∂ OPEN ACCESS

Quality of life for adult family caregivers of patients with dementia: a Systematic review

Yupin Aungsuroch ^{1,*}, I Gede Juanamasta^{1, 4}, Ola Ebrahim Elsherbiny², and Sonoko Kabaya^{3, 5}

¹ Faculty of Nursing, Chulalongkorn University Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand.

² Medical Surgical Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing, Mansoura University, Mansoura, Egypt.

³ Department of Nursing, Graduate School of Health Science, Kobe University, Kobe, Japan.

⁴ Nursing Program, STIKes Wira Medika Bali, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia.

⁵ Department of Nursing, Kobe City College of Nursing, Kobe, Japan.

*Correspondence: Yupin Aungsuroch. Address: Faculty of Nursing, Chulalongkorn University Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand. Email: yaungsuroch@gmail.com & juana.masta.90@gmail.com

Responsible Editor: Rizki Fitryasari

Received: 29 June 2023 · Revised: 28 Desember 2023 · Accepted: 11 January 2024

ABSTRACT

Introduction: to evaluate problems and factors related to quality of life (QoL) for adult family caregivers (FCGs) of patients with dementia.

Methods: The study used a systematic review method with the guidelines of PRISMA 2020 and registered in PROSPERO CRD42023464726. The review focused on adult FCGs for people with dementia in the home setting. The literature was searched from four databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, CINAHL, and PubMed. This systematic review examined a subset of fifty-two out of a total of 2,263. The study used a narrative synthesis method to analyze the study.

Results: The result showed that the severity of a patient with dementia's condition had an essential role in the patient's state. It impacted the caregiver's condition indirectly. Personal strategies (coping, resilience, sense of coherence, and expressed emotion) had an essential role in the caregiver situation. A maladaptive strategy would increase the stress of the caregivers and lead to a burden and depression. Those conditions of the caregiver would affect their QoL.

Conclusions: Caring for patients with dementia is a huge psychological distress and burden on FCGs, which may negatively affect their quality of life in many aspects. Therefore, FCGs need education and support programs to maintain their overall aspect of quality of life and enable them to produce care for loved people.

Keywords: caregivers, dementia, quality of life (QoL)

Introduction

Global population aging is a reality. Additionally, as people age, the number of old-age persons with dementia (PwD) has increased. All disorders defined by cognitive and functional impairment fall under the umbrella of dementia, which is a chronic and complicated disease. The occurrence of this disease makes managing it a significant problem for social and health policies in wealthy nations. The significance of dementia issues on a global scale is seen in there were 46.8 million dementia sufferers worldwide in 2015, and by 2050, that number is projected to almost triple (Alzheimer's Association, 2017). Caring for these individuals is expensive, and their families also incur additional costs.

People with dementia suffer a severe lack of control, mental conditions, and behavioral difficulties (Yoro-Zohoun et al., <u>2020</u>). Wandering behavior is a condition



frequently displayed by PwD that entails regular, repeated, time-disordered, and/or spatially-disordered lapping activities, random and/or pacing habits and eloping, or getting lost unless accompanied (Algase et al., 2007). Previous reports showed that 6 out of 10 community-dwelling PwD have wandering activity (Alzheimer's Association, 2017); although incidence rates range by 17.4 percent for community-dwelling seniors, 50 percent for individuals with severe dementia, and 63 percent for community-dwellers (Cipriani et al., 2014). Dangerous wandering activities, such as eloping and getting lost outside (Algase et al., 2004), frequently lead to injuries (Pai and Lee, 2016, Rowe and Bennett, 2003). Family caregivers (FCGs) have the most challenging situation to care for their PwD due to their wandering.

Additionally, the provision of care for individuals with dementia imposes a significant burden on FCGs and is constrained by economic considerations, such as the reduction in productivity, which has a direct influence on the overall quality of life (Shikimoto et al., 2018). It has also been said that dementia is one of the disorders of burdening patients and the population of geriatric diseases (Matsushita et al., 2016). In comparison, the mental health status of older adult dementia FCGs is poor relative to that of older adult dementia-free caregivers. It is also believed that the stress caused by caring for them could be a factor in the collapse of home care and the neglect of the older-adults (Wang et al., 2014, Leggett et al., 2018). For the older adults with dementia, the value of informal human capital such as neighbors, colleagues, district groups and volunteers that will support their families in the neighborhood while strengthening public access is emphasized (Japan Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare, 2015).

Although the demand for caregivers is growing, there is a reality that only family members typically assume their responsibility for caring for the older adults living in their families. However, the strain of caring for the older adults can have a significant effect on FCGs' quality of life, contributing to social alienation, financial challenges, and psychological and physical fatigue (Knodel and Nguyen, 2015). FCGs face multiple challenges to maintain their other positions, including child-rearing, employment, and marriages. The impact on FCGs is very nuanced (Chaobankrang et al., 2019). Many FCGs are unpaid and have no bargaining power (Tamdee et al., 2019). According to the study, most overstressed FCGs did not undergo any instruction before taking care of them (including those with dementia), and were self-taught mostly from practice, relationship, and appreciation. It has also been stated that the factors associated with stress of FCGs were the health status of FCGs, their faith in their treatment, the partnership between FCGs and the older individual adults, and the economic burden of care, as well as the condition of being elderly with dementia (Tamdee et al., 2019).

Therefore, the goal of this review was to evaluate different aspects of quality of life of FCGs affected by caring for elderly with dementia. As a consequence, it was expected to explain different factors that influence physical, psychological and social aspects of quality of life of community caregivers, especially unpaid caregivers.

Materials and Methods

The study used the systematic review methodology. The procedure involved including the formulation of a research question, establishment of criteria, development of a search strategy, exploration of relevant databases, registration of a protocol, evaluation of titles and abstracts, thorough examination of full-text articles, manual searching for additional sources, extraction of data, assessment of quality, verification of data accuracy, statistical analysis, doublechecking of data, and composition of the manuscript.

Search Strategy and Screening

PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and CINAHL databases were searched for studies released between 2016 and 2022. Additionally, relevant studies were looked up in reference lists. There were no language restrictions, and translated foreign papers were available. There were both conjunctive and disjunctive relationships between the keywords and their combinations that were employed (and - or): "quality of life," "family caregivers," and "elderly/older adults/aged dementia." The details of search strategy can be seen in Supplementary File 1.

First, the researcher screened for the titles that used the terms "quality of life" and "family caregivers of dementia." The selected articles were filtered using the inclusion criteria by all of the authors. Literature which did not fulfill the inclusion criteria were excluded, and the study population was other FCGs diseases. The study team debated the various findings until reaching an understanding over whether or not each research should be included.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies examining the quality of life of community FCGs of dementia patients were the inclusion criteria for articles. These articles discussed observational studies

Aungsuroch, Juanamasta, Elsherbiny, and Kabaya (2024)

that were pertinent to the subject under investigation, including cross-sectional, correlational, and survey research. Additionally, papers addressing the burden of care and its impact on caregivers' physical and emotional health were looked for. Unpaid carers were among the specific inclusion requirements, and there was a connection between PwD and FCG (spouse, adult child, relative, and sibling).

Articles published prior to 2016, duplicate registrations, research involving formal (paid) carers, and patients receiving long-term care or in nursing homes were all excluded.

Critical Appraisal

There were 52 articles out of 2,263 articles that matched the inclusion criteria and were critically appraised with tools from the appraisal tool for cross-sectional studies (Alilyyani et al., 2018, Keyko et al., 2016). The dimensions, including introduction, methods, results, discussion, and others, were represented by 20 questions. The answers included yes, no, or do not know/comment. Critical appraisal was done by each author and the result for each article was discussed and categorized as low quality, medium, or high. All articles showed high quality, with scores ranging from 10 to 11. The details of the critical appraisal can be seen in Supplementary file 2.

Data Analyses

Narrative synthesis was employed in the study (Hinchcliff et al., 2012). Data were extracted and recorded using a table consisting of author names, year(s), methods, instruments, and findings, including



Figure 1 Literature selection process

factors related to, and variable dimensions. In addition, content analysis was used in this study (Nuryani et al., 2022). All of the authors read each article and labeled the meaningful context. Each important labeling was reread by each author. Authors collected all of the labelings, then mapped and grouped them to categorize and sub-categorize.

First, researchers categorized problems and its factors. Specific terms were derived into subcategories such as problems were derived into mental and wellbeing, daily living, comorbid and overall quality of life. Besides, factors were derived into PwD factors and caregiver. PwD factors included type of dementia, impairment, and medication. Meanwhile, FCG factors included individual factors (demographic), health conditions, personality/traits, personal strategies, care activity factors, care activity knowledge, supports, and socioeconomic factors.

If the authors had different notions during categorizing and other opinions when grouping, these were discussed until reaching an agreement. The final results of categorizing can be seen in the results.

Results

A total of 2,263 articles were searched, although 1,665 of them were initially disqualified based on their titles, abstracts, and year published. The remaining 278 were rejected because they lacked full-texts, were out-of-topic, or duplicated. Two hundred and sixty eight studies were removed, leaving a total of 52 articles that met the inclusion criteria. Of the 52 research studies that were included in the final review, 20 studies directly examined quality of life, while the remaining 32 studies



Table I Characteristics of the study

Country	Study
Asian	Chang et al. (2016); Chan et al. (2019); Yu et al. (2016); Kimura et al. (2019); Wang et al. (2020b); Morimoto et al. (2019); Honda et al. (2017); Tay et al (2016); Sittironnarit et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2020a); Liao et al. (2020); Ganapathy et al. (2020a); Young et al. (2017); Koyama et al. (2017); Kim et al. (2017); Jeyagurunathan et al. (2017); Wong and Zelman (2020); Saffari et al. (2018); Liu et al. (2017); Khusaifan and El Keshky, (2017); Goren et al. (2016)
European	Joling et al. (2017); Oliveira et al. (2018); Zwingmann et al., (2019); Malak et al. (2016); Häikiö et al. (2020); Kerpershoek et al. (2018); Häusler et al. (2016); Clare et al. (2019); Tulek et al. (2020); Ruiz-Fernandez et al. (2019); Hvidsten et al. (2019); Millenaar et al. (2016); Välimäki et al. (2016); Parrotta et al. (2020); Konerding et al. (2018); Romero-Moreno et al. (2017); Rodríguez-Pérez et al. (2017); Borsje et al., (2016)
American	Polenick et al. (2019); Sutter et al. (2016); von Känel et al. (2019); Weisman de Mamani et al. (2018); Carletti Pessotti et al. (2018); Moon et al. (2017); Corey et al. (2020); Regier and Gitlin (2018); Aravena et al. (2018); Nogueira et al. (2017); Silva Leite et al. (2017b); Laks et al. (2016).
Multi-country Two eight- countries studies	Five multi-country studies Kerpershoek et al. (<u>2018</u>); Parrotta et al., (<u>2020</u>)
Two three- countries studies One two-countries study	Clare et al., (<u>2019</u>); Konerding et al. (<u>2018</u>) Joling et al. (<u>2017</u>)

were concerned with burden, psychological distress, sleep, and any negative effects of health on the physical, mental, and social aspects of quality of life.

Characteristics of the Study

The majority of the studies came from Asian countries which was 21 studies, followed by 18 studies from Europe and 12 studies from America (<u>Table 1</u>). There were five multi-country studies, including two eight-countries studies, two three-countries studies, and one two-countries study. Additionally, one review article did not include the characteristics of the study. The detailed summary of the studies can be seen in Supplementary file 3.

Analytical Findings

FCG's Problems

A total of eighteen articles consistently demonstrated a general decline in health-related quality of life. There were 15 specific problems that related to the quality of life (<u>Table 1</u>). The majority of FCGs problems were mental well-being problems, sleep,

Table	2	FCG's	problems	

Table 2 FCG's problems	
Problem	Source
Mental well-being	
Mental health problems: psychological distress, psychological problems	Borsje et al. (2016) ; Goren et al. (2016); Laks et al. (2016) ; Malak et al. (2016); Millenaar et al. (2016) ; Sutter et al. (2016) ; Välimäki et al. (2016) ; Yu et al. (2016) ; Jeyagurunathan et al. (2017); Khusaifan and El Keshky (2017); Kim et al. (2017) ; Koyama et al. (2017) ; Liu et al. (2017) ; Rodríguez-Pérez et al. (2017) ; Romero-Moreno et al. (2017) ; Aravena et al. (2018) ; Carletti Pessotti et al. (2018) ; Regier and Gitlin (2018) ; Saffari et al. (2018) ; Chan et al. (2019) ; Hvidsten et al. (2019); Morimoto et al. (2019) ; Corey et al. (2020) ; Parrotta et al. (2020); Wong and Zelman (2020) ;
Burden/overburden	Sutter et al. (2016); Tay et al. (2016); Yu et al. (2016); Khusaifan and El Keshky (2017); Kim et al. (2017); Young et al. (2017) Liu et al. (2017); Silva Leite et al. (2017b); Young et al. (2017); Aravena et al. (2018); Regier and Gitlin (2018); Saffari et al. (2018); Wong and Zelman (2020); Hvidsten et al. (2019); Häikiö et al. (2020); Liao et al. (2020); Sittironnarit et al. (2020); Tulek et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2020a)
Life satisfaction	Rodríguez-Pérez et al. (<u>2017</u>);
Well being	Romero-Moreno et al. (<u>2017</u>) Konerding et al. (<u>2018</u>); Honda et al. (<u>2017</u>); Polenick et al. (<u>2019</u>)
Living well Health perception Low self-competence for taking care of PwD	Clare et al. (2019) Aravena et al. (2018) Millenaar et al. (2016)
Daily living Sleep problems (Insomnia/sleepiness/quality of sleep) Environmental problems Social problems	Goren et al. (<u>2016</u>); Laks et al. (<u>2016</u>); Honda et al. (<u>2017</u>); Liu et al. (<u>2017</u>); Corey et al. (<u>2020</u>) Rodríguez-Pérez et al. (<u>2017</u>) Chang et al. (<u>2016</u>); Konerding et al. (<u>2018</u>);Weisman de Mamani et al.
Physical problems (pain)	(<u>2018</u>); Goren et al. (<u>2016</u>); Laks et al. (<u>2016</u>); Kim et al. (<u>2017</u>);Konerding et al. (<u>2018</u>); Wang et al. (<u>2020a</u>)
Sexual problems Religious	Nogueira et al. (<u>2017)</u> Carletti Pessotti et al. (<u>2018</u>)
Comorbidity	
Hypertension Diabetes	Laks et al. (<u>2016</u>)
Overall quality of life	
Overall low health related- Quality of Life	Häusler et al. (2016); Millenaar et al. (2016); Tay et al. (2016); Välimäki et al. (2016); Jeyagurunathan et al. (2017); Kim et al. (2017); Moon et al. (2017); Kim et al. (2017); Moon et al. (2017); Oliveira et al. (2018); Saffari et al. (2018); Weisman de Mamani et al. (2018); Hvidsten et al. (2019); Ruiz-Fernandez et al. (2019); von Känel et al. (2019); Ganapathy et al. (2020); Häikiö et al. (2020);Liao et al. (2020); Tulek et al. (2020); Wang et al. (2020b)
Quality of Family Life	Kimura et al. (<u>2019</u>)

Table 3 Description of characteristics of patients with DM (n=300)

I acto	ors related to FCG's problems	Sources	Factors related to FCG's problems	Sources
PwD	Type of dementia		Resilience (positive	Sutter et al. (2016); Carlett
factors			factor)	Pessotti et al. (2018)
	YOD/EOD	Millenaar et al. (<u>2016</u>); Wang	Sense of coherence	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>)
		et al. (<u>2020a</u>)	Expressed emotion	Weisman de Mamani et al.
	Type of dementia	Hvidsten et al. (<u>2019</u>) (FCG's QoL YAD worse than FTD)	·	(<u>2018</u>); Wong and Zelman (<u>2020</u>)
Severity of dementia Severity			Burden	Hvidsten et al. (2019); Liao
	Severity	Välimäki et al. (<u>2016</u>); Aravena et al. (<u>2018</u>);	Depressive symptom	et al. (<u>2020</u>) Hvidsten et al. (<u>2019</u>)
		Carletti Pessotti et al. (2018); Ruiz-Fernandez et al.	Care activity factors	(<u>2017</u>)
		(<u>2019</u>); von Känel et al.	Management skills	Aravena et al. (<u>2018</u>)
		(2019)	Care hours	Silva Leite et al. (2017a);
	Frequency	Borsje et al. (<u>2016</u>); Honda		Oliveira et al. (<mark>2018</mark>);
	neuropsychiatric	et al. (<u>2017</u>);Koyama et al.		Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>);
	symptom/behavioral	(<u>2017</u>); Aravena et al. (<u>2018</u>);		von Känel et al. (<u>2019</u>);
	symptoms	Carletti Pessotti et al.		Parrotta et al. (<u>2020</u>); Won
		(2018); Regier and Gitlin		and Zelman (<u>2020</u>)
		(<u>2018</u>); Saffari et al.	Ability to carry out	Ganapathy et al. (<u>2020</u>)
		(2018);Ruiz-Fernandez et al.	ADL	K: (2010)
		(2019); Liao et al. (2020) ;	Adaptability	Kimura et al. (<u>2019</u>)
		Parrotta et al. (<u>2020</u>); Wong	Having a limited space	Tulek et al. (<u>2020</u>)
		and Zelman (<u>2020</u>)	Caregiver pain	Polenick et al. (2019)
	Impairment of older		Caregiving competence	Kimura et al. (<u>2019</u>)
	adults			
	Cognitive impairment	Nogueira et al. (<u>2017</u>); Tulek et al. (<u>2020</u>)	Care activity knowledge	
	PwD physical	Ruiz-Fernandez et al. (2019);	Experience caregiving	Clare et al. (<u>2019</u>)
	condition	von Känel et al. (<u>2019</u>)	Health literacy	Häikiö et al. (<u>2020</u>)
	Lower functional	Liu et al. (<u>2017</u>)	Level of needs	Kerpershoek et al. (2018)
	status		Satisfaction towards	Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>)
			psychiatric care	
	Medication	T (2020)		
	Number of	Tulek et al. (<u>2020</u>)	Support	
	medications		Family	Clare et al. (<u>2019</u>); Ruiz-
FCG's factor	Individual factor			Fernandez et al. (<u>2019</u>); Ganapathy et al. (<u>2020</u>); Lia
	Gender	Negucine et al. (2017):	Relatives	et al. (<u>2020</u>) Wong and Zelman (<u>2020</u>)
	Female	Nogueira et al. (<u>2017</u>); Borsje et al. (<u>2016</u>);	Informal social	Khusaifan and El Keshky
	Temale	Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>)	support	(<u>2017</u>)
	Male	Oliveira et al. (2018) ;	support	Morimoto et al. (<u>2019</u>)
	T laic	Hvidsten et al. (2019)	Formal social support	(<u>1017</u>)
	Age	Borsje et al. (<u>2016</u>); Oliveira	Religious	Wong and Zelman (<u>2020</u>)
	50-70 years	et al. (<u>2018</u>); Tulek et al.	0	6 1 1 1 1
		(2020)	Social economy	
			Education (positive)	Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>)
	Ethnic	Ganapathy et al. (<u>2020</u>)	Job (positive)	Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>)
		(Chinese)	Working hours	Wang et al. (<u>2020b</u>)
			Financial problems	Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>)
	Health condition		Taking care of another	Sittironnarit et al. (<u>2020</u>)
	Medical	von Känel et al. (<u>2019</u>); Liao	dependent	
	comorbid/chronic disease	et al. (<u>2020</u>); Tulek et al.	Stigma	Chang et al. (<u>2016</u>)
	Physical	(<u>2020)</u> Clare et al. (<u>2019</u>); von		
	function/health	Känel et al. (2019)	physical, sexual and religious.	Overall low health-rela
	Psychological health	Clare et al. (<u>2019</u>)	to quality of life was reported	ed by 18 studies and o
	Somatic well-being	Aravena et al. (<u>2018</u>)	study reported quality of fam	
			study reported quality of fam	
	Personality/traits	D M I	The highest problem wa	s mental health proble
	Personal values	Romero-Moreno et al.		
	Porcopality traits	(<u>2017</u>) Kim et al. (2017): Marimete	followed by burden/overbur	den. Inree studies for
	Personality traits	Kim et al. (<u>2017</u>); Morimoto et al. (<u>2019</u>)	FCG's well-being, and two stu	dies found life satisfact
			were degraded. Living well, h	
	Optimism			
	Optimism	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>)		
	Personal strategies	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>)	self-competence for taking ca	
	Personal strategies Coping (positive	Sutter et al. (<u>2016)</u> Häusler et al. (<u>2016</u>); Joling		
	Personal strategies	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>) Häusler et al. (<u>2016</u>); Joling et al. (<u>2017</u>); Rodríguez-	self-competence for taking control one study for each category.	are of PwD were found
	Personal strategies Coping (positive	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>) Häusler et al. (<u>2016</u>); Joling et al. (<u>2017</u>); Rodríguez- Pérez et al. (<u>2017</u>); Corey et	self-competence for taking ca	are of PwD were found
	Personal strategies Coping (positive	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>) Häusler et al. (<u>2016</u>); Joling et al. (<u>2017</u>); Rodríguez-	self-competence for taking controls one study for each category. Daily living problems in	are of PwD were found
	Personal strategies Coping (positive	Sutter et al. (<u>2016</u>) Häusler et al. (<u>2016</u>); Joling et al. (<u>2017</u>); Rodríguez- Pérez et al. (<u>2017</u>); Corey et	self-competence for taking control one study for each category.	are of PwD were found ncluded sleep problem sical (pain), sexual, a

sleep problems, and physical. Meanwhile comorbidities included hypertension and diabetes. However, the comorbidities were not clear because the studies did not identify whether FCGs got the comorbidity during taking care or before.

According to Figure 2, the major problems of FCG's are mental well-being, followed by daily living problems, including sleep, physical, sexual, and religious. All those problems would affect health related to quality of life. Mental health problems are psychological distress and psychological problems. Furthermore, the problems increased to be burden/overburden. Those situations impact FCG's daily living and health related to quality of life.

Factors Related to FCG's QoL

Factors related to FCG's quality of life involved two main factors, including PwD's and FCG's condition. PwD's condition included type of dementia, severity and impairments. On the other hand, FCG's factors are individual factors, health status, personality trait, personal strategy, care activity, support, and social economy.

FCG's Relationship

Nine studies found that the FCGs relationship was significant to their quality of life. On the contrary, six studies found that it was not significant (Liu et al., 2017, Regier and Gitlin, 2018, Hvidsten et al., 2019, Ruiz-Fernandez et al., 2019, Liao et al., 2020, Polenick et al., 2019, Chan et al., 2019). The majority of the studies did not identify and did not measure it, three studies focused on the spouse (Nogueira et al., 2017, von Känel et al., 2019, Häusler et al., 2016) and one focused on the child as caregiver (Wang et al., 2020b). Borsje et al. (2016) found being spouse has higher psychological distress than child. This was supported by Jeyagurunathan et al. (2017) and Clare et al. (2019) who found caring for spouse was significantly associated with lower psychological domain quality of life. A study from Turkey found spouse caregiver had higher burden and lower physical composite than non-spouse (Tulek et al., 2020). Otherwise, spouse caregiver with higher income had significantly impacted higher quality of life (Moon et al., 2017). Millenaar et al. (2016) found spouse YOD had significantly more impact than LOD.

PwD's Factors

Type of dementia

Type of dementia included age of PwD who was diagnosed with dementia (Millenaar et al., <u>2016</u>); (Wang et al., <u>2020a</u>) and the type of dementia (Hvidsten et al., <u>2019</u>).

Severity

The majority of the studies found that severity (Välimäki et al., 2016, Carletti Pessotti et al., 2018, von Känel et al., 2019, Ruiz-Fernandez et al., 2019, Aravena et al., 2018) and frequency neuropsychiatric/behavioral symptoms have significant impact to FCG's quality of life (Borsje et al., 2016, Parrotta et al., 2020, Wong and Zelman, 2020, Koyama et al., 2017, Carletti Pessotti et al., 2018, Ruiz-Fernandez et al., 2019, Liao et al., 2020, Honda et al., 2017, Aravena et al., 2018, Regier and Gitlin, 2018, Saffari et al., 2018).

Impairments

Several studies found that impairment of the older adults had a significant influence to FCG's quality of life. The impairments were cognitive impairment (Nogueira et al., <u>2017</u>, Tulek et al., <u>2020</u>), PwD physical condition (von Känel et al., <u>2019</u>, Ruiz-Fernandez et al., <u>2019</u>), and lower functional status (Liu et al., <u>2017</u>).

FCG's Factors

Individual

Individual factors were unmodifiable factors, including gender (Nogueira et al., 2017, Borsje et al., 2016, Sittironnarit et al., 2020, Oliveira et al., 2018, Hvidsten et al., 2019), age (Koyama et al., 2017, Tulek et al., 2020, Oliveira et al., 2018, Borsje et al., 2016), ethnicity (Ganapathy et al., 2020) (Chinese), and relationship status.

Health Condition

Health conditions included medical comorbid/chronic disease (von Känel et al., 2019, Liao et al., 2020, Tulek et al., 2020), physical function/health (von Känel et al., 2019, Clare et al., 2019), psychological health (burden and depressive symptoms) (Clare et al., 2019) and somatic well-being (Aravena et al., 2018) which were the health conditions of FCG's that influenced quality of life.

Personal

Personality of FCGs had significant impact to quality of life. Personality factors included personal values (Romero-Moreno et al., 2017), personality traits (Kim et al., 2017, Morimoto et al., 2019), and optimism (Sutter et al., 2016).

Personal Strategies

Personal strategies when facing the problem included coping (Rodríguez-Pérez et al., 2017, Corey et al., 2020, Häusler et al., 2016, Joling et al., 2017), sense of coherence (Carletti Pessotti et al., 2018, Sutter et al., 2016), resilience (Sutter et al., 2016) and expressed emotions (Wong and Zelman, 2020, Weisman de Mamani et al., 2018). However, their strategy might become negative if FCGs felt burdened (Hvidsten et al., 2019, Liao et al., 2020) and had depressive symptoms (Hvidsten et al., 2019).

Care Factors

Care factors were divided into two, including care activity and care knowledge. Care activity factors included management skills (Aravena et al., 2018), care hours (Silva Leite et al., 2017a, Parrotta et al., 2020, Wong and Zelman, 2020, von Känel et al., 2019, Sittironnarit et al., 2020, Oliveira et al., 2018), ability to carry out ADL (Ganapathy et al., 2020), adaptability (Kimura et al., 2019), having a limited space (Tulek et al., 2020), caregiver gain (Polenick et al., 2019), and enjoying spending time (Kimura et al., 2019). While, care knowledge is related to experience in caregiving (Clare et al., 2019), health literacy (Häikiö et al., 2020), level of needs (Kerpershoek et al., 2018), and satisfaction toward psychiatric care (Sittironnarit et al., 2020).

Support

Eight studies found that support can help FCG's struggle from suffering from their condition. Support includes social support (Ruiz-Fernandez et al., 2019, Ganapathy et al., 2020, Liao et al., 2020, Clare et al., 2019), family and relatives (Wong and Zelman, 2020), informal social support (Khusaifan and El Keshky, 2017), and formal social support (Morimoto et al., 2019). Additionally, religious found FCG's positive belief of their situation (Wong and Zelman, 2020)

Social Economy

Social economic situation was found in three studies. It included education (positive) (Sittironnarit et al., 2020), job (positive) (Sittironnarit et al., 2020), working hours (Wang et al., 2020b), and financial problems (Sittironnarit et al., 2020). Those are related to each other. Good education and flexible job working hours would help FCGs solve financial problems. Otherwise, low education and unemployment would bring negative impact. Additionally, stigma from environment has negative impact also (Chang et al., 2016).

Discussions

Quality of life exploration is important to human health and life. This study is the first systematic review that has explored FCGs' problems and the factors related to them. This systematic review found complex factors became FCGs' QoL problems, including mental well-being problems, sleep, environment, social, physical, sexual, and religious. Those conditions impact health-related QoL and quality of family life. Those problems could not be separated from the factors related to them. Generally, factors were divided into PwD's and FCG's factors. A PwD's condition has a huge impact to their FCG's QoL. The impact is not only limited to FCG, but also quality of family life (Steenfeldt et al., <u>2021</u>).

The biggest problem for FCGs was mental health. Mental health problems become serious problems if they are not resolved as soon as possible. Unmodifiable factors, specifically PwD's severity, was the biggest problem. Otherwise, this study considered the modifiable factors, including support, social economy, and care activity, would give significant positive impact to mental health. Further longitudinal study might be needed to investigate over a long time period.

Meanwhile, burden as the second problem might appear, but it is not limited to knowledge, family or relatives' pressure, and financial situations. All of those situations if combined with negative coping would lead to mental health problems because FCGs could not solve them in appropriate ways. Additionally, this study considered social stigma was a slightly heavy factor that FCGs face from the life environment. The previous studies in Asian countries found social stigma has significant impact to FCGs because it is related to the culture and religion (Chang et al., 2016). A systematic review study (Nguyen and Li, 2020) found that individuals diagnosed with dementia, as well as their familial carers, have reported experiencing adverse perceptions, prejudiced attitudes, and discriminatory behaviors from both the general public and healthcare practitioners. Participants in the study exhibited selfperceptions characterized by negative effects and displayed a propensity to postpone seeking assistance. The self-stigma experienced by individuals with dementia and their family is mostly influenced by psychological issues, rather than sociodemographic factors.

Based on all those findings, this study assumed all the problems could not be separated, and the outcome was low health-related to quality of life. Three studies found that FCGs with comorbidities or chronic disease (von Känel et al., 2019, Liao et al., 2020, Tulek et al., 2020) had poor QoL, but it is not clear, when the FCGs started to suffer from their comorbidity. A further study related to FCGs' comorbidity is highly recommended. Mental, sleep, and physical problems would lead to disease (Kabaya et al., 2023).

FCGs' relationships are not really clear relationships with their problems. Local culture could influence this situation, because some studies found it was a significant factor, but some studies deny it (Liu et al., 2017, Regier and Gitlin, 2018, Hvidsten et al., 2019, Ruiz-Fernandez et al., 2019, Liao et al., 2020, Polenick et al., 2019, Chan et al., 2019). A meta-analysis study revealed that those who had a spouse had better QoL. A limited number of the studies, 12 of 50 studies, have limitations. Further study needs to explore FCGs relationship and culture. Interestingly, religion and religious problems have become a problem and factor. This shows different perspective of FCGs would lead to different results. This problem cannot be separated into personality and personal strategies. Instead, it is important to acknowledge that religious beliefs and practices can greatly influence individuals' attitudes and behaviors (Teahan et al., 2018). Therefore, it is crucial to consider the role of religion in addressing and finding solutions to these problems. By recognizing the impact of religious beliefs, more inclusive and comprehensive strategies can be developed that take into account diverse religious perspectives and promote understanding and cooperation among individuals of different faiths.

Personality and personal strategies have an important role in FCG's problems. Those factors would lead to a positive or negative impact on QoL. Positive values will give a positive coping to solve their problems (Rodríguez-Pérez et al., 2017, Corey et al., 2020, Häusler et al., 2016, Joling et al., 2017). Otherwise, negative values will give maladaptive coping which in the long term might become QoL problems (Teahan et al., 2018). For example, in the context of developing inclusive strategies in a diverse religious community, a detailed example could be creating interfaith dialogue sessions where individuals from different faith backgrounds come together to share their perspectives and engage in respectful discussions (Chan, 2022). These sessions can help foster understanding, promote cooperation, and ultimately contribute to a higher quality of life for all individuals involved. This study encourages further study to explore these factors to increase FCGs' QoL.

This study found that there are several positive factors that would have a positive impact on QoL. Optimism (personality/traits) (Sutter et al., 2016), coping (personal strategies) (Corey et al., 2020, Joling et al., 2017, Rodriguez-Perez et al., 2017), resilience (personal strategies) (Carletti Pessotti et al., 2018, Sutter et al., 2016), support, education (social economy) (Sittironnarit et al., 2020), and job (social economy) (Sittironnarit et al., 2020). These factors were all found to significantly contribute to an individual's overall quality of life. This study revealed that individuals with an optimistic outlook, effective coping mechanisms, and resilience were likelier to experience a higher quality of life (Teahan et al., 2018). Moreover, having a solid support system, access to education, and a stable job were also identified as crucial factors that positively influenced one's quality of life (Farina et al., 2017).

Findings from this study can be used to prepare the appropriate interventions to maintain FCGs' QoL.

Positive determinants become the concern of the intervention. Specifically, community nurses or public health nurses could prepare FCGs on how to face the dementia caring situation. They can provide education and support to enhance the FCGs' knowledge and skills in caregiving, as well as offer resources and referrals for additional assistance. Additionally, the intervention could involve creating a support network for FCGs, such as support groups or online forums, where they can connect with others who are in similar caregiving roles. By addressing the positive determinants identified in the study, the intervention can help improve the overall quality of life for FCGs and ensure they have the necessary support to continue providing care for their loved ones.

Policies related to FCGs should be wellprepared. By providing FCGs with knowledge and skills through structured training, they can better understand and accept their condition. This will enable FCGs to effectively navigate the challenges they face and improve their overall quality of life. Additionally, policies that are specifically tailored to address the unique needs of FCGs should be implemented to ensure they receive the necessary support and resources to adequately care for their loved ones. This holistic approach, combining professional healthcare and policy interventions, will contribute to maintaining FCGs' quality of life and well-being.

The scope of this review had several limitations. First, the majority of the investigations included in the current evaluation were carried out in Western nations. It is crucial to look at how cultural and religious differences affect carers and HRQoL. Family carers should receive support that is culturally sensitive since different racial and ethnic groups of caregivers may react to assistance differently or require varied forms of assistance. Second, this study searched literature from 2016, and earlier studies might have different problems that this study did not explore.

Conclusion

Mental well-being has become a big problem of carers. It is impacted by their PwD's condition, and specifically severity of dementia. Personal values, personality traits, and optimism would help carers to choose positive strategies for taking care of their PwD. In addition, formal or informal support would provide positive impact for carers. Findings of this study will help further study to employ the intervention of the

Aungsuroch, Juanamasta, Elsherbiny, and Kabaya (2024)

modifiable factors, such as care factors and supports. Social stigma that is related to culture might need national health education of dementia. Education of carers in how to care for dementia relatives is related to country policy.

References

- Algase, D. L., et al. (2007). Mapping the maze of terms and definitions in dementia-related wandering. Aging Ment Health, 11, 686-98.10.1080/13607860701366434
- Algase, D. L., et al. (2004). The interrelatedness of wandering and wayfinding in a community sample of persons with dementia. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord, 17, 231-9.10.1159/000076361
- Alilyyani, B., et al. (2018). Antecedents, mediators, and outcomes of authentic leadership in healthcare: A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 83, 34-64.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.04.001
- Alzheimer's Association (2017). 2017 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. Alzheimer's & Dementia, 13, 325-373.10.1016/j.jalz.2017.02.001
- Aravena, J. M., et al. (2018). Measuring change in perceived well-being of family caregivers: validation of the Spanish version of the Perceived Change Index (PCI-S) in Chilean dementia caregivers. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 33, e120-e130.10.1002/gps.4734
- Borsje, P., et al. (2016). Psychological distress in informal caregivers of patients with dementia in primary care: course and determinants. Family Practice, 33, 374-381.10.1093/fampra/cmw009
- Carletti Pessotti, C. F., et al. (2018). Family caregivers of elderly with dementia: Relationship between religiosity, resilience, quality of life and burden. Dementia & Neuropsychologia, 12, 408-414.10.1590/1980-57642018dn12-040011
- Chan, E. Y., et al. (2019). Development of a Brief Caregiver-centric Screening Tool to Identify Risk of Depression among Caregivers of Hospitalized Older Adults. Journal of Nutrition Health & Aging, 23, 578-585.10.1007/s12603-019-1197-7
- Chan, L.-P. C. (2022). Theoretical framework of spiritual sensitivity for end-of-life care in Hong Kong: multireligious context. In: Gerontology, H. K. a. O., ed. 28th Annual Congress of Gerontology Ageing in a Smart Society, Hongkong. Asian Journal of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 39-44.
- Chang, C. C., et al. (2016). Using the Affiliate Stigma Scale with caregivers of people with dementia: psychometric evaluation. Alzheimers Res Ther, 8, 45.10.1186/s13195-016-0213-y
- Chaobankrang, C., et al. (2019). Predictors of Depression among Thai Family Caregivers of Dementia Patients in Primary Care. Int J Gerontol Geriatr Res, 3, 7
- Cipriani, G., et al. (2014). Wandering and dementia. Psychogeriatrics, 14, 135-42.10.1111/psyg.12044
- Clare, L., et al. (2019). A Comprehensive Model of Factors Associated With Capability to "Live Well" for Family Caregivers of People Living With Mild-to-Moderate Dementia Findings From the IDEAL Study. Alzheimer Disease & Associated Disorders, 33, 29-35.10.1097/wad.00000000000285
- Corey, K. L., et al. (2020). Predictors of psychological distress and sleep quality in former family caregivers of people with dementia. Aging Ment Health, 24, 233-241.10.1080/13607863.2018.1531375
- Farina, N., et al. (2017). Factors associated with the quality of life of family carers of people with dementia: A systematic review. Alzheimers Dement, 13, 572-581.10.1016/j.jalz.2016.12.010
- Ganapathy, S. S., et al. (2020). Prevalence of dementia and quality of life of caregivers of people living with dementia in Malaysia. Geriatrics & Gerontology International, 20, 16-20.10.1111/ggi.14031
- Goren, A., et al. (2016). Impact of caring for persons with Alzheimer's disease or dementia on caregivers' health outcomes: findings from a community based survey in Japan. BMC Geriatr, 16, 122.10.1186/s12877-016-0298-y
- Häikiö, K., et al. (2020). Is health literacy of family carers associated with carer burden, quality of life, and time spent on informal care for older persons living with dementia? PLoS One, 15, e0241982.10.1371/journal.pone.0241982

- Häusler, A., et al. (2016). Perceived stress and quality of life in dementia patients and their caregiving spouses: does dyadic coping matter? International Psychogeriatrics, 28, 1857-1866.10.1017/S1041610216001046
- Hinchcliff, R., et al. (2012). Narrative synthesis of health service accreditation literature. BMJ Qual Saf, 21, 979-91.10.1136/bmjqs-2012-000852
- Honda, A., et al. (2017). The Mediating Role of Sleep Quality on Well-Being Among Japanese Working Family Caregivers. Home Health Care Management and Practice, 29, 139-147.10.1177/1084822317692320
- Hvidsten, L., et al. (2019). Quality of life of family carers of persons with young-onset dementia: A Nordic two-year observational multicenter study. PLoS One, 14, e0219859.10.1371/journal.pone.0219859
- Japan Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare. (2015). Comprehensive Strategy for Promotion of Dementia Measures (New Orange Plan) [Online]. Available: <u>https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-12300000-Roukenkyoku/kaitei_orangeplan.pdf</u> [Accessed May 6 2021].
- Jeyagurunathan, A., et al. (2017). Psychological status and quality of life among primary caregivers of individuals with mental illness: a hospital based study. Health Qual Life Outcomes, 15, 106.10.1186/s12955-017-0676-y
- Joling, K. J., et al. (2017). What are the essential features of resilience for informal caregivers of people living with dementia? A Delphi consensus examination. Aging Ment Health, 21, 509-517.10.1080/13607863.2015.1124836
- Kabaya, S., et al. (2023). Factors related to sleep in family caregivers of people with dementia: A systematic review. Jurnal Keperawatan Padjadjaran, 11, 214-222.10.24198/jkp.v11i3.2356
- Kerpershoek, L., et al. (2018). Needs and quality of life of people with middle-stage dementia and their family carers from the European Actificare study. When informal care alone may not suffice. Aging & Mental Health, 22, 897-902.10.1080/13607863.2017.1390732
- Keyko, K., et al. (2016). Work engagement in professional nursing practice: A systematic review. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 61, 142-164.<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2016.06.003</u>
- Khusaifan, S. J. & El Keshky, M. E. (2017). Social support as a mediator variable of the relationship between depression and life satisfaction in a sample of Saudi caregivers of patients with Alzheimer's disease. Int Psychogeriatr, 29, 239-248.10.1017/S1041610216001824
- Kim, S. K., et al. (2017). Influence of personality on depression, burden, and health-related quality of life in family caregivers of persons with dementia. International Psychogeriatrics, 29, 227-237.10.1017/S1041610216001770
- Kimura, H., et al. (2019). The role of caregiver burden in the familial functioning, social support, and quality of family life of family caregivers of elders with dementia. J Rural Med, 14, 156-164.10.2185/jrm.2999
- Knodel, J. & Nguyen, M. D. (2015). Grandparents and grandchildren: care and support in Myanmar, Thailand and Vietnam. Ageing & Society, 35, 1960-1988.10.1017/S0144686X14000786
- Konerding, U., et al. (2018). Investigating burden of informal caregivers in England, Finland and Greece: an analysis with the short form of the Burden Scale for Family Caregivers (BSFC-s). Aging Ment Health, 22, 280-287.10.1080/13607863.2016.1239064
- Koyama, A., et al. (2017). Mental health among younger and older caregivers of dementia patients. Psychogeriatrics, 17, 108-114.10.1111/psyg.12200
- Laks, J., et al. (2016). Caregiving for patients with Alzheimer's disease or dementia and its association with psychiatric and clinical comorbidities and other health outcomes in Brazil. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 31, 176-85.10.1002/gps.4309
- Leggett, A. N., et al. (2018). Falls and Hospitalizations Among Persons With Dementia and Associated Caregiver Emotional Difficulties. Gerontologist, 58, e78-e86.10.1093/geront/gnx202
- Liao, X., et al. (2020). Factors associated with health-related quality of life among family caregivers of people with Alzheimer's disease. Psychogeriatrics, 20, 398-405.10.1111/psyg.12528

- Liu, S., et al. (2017). Caregiver burden and prevalence of depression, anxiety and sleep disturbances in Alzheimer's disease caregivers in China. J Clin Nurs, 26, 1291-1300.10.1111/jocn.13601
- Malak, R. E., et al. (2016). Condition of informal caregivers in long-term care of people with dementia. Ann Agric Environ Med, 23, 491-4.10.5604/12321966.1219193
- Matsushita, M., et al. (2016). Cross-cultural study of caregiver burden for Alzheimer's disease in Japan and Taiwan: result from Dementia Research in Kumamoto and Tainan (DeReKaT). International psychogeriatrics, 28, 1125-1132.10.1017/S104161021600003X
- Millenaar, J. K., et al. (2016). The impact of young onset dementia on informal caregivers compared with late onset dementia: Results from the NeedYD study. The American Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 24, 467-474.10.1016/j.jagp.2015.07.005
- Moon, H., et al. (2017). Quality of life for dementia caregiving dyads: Effects of incongruent perceptions of everyday care and values. The Gerontologist, 57, 657-666.10.1093/geront/gnw055
- Morimoto, H., et al. (2019). Stress-buffering Effect of Coping Strategies on Interrole Conflict among Family Caregivers of People with Dementia. Clinical Gerontologist, 42, 34-46.10.1080/07317115.2017.1368764
- Nguyen, T. & Li, X. (2020). Understanding public-stigma and selfstigma in the context of dementia: A systematic review of the global literature. Dementia (London), 19, 148-181.10.1177/1471301218800122
- Nogueira, M. M., et al. (2017). Perception of change in sexual activity in Alzheimer's disease: views of people with dementia and their spouse-caregivers. Int Psychogeriatr, 29, 185-193.10.1017/S1041610216001642
- Nuryani, S. N. A., et al. (2022). Development of blended learning model integrated nursing leadership training using web-based in Indonesia. J Educ Health Promot, 11, 222.10.4103/jehp.jehp_1170_21
- Oliveira, D. C., et al. (2018). The development and validation of the Dementia Quality of Life Scale for Older Family Carers (DQoL-OC). Aging & Mental Health, 22, 709-716.10.1080/13607863.2017.1293004
- Pai, M. C. & Lee, C. C. (2016). The Incidence and Recurrence of Getting Lost in Community-Dwelling People with Alzheimer's Disease: A Two and a Half-Year Follow-Up. PLoS One, 11, e0155480.10.1371/journal.pone.0155480
- Parrotta, I., et al. (2020). Depression in People With Dementia and Caregiver Outcomes: Results From the European Right Time Place Care Study. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 21, 872-878.10.1016/j.jamda.2020.02.023
- Polenick, C. A., et al. (2019). Purpose in Life Among Family Care Partners Managing Dementia: Links to Caregiving Gains. Gerontologist, 59, e424-e432.10.1093/geront/gny063
- Regier, N. G. & Gitlin, L. N. (2018). Dementia-related restlessness: relationship to characteristics of persons with dementia and family caregivers. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 33, 185-192.10.1002/gps.4705
- Rodriguez-Perez, M., et al. (2017). Coping strategies and quality of life in caregivers of dependent elderly relatives. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 15.10.1186/s12955-017-0634-8
- Rodríguez-Pérez, M., et al. (2017). Coping strategies and quality of life in caregivers of dependent elderly relatives. Health and quality of life outcomes, 15, 71.10.1186/s12955-017-0634-8
- Romero-Moreno, R., et al. (2017). Psychometric properties of the Valued Living Questionnaire Adapted to Dementia Caregiving. Aging & Mental Health, 21, 983-990.10.1080/13607863.2016.1191055
- Rowe, M. A. & Bennett, V. (2003). A look at deaths occurring in persons with dementia lost in the community. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen, 18, 343-8.10.1177/153331750301800612
- Ruiz-Fernandez, M. D., et al. (2019). Predictor Factors of Perceived Health in Family Caregivers of People Diagnosed with Mild or Moderate Alzheimer's Disease. International Journal of Environmental Research & Public Health [Electronic Resource], 16, 07.10.3390/ijerph16193762
- Saffari, M., et al. (2018). Mediating effect of spiritual coping strategies and family stigma stress on caregiving burden and mental health in caregivers of persons with dementia. Dementia (London), 1471301218798082.10.1177/1471301218798082

- Shikimoto, R., et al. (2018). Predictive factors associated with psychological distress of caregivers of people with dementia in Japan: a cross-sectional study. International psychogeriatrics, 30, 1089-1098.10.1017/S1041610217002289
- Silva Leite, B., et al. (2017a). Vulnerability of caregivers for the elderly with dementia: integrative review. Revista de Pesquisa: Cuidado e Fundamental, 9, 888-892.10.9789/2175-5361.2017.v9i3.888-892
- Silva Leite, B., et al. (2017b). Vulnerability of caregivers of the elderly with dementia: a cross-sectional descriptive study. Revista Brasileira de Enfermagem, 70, 682-688.10.1590/0034-7167-2016-0579
- Sittironnarit, G., et al. (2020). Quality of life and subjective burden of primary dementia caregivers in Bangkok, Thailand. Asian journal of psychiatry, 48, 101913.10.1016/j.ajp.2019.101913
- Steenfeldt, V. O., et al. (2021). Becoming a Family Caregiver to a Person With Dementia: A Literature Review on the Needs of Family Caregivers. SAGE Open Nurs, 7, 23779608211029073.10.1177/23779608211029073
- Sutter, M., et al. (2016). Beyond Strain: Personal Strengths and Mental Health of Mexican and Argentinean Dementia Caregivers. J Transcult Nurs, 27, 376-84.10.1177/1043659615573081
- Tamdee, D., et al. (2019). Conditions of caring for the elderly and family caregiver stress in Chiang Mai, Thailand. Journal of Health Research. 10.1108/JHR-07-2018-0053
- Tay, K. C. P., et al. (2016). Structured interviews examining the burden, coping, self-efficacy, and quality of life among family caregivers of persons with dementia in Singapore. Dementia (14713012), 15, 204-220.10.1177/1471301214522047
- Teahan, A., et al. (2018). Resilience in family caregiving for people with dementia: A systematic review. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry, 33, 1582-1595.10.1002/gps.4972
- Tulek, Z., et al. (2020). Caregiver Burden, Quality of Life and Related Factors in Family Caregivers of Dementia Patients in Turkey. Issues Ment Health Nurs, 41, 741-749.10.1080/01612840.2019.1705945
- Välimäki, T. H., et al. (2016). Impact of Alzheimer's disease on the family caregiver's long-term quality of life: results from an ALSOVA follow-up study. Qual Life Res, 25, 687-97.10.1007/s11136-015-1100-x
- Von Känel, R., et al. (2019). Refining caregiver vulnerability for clinical practice: determinants of self-rated health in spousal dementia caregivers. BMC geriatrics, 19, 18.10.1186/s12877-019-1033-2
- Wang, V. W., et al. (2020a). Does health-related quality of life in Asian informal caregivers differ between early-onset dementia and lateonset dementia? Psychogeriatrics, 20, 608-619.10.1111/psyg.12556
- Wang, X. R., et al. (2014). Prevalence of chronic illnesses and characteristics of chronically ill informal caregivers of persons with dementia. Age and Ageing, 43, 137-141.10.1093/ageing/aft142
- Wang, Y. N., et al. (2020b). Job Demands and the Effects on Quality of Life of Employed Family Caregivers of Older Adults With Dementia:
 A Cross-Sectional Study. J Nurs Res, 28, e99.10.1097/jnr.000000000000383
- Weisman De Mamani, A., et al. (2018). Stigma, Expressed Emotion, and Quality of Life in Caregivers of Individuals with Dementia. Fam Process, 57, 694-706.10.1111/famp.12325
- Wong, C. S. C. & Zelman, D. C. (2020). Caregiver expressed emotion as mediator of the relationship between neuropsychiatric symptoms of dementia patients and caregiver mental health in Hong Kong. Aging & Mental Health, 24, 1690-1699.10.1080/13607863.2019.1636200
- Yoro-Zohoun, I., et al. (2020). Severity of Neuropsychiatric Symptoms and Distress in Dementia among Older People in Central Africa (EPIDEMCA Study). Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68, 180-185.10.1111/jgs.16234
- Young, D. K.-W., et al. (2017). 中国人の認知症患者とその介護者に おける健康関連QOLの予測因子 自尊心と介護者の負担の 役割/Predictors of the health-related quality of life of Chinese people with major neurocognitive disorders and their caregivers: The roles of self-esteem and caregiver's burden). Geriatrics & Gerontology International, 17, 2319-2328
- Yu, D. S. F., et al. (2016). Measuring the expressed emotion in Chinese family caregivers of persons with dementia: Validation of a

Aungsuroch, Juanamasta, Elsherbiny, and Kabaya (2024)

Chinese version of the Family Attitude Scale. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 55, 50-59.10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2015.11.005

Zwingmann, I., et al. (2019). Identifying unmet needs of family dementia caregivers: Results of the baseline assessment of a cluster-randomized controlled intervention trial. Journal of Alzheimer's Disease, 67, 527-539.10.3233/JAD-180244

How to cite this article: Aungsuroch, Y., Juanamasta, I. G., Elsherbiny, O. E., and Kabaya, S. (2024) ' Quality of life for adult family caregivers of patients with dementia: A systematic review', *Jurnal* Ners, 19(1), pp. 112-122. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.20473/jn.v19i1.47100