569-37592022000109A014
- Erdoğmuş, N., & Esen, M. (2011). An Investigation of the Effects of Technology Readiness on Technology Acceptance in e-HRM. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 24, 487–495. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.09.131
- Godoe, P., & Johansen, T. S. (2012). Understanding adoption of new technologies: Technology readiness and

technology acceptance as an integrated concept. Journal of European Psychology Students, 3, 38. https://doi.org/10.5334/jeps.aq

- Gu, J.-C., Lee, S.-C., & Suh, Y.-H. (2009). Determinants of behavioral intention to mobile banking. Expert Systems with Applications, 36(9), 11605–11616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2009.03.024
- Guo, J. (2022). Influencing factors of college students' use of sports apps in mandatory situations: based on utaut and sdt. Biomed Research International, 2022(1). https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9378860
- Hafez, M. (2023). Exploring the motives behind festive occasion consumption an intergenerational perspective. Journal of Business & Retail Management Research, 18(01). https://doi.org/10.24052/jbrmr/v18is01/art-03
- Hair, J.F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C.M., & Gudergan, S. (2018). Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). Sage, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), Sage.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8
- Huang, R.-T., Sun, H.-S., Hsiao, C.-H., & Wang, C.-W. (2017). Minimizing counterproductive work behaviors. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 30(1), 15–26. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-12-2015-0238
- Huwaida, L. A., Yusuf, A., Satria, A. N., Darmawan, M. A., Ammar, M. F., Yanuar, M. W., Hidayanto. A.N., & Yaiprasert, C. (2024). Generation Z and Indonesian Social Commerce: Unraveling key drivers of their shopping decisions. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 10(2), 100256.
- Jie, N., & Kamsin, I. (2021). Self- checkout service with rfid technology in supermarket. Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Integrated Intelligent Computing Communication & Security (ICIIC 2021) (pp.495-502). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/ahis.k.210913.062
- Joo, Y. J., So, H.-J., & Kim, N. H. (2018). Examination of relationships among students' self-determination, technology acceptance, satisfaction, and continuance intention to use K-MOOCs. *Computers & Education*, 122, 260–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.01.003
- Juneman. (2013). Common Method Variance & Bias dalam Penelitian Psikologis. Jurnal Pengukuran Psikologi dan Pendidikan Indonesia. 2(5), 364–381.
- Kaawaase, T. K. (2017). Religiosity and Islamic Banking in Uganda. *Makerere Business Journal*, 13(1), 70–93. https://doi.org/10.33117/513
- Kelly, A. E., & Palaniappan, S. (2023). Using a technology acceptance model to determine factors influencing continued usage of mobile money service transactions in Ghana. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-023-00301-3
- Khan, S. U., Khan, I. U., Khan, I., Din, S. U., & Khan, A. U. (2020). Evaluating şukūk investment intentions in Pakistan from a social cognitive perspective. ISRA International Journal of Islamic Finance, 12(3), 347–365. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJIF-12-2019-0194
- Lai, Y. L., & Lee, J. (2020). Integration of Technology Readiness Index (TRI) Into the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) for Explaining Behavior in Adoption of BIM. Asian Education Studies, 5(2), 10. https://doi.org/10.20849/aes.v5i2.816
- Laumer, S., Eckhardt, A., & Weitzel, T. (2012). Online Gaming to Find a New Job Examining Job Seekers' Intention to Use Serious Games as a Self-Assessment Tool. German Journal of Human Resource Management: Zeitschrift Für Personalforschung, 26(3), 218–240. https://doi.org/10.1177/239700221202600302
- Lee, H., & Leonas, K. (2020). Millennials' intention to use self-checkout technology in different fashion retail formats:

perceived benefits and risks. Clothing and Textiles Research Journal, 39(4), 264-280. https://doi.org/10.1177/0887302x20926577

- Lee, H., Fairhurst, A.E., & Lee, M. (2009), "The importance of self-service kiosks in developing consumers' retail patronage intentions", Managing Service Quality: *International Journal, 19*(6), 687-701, doi: 10.1108/09604520911005071
- Lee, H.-J., & Lyu, J. (2016). Personal values as determinants of intentions to use self-service technology in retailing. Computers in Human Behavior, 60, 322–332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.02.051
- Lee, H., & Leonas, K. K. (2021). Millennials' Intention to Use Self-Checkout Technology in Different Fashion Retail Formats: Perceived Benefits and Risks. *Clothing and Textiles Research Journal*, 39(4), 264–280. https://doi.org/10.1177/0887302X20926577
- Lee, M.-C. (2009). Factors influencing the adoption of internet banking: An integration of TAM and TPB with perceived risk and perceived benefit. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 8(3), 130–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2008.11.006
- Leung, L., & Chen, C. (2019). E-health/m-health adoption and lifestyle improvements: Exploring the roles of technology readiness, the expectation-confirmation model, and health-related information activities. *Telecommunications Policy*, 43(6), 563–575. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2019.01.005
- Leung, L. S. K., & Matanda, M. J. (2013). The impact of basic human needs on the use of retailing self-service technologies: A study of self-determination theory. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 20(6), 549–559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2013.06.003
- Li, X., Zhou, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, X., & Yuen, K. F. (2023). Psychological antecedents of telehealth acceptance: A technology readiness perspective. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 91, 103688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.103688
- Liang, Y., Lee, S., & Workman, J. (2021). How do consumers perceive mobile self-checkout in fashion retail stores?. International *Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 50(6), 677-691. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijrdm-08-2020-0299
- Lin, H.-H., Lin, S., Yeh, C.-H., & Wang, Y.-S. (2016). Measuring mobile learning readiness: scale development and validation. *Internet Research*, 26(1), 265–287. https://doi.org/10.1108/IntR-10-2014-0241
- Lin, J., & Hsieh, P. (2006). The role of technology readiness in customers' perception and adoption of self-service technologies. International Journal of Service Industry Management, 17(5), 497-517. https://doi.org/10.1108/09564230610689795
- Liu, Y., Han, S., & Li, H. (2010). Understanding the factors driving m-learning adoption: A literature review. *Campus-Wide Information Systems*, 27(4), 210–226. https://doi.org/10.1108/10650741011073761
- Lu, Y., Zhou, T., & Wang, B. (2009). Exploring Chinese users' acceptance of instant messaging using the theory of planned behavior, the technology acceptance model, and the flow theory. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(1), 29–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2008.06.002
- Lust, G., Juarez Collazo, N. A., Elen, J., & Clarebout, G. (2012). Content Management Systems: Enriched learning opportunities for all? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(3), 795–808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.12.009
- Marangunić, N., & Granić, A. (2015). Technology acceptance model: a literature review from 1986 to 2013. Universal Access in the Information Society, 14(1), 81–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-014-0348-1
- Martens, M., Roll, O., & Elliott, R. (2017). Testing the Technology Readiness and Acceptance Model for Mobile Payments Across Germany and South Africa. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 14(06), 1750033. https://doi.org/10.1142/S021987701750033X
- Maryam, S. Z., Ahmad, A., Aslam, N., & Farooq, S. (2022). Reputation and cost benefits for attitude and adoption intention among potential customers using theory of planned behavior: an empirical evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, 13(10), 2090–2107. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-03-2021-0059
- McNamara, A. J., Shirowzhan, S., & M.E. Sepasgozar, S. (2022). Investigating the determents of intelligent construction contract adoption: a refinement of the technology readiness index to inform an integrated technology acceptance model. *Construction Innovation*. https://doi.org/10.1108/CI-10-2021-0191

- Meisler, G. (2020). Emotional intelligence as an antidote to the negative implications of perceived managerial supplication: a sectorial comparison. *Personnel Review*, 50(2), 498–514. https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-10-2019-0571
- Mekruksavanich, S. (2020). Supermarket Shopping System using RFID as the IoT Application. 2020 Joint International Conference on Digital Arts, Media and Technology with ECTI Northern Section Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Computer and Telecommunications Engineering (ECTI DAMT & NCON), 83–86. https://doi.org/10.1109/ECTIDAMTNCON48261.2020.9090714
- Meuter, M. L., Ostrom, A. L., Roundtree, R. I., & Bitner, M. J. (2000). Self-Service Technologies: Understanding Customer Satisfaction with Technology-Based Service Encounters. *Journal of Marketing*, 64(3), 50–64. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.64.3.50.18024
- Mukerjee, H., Deshmukh, G., & Prasad, U. (2018). Technology readiness and likelihood to use self-checkout services using smartphone in retail grocery stores: empirical evidences from hyderabad, india. Business *Perspectives and Research*, 7(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1177/2278533718800118
- Musyaffi, A. M., Mulyani, S., Suraida, I., & Sukmadilaga, C. (2021). Lack of readiness of digital banking channel acceptance: Study on TAM 3 and technology readiness. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 20(Special Issue 4), 1–18.
- Musyaffi, A. M., Sari, D. A. P., Amal, M. I., Deswanto, V., Nuryati, T., & Rismawati. (2021). Attitude Toward of Public Hospital Information System: The Role of Technology Readiness. *Quality - Access to Success*, 22(185), 136–141. https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/22.185.18
- Natasia, S. R., Wiranti, Y. T., & Parastika, A. (2022). Acceptance analysis of NUADU as e-learning platform using the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) approach. *Procedia Computer Science*, *197*, 512–520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.12.168
- Nugroho, M. A., & Fajar, M. A. (2017). Effects of Technology Readiness Towards Acceptance of Mandatory Web-Based Attendance System. *Procedia Computer Science*, 124, 319–328. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.12.161
- Nusrat, F., & Huang, Y. (2024). Feeling rewarded and entitled to be served: Understanding the influence of self-versus regular checkout on customer loyalty. Journal of business research, 170, 114293. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114293
- Orel, F.D., & Kara, A. (2014). Supermarket self-checkout service quality, customer satisfaction, and loyalty: empirical evidence from an emerging market. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 21 (2), 118-129, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2013.07.002.
- Panday, R. (2015). The Effect of Technology Readiness on Technology Acceptance in Using Services Delivery of Academic Information System. *12th Ubaya International Annual Symposium on Management*, pp. 978–979.
- Parasuraman, A. (2000). Technology Readiness Index (Tri). *Journal of Service Research*, 2(4), 307–320. https://doi.org/10.1177/109467050024001
- Park, J., Ha, S., & Jeong, S. (2020). Consumer acceptance of self-service technologies in fashion retail stores. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management*, 25(2), 371-388. https://doi.org/10.1108/jfmm-09-2019-0221
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879– 903. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879
- Popy, N. N., & Bappy, T. A. (2022). Attitude toward social media reviews and restaurant visit intention: a Bangladeshi perspective. South Asian Journal of Business Studies, 11(1), 20-44.https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-03-2020-0077
- Quinones, M., Díaz-Martín, A. M., & Gómez-Suárez, M. (2023). Retail technologies that enhance the customer experience: a practitioner-centred approach. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10(1), 564. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02023-z
- Ramos-de-Luna, I., Montoro-Ríos, F., & Liébana-Cabanillas, F. (2016). Determinants of the intention to use NFC technology as a payment system: an acceptance model approach. *Information Systems and E-Business Management*, 14(2), 293–314. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10257-015-0284-5
- Rawwash, H., Masa'd, F., Enaizan, O., Eanizan, B., Adaileh, M. J., Saleh, A. M., & Almestarihi, R. (2020). Factors affecting Jordanian electronic banking services. *Management Science Letters*, 915–922.

https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2019.10.004

- Rashid, N., Shaharin, N., Sulaiman, A., Al–shami, S., Musa, H., & Jopri, M. (2024). Factors of self-checkout counter towards customer usage intention in malaysia drugstore. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting Finance and Management Sciences*, 14(3). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarafms/v14-i3/21912
- Rejali, S., Aghabayk, K., Esmaeli, S., & Shiwakoti, N. (2023). Comparison of technology acceptance model, theory of planned behavior, and unified theory of acceptance and use of technology to assess a priori acceptance of fully automated vehicles. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 168, 103565. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2022.103565
- Roca, J. C., & Gagné, M. (2008). Understanding e-learning continuance intention in the workplace: A self-determination theory perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 24(4), 1585–1604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2007.06.001
- Rodrigues, F., Jacinto, M., Couto, N., Monteiro, D., Monteiro, A. M., Forte, P., & Antunes, R. (2023). Motivational Correlates, Satisfaction with Life, and Physical Activity in Older Adults: A Structural Equation Analysis. *Medicina*, 59(3), 599. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina59030599
- Rosli, M. S., & Saleh, N. S. (2023). Technology enhanced learning acceptance among university students during Covid-19: Integrating the full spectrum of Self-Determination Theory and self-efficacy into the Technology Acceptance Model. Current Psychology, 42(21), 18212–18231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-02996-1
- Rouidi, M., Elouadi, A., & Hamdoune, A. (2022). Acceptance and use of telemedicine technology by health professionals: Development of a conceptual model. *Digital Health*, 8, 205520762210816. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221081693
- Ryan, R. M., & Connell, J. P. (1989). Perceived locus of causality and internalization: Examining reasons for acting in two domains. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 57(5), 749–761. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.57.5.749
- Sapry, H., Zakaria, N., Ahmad, A., & Ngadiman, N. (2022). The influence of demographic factors and customer traits on intention to use self-service checkout at tesco tebrau. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies* (*Ijim*), 16(13), 175-183. https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v16i13.30603
- Safitri, D. C., Nurahman, Z., & Hendijani, R.B. (2021). Customer Intention Towards Self-Service Checkout in Indonesian Supermarket. *Turkish Online Journal*, 12(6), 1253–1261.
- Saloman, E. B. (2006). Wavelengths, Energy Level Classifications, and Energy Levels for the Spectrum of Neutral Mercury. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*, 35(4), 1519–1548. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2204960
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. In Handbook of Market Research (pp. 1–40). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-1
- Schermerhorn, J. R., Jr., Osborn, R. N., Uhl-Bien, M., & Hunt, J. G. (2011). Organizational Behavior. John Wiley.
- Schliewe, J., & Pezoldt, K. (2010). A Cross-Cultural Comparison Of Factors Influencing Self-Scan Checkout Use. Journal of Business & Economics Research (JBER), 8(10), 39–48. https://doi.org/10.19030/jber.v8i10.772
- Segars, A. H., & Grover, V. (1993). Re-examining perceived ease of use and usefulness: A confirmatory factor analysis. MIS Quarterly, 517-525. https://doi.org/10.2307/249590
- Seong, B.-H., & Hong, C.-Y. (2022). Corroborating the effect of positive technology readiness on the intention to use the virtual reality sports game "Screen Golf": Focusing on the technology readiness and acceptance model. Information Processing & Management, 59(4), 102994. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2022.102994
- Sheldon, K. M., & Elliot, A. J. (1998). Not all Personal Goals are Personal: Comparing Autonomous and Controlled Reasons for Goals as Predictors of Effort and Attainment. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 24(5), 546– 557. https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167298245010
- Shmueli, G., Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J.-H., Ting, H., Vaithilingam, S., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). Predictive model assessment in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using PLSpredict. *European Journal of Marketing*, 53(11), 2322–2347. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJM-02-2019-0189
- Siebenaler, S., Szymkowiak, A., Robertson, P., Johnson, G., Law, J., & Fee, K. (2018). Social presence and dishonesty in retail. Proceedings of the 32nd International BCS Human Computer Interaction Conference (HCI)

https://doi.org/10.14236/ewic/hci2018.34

- Sie, L., Phelan, K. V., & Pegg, S. (2018). The interrelationships between self-determined motivations, memorable experiences and overall satisfaction. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 9(3), 354–379. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTT-09-2017-0098
- Smith, J. A., & Sivo, S. A. (2012). Predicting continued use of online teacher professional development and the influence of social presence and sociability. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(6), 871–882. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2011.01223.x
- Sukendro, S., Habibi, A., Khaeruddin, K., Indrayana, B., Syahruddin, S., Makadada, F. A., & Hakim, H. (2020). Using an extended Technology Acceptance Model to understand students' use of e-learning during Covid-19: Indonesian sport science education context. *Heliyon*, 6(11), e05410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05410
- Sun, H., & Zhang, P. (2006). The role of moderating factors in user technology acceptance. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 64(2), 53–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2005.04.013
- Taylor, S., & Todd, P. A. (1995). Understanding Information Technology Usage: A Test of Competing Models. Information Systems Research, 6(2), 144–176. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23011007
- Thomas-Francois, K., & Somogyi, S. (2023). Self-Checkout behaviours at supermarkets: does the technological acceptance model (TAM) predict smart grocery shopping adoption? *The International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 33(1), 44–66. https://doi.org/10.1080/09593969.2022.2051195
- Tsikriktsis, N. (2004). A Technology Readiness-Based Taxonomy of Customers. Journal of Service Research, 7(1), 42–52. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670504266132
- Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2018). Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: Evidence from a population-based study. *Preventive Medicine Reports*, 12, 271-283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmedr.2018.10.003
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M.G., Davis, G.B., & Davis, F.D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425. https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926
- Virani, S. R., Saini, J. R., & Sharma, S. (2023). Adoption of massive open online courses (MOOCs) for blended learning: the Indian educators' perspective. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(2), 1060–1076. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1817760
- Wafula, G., & Njaramba, S. (2024). Effect of foreign debt on literacy rate in kenya. European Journal of Economic and Financial Research, 8(3). https://doi.org/10.46827/ejefr.v8i3.1706
- Walczuch, R., Lemmink, J., & Streukens, S. (2007). The effect of service employees' technology readiness on technology acceptance. *Information & Management*, 44(2), 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2006.12.005
- Wang, C., Ahmad, S. F., Bani Ahmad Ayassrah, A. Y. A., Awwad, E. M., Irshad, M., Ali, Y. A., Al-Razgan, M., Khan, Y., & Han, H. (2023). An empirical evaluation of technology acceptance model for Artificial Intelligence in Ecommerce. *Heliyon*, 9(8), e18349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18349
- Wang, W.-T., & Hou, Y.-P. (2015). Motivations of employees' knowledge sharing behaviors: A self-determination perspective. *Information and Organization*, 25(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2014.11.001
- Widyarini, L. (2021). Intention to use self-service technology based on basic human needs. *Matrik Jurnal Manajemen Strategi Bisnis Dan Kewirausahaan*, 86. https://doi.org/10.24843/matrik:jmbk.2021.v15.i01.p08
- Wolniak, R., Stecuła, K., & Aydın, B. (2024). Digital transformation of grocery In-store shopping-scanners, artificial intelligence, augmented reality and beyond: A review. *Foods*, 13(18), 2948. https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13182948
- Wu, Y., & Li, C. (2019). Helping Others Helps? A Self-Determination Theory Approach on Work Climate and Wellbeing among Volunteers. Applied Research in Quality of Life, 14(4), 1099–1111. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-018-9642-z

Yang, H., & Yoo, Y. (2004). It's all about attitude: revisiting the technology acceptance model. Decision Support Systems,

38(1), 19-31. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-9236(03)00062-9

- Yi, M. Y., & Hwang, Y. (2003). Predicting the use of web-based information systems: self-efficacy, enjoyment, learning goal orientation, and the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59(4), 431–449. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00114-9
- Yusuf, F., Mirantika, N., Syamfithriani, T. S., Darmawan, E., & Irawan, D. (2021). Technology readiness and acceptance model as a factor for the use intention of LMS e-Learning in Kuningan University. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1933*(1), 012005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1933/1/012005
- Zhao, Q., Chen, C.-D., & Wang, J.-L. (2016). The effects of psychological ownership and TAM on social media loyalty: An integrated model. *Telematics and Informatics*, 33(4), 959–972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2016.02.007