

# IMPROVING THE SERVICES QUALITY OF EDUCATIONAL STAFF BASED ON SATISFACTION AND LOYALTY ANALYSIS OF NURSING STUDENTS

Fresty Africia\*, Stefanus Supriyanto\*\*, Tiyas Kusumaningrum\*

\* Faculty of Nursing Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C Mulyorejo Surabaya, 60115

\*\* Faculty of Public Health Universitas Airlangga, Kampus C Mulyorejo Surabaya, 60115

Email: tiyas-k@fkip.unair.ac.id

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Educational staff services play a role in determining the quality of education. Service quality is able to provide satisfaction for students. Students who were satisfied with the perceived quality will develop student loyalty to the institution. The purpose of this study was to assess the effect of educational staff service quality with the satisfaction and loyalty of nursing students so that the educational institutions as service providers are able to provide the best service and survive in the midst of competition. **Method:** The study was an explanative survey with a cross-sectional design. Data collection was conducted using both quantitative (questionnaires) and qualitative (Focus Group discussion/FGD) methods. This study used proportional random sampling, with 110 students as samples. The variables in this research were customer expectations, perceived quality, student satisfaction and loyalty. The data was collected by a questionnaire and analyzed by linear regression, while FGD was conducted in two different groups (students and staff's managers). **Result:** The result of the study explains the influence of student expectations on the perceived quality of the educational staff with a p-value = 0,002. There was no influence of student expectation on student satisfaction with a p-value = 0,156. The influence of the perceived quality of the educational staff towards student satisfaction had a p-value = 0,000. The influence of student satisfaction on student loyalty had a p-value = 0,000. **Conclusion:** The fulfilment of student expectations will have a positive impact on perceived quality. Furthermore, the positively perceived quality will create student satisfaction and student loyalty towards the educational institutions. For further research, it is suggested to examine the effect of satisfaction on student complaints.

**Keywords:** customer expectation; educational staff; loyalty; perceived quality; satisfaction

## INTRODUCTION

Education is a conscious and well-planned effort to create an atmosphere of learning in the learning process so that learners can actively develop their self-potential to have spiritual strength, self-control, personality, intelligence, a noble character, and the necessary skills that they, society, the nation and the state needs (RI, 2012). Educators and education staff are two professions that are closely related to the world of education, although the scope of the two is different. Educators and education staff have roles and positions that are equally important in the context of education (learning). This is in accordance with Law no.20, Article 40, Paragraph (2) 2003 where educators and education staff are obliged to create a meaningful, fun, creative, dynamic and dialogical education atmosphere and have a professional commitment to improving the quality of education. Academic institutions are always expected to improve the academic services offered so as to improve the student's performance (Goga, Kuyoro and Goga, 2015). Educational institutions need to implement appropriate management so that the educational environment can be modified as needed (Khachian *et al.*, 2013). The

globalization era is a challenge for universities preparing their graduates to be able to compete in the struggles of the job market and to produce innovative and creative graduates (Prasetyaningrum, 2009). Under these conditions, educational institutions are finding it necessary to recognize and meet the needs of consumers in providing quality services (Muzakiyah, Syukri and Setyaningsih, 2011).

Data from the quality assurance team at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri in 2016, in their assessment on their educators (lecturers), showed that 26% were in a very good category and 74% were in a good category. The assessment of the educational staff had never been done before. The results of the initial survey using questionnaires on October 17, 2016, showed that 4 out of 10 nursing students felt that the service personnel at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri are below their expectations. Students, as the most important element in educational institutions, need to be heard regarding whether the service that has been given is below their expectations or not. Customer satisfaction is expected to affect loyalty (Rinala, Yudana and Natajaya, 2013). Data from the new admissions team at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri in 2016 showed that the number of registered students had

decreased by 14% from 175 to 151 students.

According to Tjiptono (2003), the creation of consumer satisfaction can provide several benefits such as a harmonious relationship between service owners and consumers, providing a good basis for re-purchase and the creation of consumer loyalty, and forming a recommendation from word of mouth services. If there is dissatisfaction from the concerned student, it can have a bad impact in the form of demands or complaints, even lawsuits and spreading it in mass media. If this happens, it is very harmful to the reputation of the concerned college (Suardana, I., 2007). The competitive situation between universities' demands requires the institutions to pay attention to the quality in order to be able to excel in the competition (Ayu, Srinadi and Eka, 2008). Universities should take anticipatory steps to face the competition and to be responsible for exploring and improving all aspects of the owned services (Ayu, Srinadi and Eka, 2008).

Quality services can be identified through customer satisfaction, especially from the students (Ayu, Srinadi and Eka, 2008). Tjiptono (2011) mentioned that quality has a close relationship with the satisfaction of the customer. Quality provides a boost to the consumers to forge strong bonds with the service owners. In the long term, such ties allow the service owners to understand the expectations of consumers and their needs (Tjiptono, 2011). Institutions should be able to improve student satisfaction by improving the quality of the services offered, making the good quality services better and improving the weaker ones. The priority is considered to be student satisfaction in order to achieve student loyalty. This study has examined customer expectations (student), perceived quality of the supporting educational staff, student satisfaction, and student loyalty. The aim of this study was to assess the effect of educational staff service quality on the satisfaction and loyalty of the nursing students.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The research method used was an explanative survey with a cross-sectional approach. The study was conducted at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri on 13<sup>th</sup> to the 31<sup>st</sup> March 2017. The research population was all of the nursing students at STIKes Ganesha

Husada Kediri, which was many as 151 students. The sampling technique used in this study was proportional random sampling, with a sample size of 110 students based on sample size formula. The data was collected by using a questionnaire. The Questionnaire had been tested for validity and reliability with the Pearson and Cronbach Alpha tests. The collected data were analysed by using linear regression. The research variables include customer expectations (student) and perceived quality, student satisfaction, and student loyalty. A focus group discussion (FGD) was conducted after the quantitative data collection was completed in two different groups; the students and the student's services manager. The implementation of FGD for students was on a different schedule than that of the managers. This study passed the ethical test conducted on March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2017 at the Faculty of Nursing Universitas Airlangga Surabaya with No 355-KEPK.

## RESULTS

This section will present the results of the study based on student expectations, perceived service, satisfaction and the loyalty of the students along with the influence of the variables. The total respondents were 110 students with the majority being female (67%). From this number, the majority said that they were between their second and fifth year of being students. From Table 1, it can be explained that the customer expectation variable fulfilled as many as 55.5% of the respondents. The perceived quality variable on technical quality, functional quality, and amenity was also quite good, with 50.9%, 51.8%, and 51.8% respectively.

Student satisfaction with the services provided by the majority in the category of quite satisfied was mentioned by 52.7% of the students. The loyalty variable shows that the majority of students (94.5%) are willing to recommend the school to the community and expect the continuity of relationship by 64.5%. The students who proud getting a degree in nursing school were 66.4%.

Table 2 indicated that as many as 36 students thought their fulfilment and the value of the services as being good, and as many as 20 students felt unfulfilled and thought that the valued services are felt fair enough. As many as 25 students thought that the assessment

service was felt fair enough, and as many as 29 of the students thought that their expectations were unfulfilled and judged the perceived service to be fair enough.

Table 3 is the result of the tabulation that indicates that as many as 48 students perceive the service as being good and feeling satisfied, as many as four students perceive the service being quite satisfied and as many as 50 students who assessed the service felt sufficiently satisfied with the service.

Table 4 presents the influence of the research variables. There was a significant correlation between customer expectation and perceived quality. Customer expectation has no direct correlation with student satisfaction, but instead through the variable between the perceived qualities. Perceived quality has a very strong correlation ( $\beta$ : 0,910) and is positive towards student satisfaction. Student satisfaction has a strong enough correlation ( $\beta$ ; 0,456) to student loyalty. Fulfilling customer expectations will, therefore, enhance perceived quality, which will have an impact on increasing student satisfaction and increasing student loyalty to the institution.

## DISCUSSION

### **The Correlation of customer expectation to perceived quality of student**

The direct correlation of customer expectation towards the perceived quality by way of the linear regression test indicates if there was an increase in student expectations, there would be a requirement for improvement of the perceived quality of the service based on the student's perception. This was in accordance with the concept of the American Customer Satisfaction Index which states that there is a significant correlation between student expectation's on the perceived quality service (Fornell *et al.*, 1996).

The expectation of the customer is the consumer's belief that a product has certain desired attributes and it is the prediction of the consumer towards the possible attributes or performance of a given product (Tanuwijaya, 2012). Woodruff, R.B. & Gardial (2002) used the term "Comparison Standard" against the customer's expectation; they compared between the service product used with the standard that must be received.

Perceived quality is an important element for consumer decision-making, and as a consequence, consumers will compare the quality according to the owned category of the product compared to the price paid (Yee, C.J. & San, 2011). The quality of educational staff services is assessed based on the quality distribution of the services. According to Donabedian A., 1980 in (Supriyanto, 2010), there are 3 categories that are technical quality, functional quality, and amenity. Technical quality in this study assessed the duties and functions of each educational staff based on Ganesha Husada Kediri Foundation Decree No.01/YGH-K/XII/2013. Functional Quality assessed the reliability, assurance, tangible, empathy and responsiveness of the educational staff. Amenity is based on the comfort and convenience in relation to the received service.

A well-fulfilled expectation will encourage an assessment of the service, and judgment as well. From Table 2, we saw that most of the students' expectations were fulfilled and that they gave positive feedback of the perceived service. However, there was an unfulfilled expectation that needs to be analysed by the academic manager such as the slow distribution of certificates by the academic administrative staff. FGD revealed that the slow certificate distribution was happening because the Hospital does not immediately send a certificate of practice to the associated institution.

The existence of the correlation of expectations on perceived quality is supported by a statement from Fornell *et al.*, (1996) which stated that customer expectation is a forecast of the company's ability to provide good quality in the future and positively relates to perceived quality. Kuananusorn (2014) also supported the findings by stating that the quality of service is centred on the efforts to meet the needs, desires, and accuracy of delivery to balance with the customer expectations. Trimurthy (2008) stated that quality is a fundamental decision-making factor determined by the consumers based on the consumer's actual experience of a product or service based on its measurement results, expectations, the promised services, awareness and objectivity.

**Table 1. Research variables (n = 110)**

No	Variables	Fulfilled		Unfulfilled		Total	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
1.	Customer Expectation	61	55.5	49	45.5	110	100%
2.	Perceived Quality	Good		Enough		Total	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
	a. Technical Quality	56	50.9	54	49.1	110	100%
	b. Functional Quality	57	51.8	53	48.2	110	100%
	c. Amenity	57	51.8	53	48.2	110	100%
3.	Student Satisfaction	52	47.3	58	52.7	110	100%
4.	Student Loyalty	Loyal		Disloyal		Total	
		n	%	n	%	n	%
	a. Advocate/Recommendation	106	94.5	6	5.5	110	100%
	b. Continuity of relationship	71	64.5	39	35.5	110	100%
	c. Proud	73	66.4	37	34.6	110	100%

**Table 2. Cross-tabulation result of Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, and Student Satisfaction**

Customer Expectation	Perceived Quality		Total	Student Satisfaction		Total
	Good	Enough		Good	Enough	
Fulfilled	36	25	61	32	29	52
Unfulfilled	20	29	49	20	29	58
Total	56	54	110	71	39	110

**Table 3. Cross-tabulation result of Perceived Quality, Student Satisfaction, and Student Loyalty**

Student Satisfaction	Perceived Quality		Total	Student Loyalty		Total
	Good	Enough		Loyal	Disloyal	
Good	48	8	56	44	8	52
Enough	4	50	58	27	31	58
Total	52	58	110	71	39	110

**Table 4 Statistic test of correlations of Customer Expectation, Perceived Quality, Student's Satisfaction and Student's Loyalty at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri**

Variables		p-value	β
Customer Expectation	Perceived Quality	0,002	0,290
Customer Expectation	Student's Satisfaction	0,156	-0,065
Perceived Quality	Student's Satisfaction	0,000	0,910
Student's Satisfaction	Student's Loyalty	0,000	0,456

**The correlation of customer expectation to nursing student satisfaction**

This study shows no direct correlation between customer expectations and student satisfaction. Tse and Wilton (1988) in Tjiptono (2008) stated that customer satisfaction is directly proportional to customer loyalty, where customer satisfaction is determined by two main things: expectations and perceived performance. If the perceived performance exceeds expectations, then the customer will be satisfied. Otherwise, the customer will not be satisfied. Oliver (2013) agreed with the

concept of Tse and Wilton by stating that the process of satisfaction begins after the consumer has obtained a standard of expectation or an example of the product or service performance.

Woodruff, R.B. & Gardial (2002) stated that satisfaction is a gap between customer expectations and expected quality standards, where satisfaction can be felt positively or negatively based on the impression experienced by the customers. This condition occurs as a result of the interaction between service providers and customers.

Kotler (2007) stated that satisfaction is the level of one's satisfaction after comparing the perceived results with their expectations. Conversely, if the student's expectations are not fulfilled, then the level of student satisfaction with the institution will also decrease.

The results of this study are consistent with the previous research conducted at several universities in Tehran showing that student expectations do not have a significant effect on student satisfaction and the values that the students understand. The quality of service has a direct and meaningful effect on student satisfaction (Kheiry, 2012).

The correlation of the expected quality of the students does not directly affect the satisfaction but instead works through the intermediate variable, which is the students' perceived judgment on the educational personnel services. Some of the literature does mention that customer's satisfaction or that of the patient is determined by the accepted quality examiner (Strasse and Davis, 1991).

#### **The correlation of perceived quality to nursing student satisfaction**

The correlation of perceived quality to student satisfaction within the linear regression test was indicated by an increase in the perceived quality of the students. There will, therefore, be an increase in student satisfaction at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri. This is in accordance with the concept of ACSI (American Customer Satisfaction Index) Fornel which states that there is a significant correlation between perceived quality towards overall customer satisfaction (Fornell *et al.*, 1996).

According to the results of a research study on students in Singapore, it showed that service quality judged from the perspective of functional and technical quality has a positive influence on the satisfaction that impacts on WOM (Word of Mouth) positively (Teo and Soutar, 2012). Kheiry (2012) stated that the quality of the service perceived by students has a direct effect on student satisfaction and value.

According to Durianto (2004) on Suprapti (2010), perceived quality is a consumer perception of the overall quality and superiority of a product or service similar to its intended purpose. Positive perceived quality will drive the consumer's decision to purchase

and create loyalty towards the product. Furthermore, considering that consumer perception can be forecasted, if the perceived quality is negative then the product will not be liked and will not last long in the market. Conversely, if the consumer's perceived quality is positive then the product will be liked, and so the consumer will make the decision to buy the product.

#### **The correlation of student satisfaction to the loyalty of nursing student**

The correlation of student satisfaction to student loyalty showed that when student satisfaction is increased, it will be followed by student loyalty at STIKes Ganesha Husada Kediri. This is in accordance with the concept of ACSI (American Customer Satisfaction Index) which states that there is significant influence between customer satisfaction and customer loyalty (Fornell *et al.*, 1996).

The ultimate goal of a company that pursues consumer loyalty is the achievement of increased usage, the interest in repurchasing the same item, continuing or always using the same service product and choosing the brand of the service product in the future (Kotler and Keller, 2007). Consumer loyalty is a manifestation and continuation of consumer satisfaction (Rahadian, 2006).

Satisfied students will be loyal to the institution. This is in accordance with Table 4 where most students are satisfied and have good loyalty towards the institution. Helgesen & Nettet (2007) stated that student satisfaction has the strongest correlation compared to other factors towards student loyalty and that the effect is three times greater than the image and brand image of the college towards student loyalty.

Satisfied and loyal customers are the chance to gain new opportunities. Maintaining existing customers will generally be more profitable than turnover. as the cost to attract new customers can be five times the cost of maintaining an existing customer (Kotler and Keller, 2007). Thus, keeping the existing customers is the same as maintaining the survival of the company. In terms of education, to maintain the loyalty of community in the nursing college is similar with maintaining the continuity of the nursing education process helps to improve the quality of nursing services to the community.

## CONCLUSIONS

The quality expectation and assessment of the educational staff is still quite good, as well as student satisfaction and loyalty. There is a positive correlation between customer expectation and perceived quality. However, customer expectation has no direct effect on student satisfaction but instead works through the perceived quality intermediary variable. This means that when assessing student satisfaction, one should simply use the assessment variable. The student's satisfaction has a very strong and positive impact on the student's loyalty. The higher the fulfilment of the customer's expectations, the perceived quality will result in a better judgment, and student satisfaction will increase, and ultimately affect the high loyalty of the students towards the institution.

Educational institutions must continuously improve the quality of their services to students as a whole in terms of the educational staff, educators (lecturers), and infrastructure facilities. Further research is expected to examine the influence of student satisfaction with student complaints.

## REFERENCES

- Ayu, I. G., Srinadi, M. and Eka, P. (2008) 'Faktor-Faktor Penentu Kepuasan Mahasiswa Terhadap Pelayanan Fakultas Sebagai Lembaga Pendidikan', *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, XXVII(3), pp. 217–231.
- Fornell, C. et al. (1996) 'The American Customer Satisfaction Index: Nature, Purpose, and Findings', *Journal of Marketing*, 60(4), pp. 7–18.
- Goga, M., Kuyoro, S. and Goga, N. (2015) 'A Recommender for Improving the Student Academic Performance', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier, 180, pp. 1481–1488. doi: 10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2015.02.296.
- Helgesen, Ø. and Nasset, E. (2007) 'What Accounts for Students' Loyalty? Some Field Study Evidence', *International Journal of Educational Management*, 21(2), pp. 126–143. doi: 10.1108/09513540710729926.
- Khachian, A. et al. (2013) 'The Role of the Organizational Internal Environment in the Establishment of Change Management in Nursing and Midwifery schools', in *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. Elsevier, pp. 308–316. doi: 10.1016/J.SBSPRO.2013.09.195.
- Kheiry, B. (2012) 'University Intellectual Image Impact on Satisfaction and Loyalty of Students (Tehran Selected Universities)', *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(37), pp. 10205–10211. doi: 10.5897/AJBM11.434.
- Kotler, P. and Keller, K. L. (2007) *Marketing Management*. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- Kunanusorn, A. and D. P. (2014) 'The Mediating Effect of Job Satisfaction on Student Loyalty to Higher Institution', *European Scientific Journal*, 1(October), pp. 449–464.
- Muzakiyah, Syukri, S. H. A. and Setyaningsih, I. (2011) 'Analisis Kualitas Pelayanan Pada Bagian Tata Usaha Berdasarkan Tingkat Kepuasan Mahasiswa', *Ilmiah Teknik Industri*, 10(2), pp. 95–100.
- Oliver, R. L. (2013) 'Whence Consumer Loyalty?', *Journal of Marketing*, 63(1999), pp. 33–44.
- Prasetyaningrum, I. D. (2009) *Analisis Pengaruh Pembelajaran dan Kualitas Pelayanan terhadap Kepuasan Mahasiswa dan Loyalitas Mahasiswa (Studi Kasus pada Undaris Ungaran)*, Tesis. Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- Rahadian, S. S. (2006) *Analisis Pengaruh Loyalitas Pelanggan dan Perilaku Mencari Variasi Terhadap Perpindahan Merek*. Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- RI (2012) *Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 12 Tahun 2012 tentang Pendidikan Tinggi*.
- Rinala, I. N., Yudana, I. M. and Natajaya, I. N. (2013) 'Pengaruh Kualitas Pelayanan Akademik Terhadap Kepuasan dan Loyalitas Mahasiswa Pada Sekolah Tinggi Pariwisata Nusa Dua Bali', *e-Journal Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha*, 4.
- Strasse, S. and Davis, R. (1991) *Measuring Patient Satisfaction For Improved Patient Service (Management Series)*. Michigan: American College of Healthcare Executives.

- Suardana, I., M. (2007) 'Analisis Kepuasan Mahasiswa Terhadap Pelayanan Jasa Pendidikan Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi', *Jurnal Valid*, 4(1).
- Suprapti (2010) *Analisis Pengaruh Brand Awareness, Perceived Value, Organizational Association an Perceived Quality terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Konsumen*. Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- Supriyanto, S. (2010) *Manajemen Mutu Pelayanan Kesehatan*. Surabaya: Health Advocacy Yayasan Pemberdayaan Kesehatan Masyarakat.
- Tanuwijaya, A. (2012) 'Pengaruh Customer Perceived Value terhadap Customer Loyalty melalui Customer Satisfaction pada Secong Royal Plaza Surabaya', *Jurnal Universitas Katolik Surabaya*, 1(2), pp. 1–6.
- Teo, R. and Soutar, G. N. (2012) 'Word of Mouth Antecedents in an Educational Context: a Singaporean Study', *International Journal of Educational Management*, 26(7), pp. 678–695. doi: 10.1108/09513541211263746.
- Tjiptono, F. (2003) *Total Quality Management*. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.
- Tjiptono, F. (2008) *Strategi Pemasaran*. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.
- Tjiptono, F. (2011) *Service, Quality Satisfaction*. Yogyakarta: Andi Offset.
- Trimurthy, I. G. A. (2008) *Analisa Hubungan Persepsi Pasien tentang Mutu Pelayanan dengan Minat Pemanfaatan Ulang Palayanan Rawat Jalan di Puskesmas Pandanaran Kota Semarang*. Universitas Diponegoro Semarang.
- Woodruff, R. and Gardial, S. (2002) 'Exploring the Phenomenon of Customers' Desire Value Change in a Business to Business Context', *Journal of Marketing*, 66(4), pp. 102–117.
- Yee, C.J. & San, N. C. (2011) 'Consumers Perceived Quality, Perceive Value, and Perceived Risk Towards Purchase Decision on Automobile', *American Journal of Economics and Business Administration*, 3(1), pp. 47–57.