Supplementary File 1

Trapeziectomy and suspension ligamentoplasty for surgical revision of trapeziometacarpal joint arthrodesis failure: twocases



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Case Reports

Revie	Reviewer_Ghifar Akbar Iftinan					
Auth	orG. Merendi has, C. Fulchignoni,Year	2023	_Record	Number	1	
	S. Pietramala, L. Rocchi	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
	Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?		\checkmark			
	Was the patient's history clearly described and presented as a timeline?	\checkmark				
	Was the current clinical condition of the patient on presentation clearly described?	\checkmark				
	Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and the results clearly described?	\checkmark				
	Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) clearly described?	\checkmark				
	Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?	\checkmark				
	Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?		\checkmark			
8.	Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?	\checkmark				
Overall appraisal: Include 🗹 Exclude 🗆 Seek further info 🗆						
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						



First Dorsal Metacarpal Artery-pedicled Second Metacarpal Vascularized Bone Graft for Nonunion after Thumb Carpometacarpal Arthrodesis

THE JOANNA BRIGGS INSTITUTE

JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Case Reports						
Revi	ewer_Ghifar Akbar IftinanDate_	June, 2	21st		2	
	or Shingo Komura, MD, PhD, Akihiro Hirakawa, MD, PhD,			Number	2	
	Hitoshi Hirose, MD, Haruhiko Akiyama, MD, PhD	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable	
1.	Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?		\checkmark			
2.	Was the patient's history clearly described and presenter as a timeline?	d 🗹				
3.	Was the current clinical condition of the patient on presentation clearly described?	\checkmark				
4.	Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and the results clearly described?		\checkmark			
5.	Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) clearl described?	y 🗹				
6.	Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?	\checkmark				
7.	Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?	\checkmark				
8.	Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?	\checkmark				
Overall appraisal: Include 🗹 Exclude 🗆 Seek further info						
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						



Rare presentation of bilateral thumb psoriatic arthritis treated with arthrodesis: a case report



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Case Reports

Reviewer_Ghifar Akbar Iftinan						
	Zachary G. Tanenbaum, Joseph D. Leider,	_Year_	2023	_Record	Number	3
	B. Ehlers, Nicholas A. Apseloff, James A. Ryan Michael W. Kessler	,	Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?	/	\checkmark			
2.	Was the patient's history clearly described and pre as a timeline?	esente	d 🗹			
3.	Was the current clinical condition of the patient of presentation clearly described?	n	\checkmark			
4.	Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and results clearly described?	the	\checkmark			
5.	Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) described?) clearl	y 🗹			
6.	Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?	Ý	\checkmark			
7.	Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?	ents	\checkmark			
8.	Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?		\checkmark			
Overall appraisal: Include 🗹 Exclude 🗆 Seek further info						
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						



Percutaneous Trapeziometacarpal Arthrodesis in Thumb Carpometacarpal Joint Arthritis: A New Surgical Approach



JBI Critical Appraisal Checklist for Case Reports

Reviewer_Ghifar Akbar IftinanDateJune, 21st						
Auth	D. Mifsut-Miedes, J. R. Rodríguez-Collell, A. Valverde-Navarro, E. M. González-Soler	ar_	2023	_Record	Number	4
	A. Valverde-Navarro, E. M. González-Soler		Yes	No	Unclear	Not applicable
1.	Were patient's demographic characteristics clearly described?			\checkmark		
2.	Was the patient's history clearly described and presen as a timeline?	teo	d 🗹			
3.	Was the current clinical condition of the patient on presentation clearly described?		\checkmark			
4.	Were diagnostic tests or assessment methods and the results clearly described?		\checkmark			
5.	Was the intervention(s) or treatment procedure(s) clear described?	arh	y 🗹			
6.	Was the post-intervention clinical condition clearly described?			\checkmark		
7.	Were adverse events (harms) or unanticipated events identified and described?			Ø		
8.	Does the case report provide takeaway lessons?		\checkmark			
Overall appraisal: Include 🗹 Exclude 🗆 Seek further info						
Comments (Including reason for exclusion)						

