

ANALYSIS OF USE, AVAILABILITY OF PERSONAL PROTECTION EQUIPMENT (PPE) AND COVID-19 INFECTIONS CASE ON HEALTH WORKERS : A LITERATURE REVIEW
Kajian Literatur Penggunaan, Ketersediaan Alat Pelindung Diri (APD) Dan Kasus Infeksi Covid-19 Pada Tenaga Kesehatan

Alifia Fiarnanda Putri¹, Retno Adriyani¹, Anizah Izzi Haibah¹, Titi Rahmawati Hamedon²

¹Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia ²Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

retnoadriyani@fkm.unair.ac.id

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received:

June, 4th, 2022

Revised:

From June, 30th, 2022

Accepted:

August, 06th, 2022

Published online: October,
1st, 2022

This is an open access
article under the CC BY-
NC-SA license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/>)

ABSTRACT

COVID-19 is an infectious disease caused by the SARS-CoV-2 (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) virus. During the pandemic, health workers have a higher risk of being exposed to the coronavirus. This study aims to analyze the availability and use of PPE for COVID-19 infection cases in health workers. This study used the scoping review method. Selected articles had been chosen by topic and inclusion criteria. Twenty-four articles were varied based on research locations in the US, China, Italy, Germany, Ethiopia, India, Pakistan, Nigeria, Australia, and Israel. Health workers have used PPE when handling specimens or patients with COVID-19 symptoms. The health workers were varied, including doctors, dentists, veterinarians, public health officers, nurses, pharmacists, and medical personnel who treat COVID-19 patients or not—the type of PPE widely used as masks. Health care facilities have provided PPE, but access, quality, and availability vary. Cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers varied, and the symptoms. PPE availability indirectly affects the high or low cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers, so the availability of PPE for health workers must be considered.

Keywords: COVID-19, infectious, health workers, PPE use, PPE availability.

ABSTRAK

COVID-19 merupakan penyakit menular yang disebabkan oleh virus SARS-CoV-2 (*Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2*). Selama pandemi, tenaga kesehatan memiliki risiko lebih tinggi terpapar virus corona. Tujuan dari penulisan ini yaitu menganalisis ketersediaan dan penggunaan APD terhadap kasus infeksi COVID-19 pada tenaga kesehatan. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode *scoping review*. Artikel yang dipilih sesuai dengan topik dan kriteria inklusi. Didapatkan 24 artikel dengan lokasi penelitian di AS, Cina, Italia, Jerman, Ethiopia, India, Pakistan, Nigeria, Australia, dan Israel. Tenaga kesehatan telah menggunakan APD saat menangani pasien ataupun spesimen pasien dengan gejala COVID-19. Tenaga kesehatan yang diteliti bervariasi, meliputi dokter, dokter gigi, dokter hewan, *public health officer*, perawat, apoteker, tenaga medis yang menangani pasien COVID-19 ataupun tidak. Jenis APD yang paling banyak digunakan oleh tenaga kesehatan yaitu masker. Fasilitas pelayanan kesehatan telah menyediakan APD, namun akses, kualitas, dan ketersediaannya bervariasi. Kasus infeksi COVID-19 pada tenaga kesehatan bervariasi, begitu pula dengan gejala yang timbul. Penggunaan APD dapat meminimalisir risiko penularan COVID-19 pada tenaga kesehatan. Ketersediaan APD berpengaruh tidak langsung terhadap tinggi atau rendahnya kasus infeksi COVID-19 pada tenaga kesehatan, sehingga ketersediaan APD untuk tenaga kesehatan harus diperhatikan.

Kata kunci: COVID-19, menular, tenaga kesehatan, penggunaan APD, ketersediaan APD.

INTRODUCTION

Corona virus disease or COVID-19 is an infectious disease that emerged at the end of 2019, precisely in December, in Wuhan, China. COVID-19 is caused by the SARS-CoV-2 virus (Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2) or often referred to as the Corona Virus. The spread of the Corona Virus in the world is very fast, because in a short time the number of positive cases has increased dramatically in all countries. Until June 2021, the number of COVID-19 cases in the world reached 175,847,347 cases with a total death of 3,807,276. WHO estimates that the death rate for COVID-19 in health workers is around 80,000 to 180,000 people. In the period from early 2020 to mid-2021, cases of COVID-19 infection were very high. According to WHO, COVID-19 spreads from human to human through droplets or body fluids that come out through coughing and sneezing, fecal-oral, and direct contact. Symptoms that often appear in people infected with the Corona Virus are fever, dry cough, and fatigue (WHO, 2020a). The incubation period for COVID-19 varies between 2-14 days with varying symptoms. Anyone can be infected with the Corona Virus, but an elderly person over 60 years old and someone who has comorbidities such as high blood pressure, heart disease, diabetes, obesity can be at risk for severe COVID-19 (CDC, 2021) (WHO, 2020b).

From the beginning of the emergence of the COVID-19 outbreak, health workers have acted as the front line in dealing with COVID-19 cases. Thus, health workers have a high risk or chance of being exposed to the Corona Virus. Various efforts have been made for health workers to reduce the risk of exposure to the Corona Virus, one of which is the use of personal protective equipment (PPE). The use of PPE is expected to minimize the risk of transmission of the Corona Virus, especially to health workers who have direct contact with positive COVID-19 patients. Therefore, the availability of PPE in the health care work environment must be met. PPE for health workers to protect exposure to the Corona Virus includes medical masks, glasses, protective clothing, gloves and boots (WHO, 2020c). It is interesting to study the availability and use of PPE for health workers during a

pandemic, where knowledge about this virus is still very minimal. This is because the time of the research on the article was carried out in the early days of the pandemic so that the knowledge of health workers was still minimal. At the beginning of the pandemic, there was also a shortage of PPE due to the large demand and low production of PPE due to the implementation of lockdowns in several countries (WHO, 2020c). Lockdown according to Cambridge is a condition when a person is not allowed to leave or enter an area due to an emergency. Lockdown has been proven to reduce the spread of the virus (Yunus and Rezki, 2020). The low use of PPE can be caused by the absence of clear policies and guidelines, causing health workers to be less compliant in using PPE (Gurses et al., 2018). In addition, a weak health system can cause panic and fatigue in the workforce which can increase the risk of Corona Virus infection (Mhango et al., 2020). The purpose of this paper is to analyze the availability and use of PPE and cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers.

METHOD

The type of research used in this study is a scoping review. This study presents a descriptive analysis to describe the availability of PPE and the use of PPE for cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers through a literature study. The online journal platforms used to search for articles are Pubmed, Science Direct, and Springer. The criteria for the articles used are articles published in the range of 2019-2020, articles in the form of original research, in English, published in scientific journals indexed at least Scopus Q3, available free full text with studies on the availability of PPE, use of PPE, and COVID-19 infection. on health workers. In the early 2020 to mid-2021 period, knowledge about this virus is still limited, so publications related to the topic are relatively limited. The keywords used were "healthcare" OR "healthcare facility" OR "hospital" AND "healthcare workers" OR "health workers" OR "doctors" OR "nurses" OR "dentist" AND "PPE use" OR "Personal Protective Equipment" OR "PPE supply" OR "COVID-19" OR "Sars-CoV 2" OR "coronavirus" OR "COVID-19 in healthcare" OR "COVID-19 in health workers" OR "healthcare associated COVID-19 infection".

Article selection was carried out in January-February 2021. The search yielded 24 articles. In Figure 1, the article search flow is presented.




Figure 1.1 Article selection flowchar

RESULT

Based on the article search that has been done, there are 1,518 articles published with the specified keywords, with details of 471 articles obtained from PubMed, 380 articles from Science Direct, and 667 articles from Springer. The next stage is filtering articles according to inclusion criteria (87

articles). After sorting the articles so that duplication does not occur and full text is available for free, a total of 24 articles were obtained according to the research topic.

There are 11 articles on the topic of research on the use of Personal Protective Equipment for health workers (Table 1).

Use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Health Workers

Table 1. Use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Health Workers

WRITER	COUNTRY	RESULT	PPE TYPE				
			Mask	Protective Dress	Protective glasses	Gloves	Others
(Estrich <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	USA	99.6% of dentists used basic clinical PPE.	Surgical mask, N95 mask	Protective dress	Goggles, face shield	Gloves	APD klinis dasar

Continuation

WRITER	COUNTRY	RESULT	PPE TYPE				
			Mask	Protective Dress	Protective glasses	Gloves	Others
(Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	As many as 92% of health workers use medical masks or surgical masks correctly	97.83% use medical masks or surgical masks	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(Bontà <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Italy	Overall health workers use PPE	82.80% use surgical masks	90.10% use disposable clothes	90.55% wear protective glasses or visors	n/a	n/a
(Neuwirth <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Germany	85% of health workers in COVID-19 wards and 76% of health workers in non-COVID-19 wards use PPE	Surgical mask	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(Asemahagn, 2020)	Ethiopia	62% of health workers have good COVID-19 prevention practices	80% wear surgical masks	n/a	n/a	74% use gloves	n/a
(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Cina	82.64% of health workers know how to use masks and other PPE correctly	61.90% surgical masks; 27.60% used disposable medical masks; 10.23% used medical protective masks; 1.09% used particulate protective masks	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
(Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Cina	53.4% of health workers always follow the procedures for using and removing PPE	use of masks 66.0%	use of gown 28.2%	use of face shield/screen 25.2%	use of gloves 51.5%	use of protective shoes 23.3%
(Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	India	84.92% of health workers use PPE, and the rest (15.08%) never use PPE	82.01% wear masks	40.21% use a protective gown	43.12% using face shield	70.63% use gloves	43.92% used head protection; 35.19% use shoe protectors

Continuation

WRITER	COUNTRY	RESULT	PPE TYPE				
			Mask	Protective Dress	Protective glasses	Gloves	Others
(Hussain <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	Pakistan	88.4% of health workers often use PPE and 11.6% of health workers sometimes use PPE	Mask	Protective dress	n/a	Gloves	n/a
(Ejeh <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Nigeria	79.1% of doctors, 96.7% of veterinarians, 98% of public health officers, 77.3% of nurses, and 87.5% of pharmacists use PPE when handling specimens from patients with signs of COVID-19	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Notes: n/a : not available							

Based on the data presented in Table 1, the research conducted on medical personnel in terms of the use and types of PPE used varies greatly. Based on research conducted in the US, 99.6% of dental medical personnel complied with wearing PPE, with the types of PPE used were surgical masks, protective gowns, eye protection and gloves which were basic clinical PPE, and also used N95 masks or equivalent for protection against aerosols (Estrich *et al.*, 2020). Most of the research results showed that medical personnel used PPE when handling patients or patient specimens with COVID-19 symptoms, while the use of PPE among medical personnel

varied from 99.6% to 62%. The medical personnel studied also varied, including doctors, dentists, veterinarians, public health officers, nurses, pharmacists, medical personnel who treat COVID-19 patients or not (Estrich *et al.*, 2020; Neuwirth, *et al* 2020; Ejeh *et al.*, 2020). The type of PPE that was most widely used by health workers was masks, which vary in specifications. Other types of PPE that were widely used are protective gowns (over all cover), eye protection (goggles, face shields) and gloves (Asemahagn, 2020; Bontà *et al.*, 2020; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2020; Estrich *et al.*, 2020; Jin *et al.*, 2020; Hussain *et al.*, 2021).

Availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Health Workers

Table 2. Availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Health Workers

AUTHORS	COUNTRY	RESULTS
(Felice <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Italy	77% of health workers stated that PPE was available in the workplace, but only 22% considered PPE adequate in terms of quality and quantity.
(Firew <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	USA	PPE was available at 47,60% of health workers.
(Halcomb <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Australia	Gowns (26,7% always available, 33,2% sometimes, 40,1% never), P2/N95 masks (23,3% always available, 31,3% sometimes, 45,4% never), surgical masks (39,7% always available, 38,2% sometimes, 22,1% never), and protective eyewear (45,5% always available, 25,9% sometimes, 28,6% never)
(Savoia <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Italy	Only 13% of respondents always have access to PPE, 50% sometimes have access, and 37% never/rarely have access.

Continuation

AUTHORS	COUNTRY	RESULTS
(Asemahagn, 2020)	Ethiopia	A total of 52% of PPE is available
(Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	As many as 12,6% of health workers are very satisfied, 35,4% are satisfied, 28,4% are neutral, 15,1% are not satisfied, and 6,4% are very dissatisfied with the availability of PPE.
(Gesser-Edelsburg <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Israel	The availability of PPE is fulfilled by 31%
(Dhahri <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Pakistan	59% reported the unavailability of PPE and only 24.9% were satisfied with the availability of PPE they had. While 78% of health workers had access to disposable masks.

Based on the articles that have been analyzed, obtained 8 articles that discuss the availability of PPE. All of these articles examine the satisfaction or experience of health workers regarding access or availability of PPE in health facilities, their workplaces. Only a study conducted in Italy stated that 77% of health workers stated that PPE was available in the workplace, but only 22% considered that the available PPE was

complete in terms of quantity and quality. Satisfaction with the availability and access of PPE is relatively low, mostly below 50% (Felice *et al.*, 2020; Firew, Ellen D. Sano, *et al.*, 2020; Halcomb *et al.*, 2020). The availability of PPE is the lowest with a percentage of 13% of health workers who have access to PPE (Savoia *et al.*, 2020). As for the satisfaction of health workers with the availability of PPE, the lowest is in China with a percentage of 12.6% (Huang *et al.*, 2020).

Table 3. Cases of COVID-19 Infection in Health Workers

AUTHORS	DIAGNOSIS METHOD	COUNTRY	RESULTS
(Firew, <i>et al.</i> 2020)	Swab and antigen test	USA	There were 29,3% of respondents who tested positive for COVID-19. Health workers in the emergency department (31,64%) were more likely to contract COVID-19 than those in the ICU (23,17%) and inpatients (25,53%)
(Algado-Sellés <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	PCR swab test	Spain	Of the 3,900 health workers in a department, (45,9%) showed symptoms or were part of contact tracing. The prevalence of health workers with symptoms was 20,1% (784/3,900; 95% CI = 18,8, 21,4), confirmed COVID-19 was 4.0% (156/3,900; 95% CI = 3.4, 4.6), and sufferers of severe COVID-19 disease by 0,5% (18/3.900; 95% CI = 0.2, 0.7).
(Barrett <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	PCR swab test	USA	41 (5%) health workers were positively infected with SARS-CoV-2, (34,2%) of whom reported symptoms. The majority of those infected were nurses (62,5%). Positive tests increased for two weeks in line with the increase in confirmed cases in the hospital and the surrounding city.
(Bontà <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Questionnaire	Italy	Only 0.25% of health workers (dentists) were positive for COVID-19. The most common symptoms were fatigue (8,19%), headache (7,81%) and sore throat (7,32%).
(Colaneri <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	PCR swab test	Italy	The cumulative incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection among health workers was 3,54%
(Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	PCR swab test	India	There are 5% of health workers confirmed positive for COVID-19. In a multivariate analysis, health workers who performed endotracheal intubation had a higher chance of becoming infected with SARS-CoV-2 [(AOR): 4.33, 95% CI: 1.16-16.07]

Continuation

AUTHORS	DIAGNOSIS METHOD	COUNTRY	RESULTS
(Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	PCR swab test	India	There are 5% of health workers confirmed positive for COVID-19. In a multivariate analysis, health workers who performed endotracheal intubation had a higher chance of becoming infected with SARS-CoV-2 [(AOR): 4.33, 95% CI: 1.16-16.07]
(Estrich <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Swab test and blood test	USA	An estimated 0.9% have confirmed or probable COVID-19 infection
(Eyre <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	PCR swab test and immunoassay	UK	COVID-19 was found in 11,2% of health workers. COVID-19 is more in staff working in areas exposed to COVID-19
(Felice <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Questionnaire	Italy	Among the population tested, 18% tested positive for COVID-19, with 33% asymptomatic
(Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Hospital medical records	China	There were 105 infected health workers. 84,5% felt infected from the hospital work environment, 1,0% felt the infection was caused by a laboratory environment, and 4,9% felt infected in the community environment. 41,8% felt their infection was related to personal protective equipment. The three main symptoms felt before diagnosis were fever 41,8%, lethargy 33,0% and muscle pain 30,1%
(Nguyen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Questionnaire	UK and USA	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA The prevalence of COVID-19 in health workers was 2,474 per 100,000 health workers. Compared to the general public, health workers were more at risk of being infected with COVID-19 (11,6195% CI 10.93–12.33).
(Lai <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Nucleic acid test and clinical diagnosis	China	Of the 325 health workers, there were 151 (46,6%) health workers infected with COVID-19
(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Blood tests, antibodies, swabs, and CT scans.	China	No infected health workers (0).
(Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Questionnaire	China	33,7% of health workers are infected with COVID-19
(Ran <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	PCR swab test	China	Health workers infected with COVID-19 85,71% showed symptoms of fever, 60,71% cough, and 7,14% headache

Based on the articles that have been analyzed, it was found 15 articles discussing COVID-19 infection in health workers. The diagnostic methods used in each article vary, such as SWAB tests, antigens, PCR, immunoassays, diagnoses, medical records, nucleic acid tests, CT scans, and questionnaires. The lowest case of COVID-19 infection among health workers was the study conducted by Zhang *et al.*, 2020, which stated that there were no cases of COVID-19 infection. This can happen because the health care facilities implement health protocols properly and health workers comply with these

health protocols. Health workers also get information about early symptoms of infection, transmission, standard practice procedures, know how to use PPE properly, and attend work protection training (Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Meanwhile, the percentage of other infections is below 20% (Algado-Sellés *et al.*, 2020; Barrett *et al.*, 2020; Bontà *et al.*, 2020; Colaneri *et al.*, 2020; Chatterjee *et al.*, 2020; Estrich *et al.*, 2020; Eyre *et al.*, 2020; Felice *et al.*, 2020; Jin *et al.*, 2020; Nguyen *et al.*, 2020). The highest number of cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers has a percentage of 46,6% (Lai *et al.*, 2020).

Table 4. Analysis of the Use of PPE for COVID-19 Infection Cases

AUTHORS	COUNTRY	RESULTS
(Eyre <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	UK	Health workers who are in contact with COVID-19 patients and do not use PPE are at risk of being infected with COVID-19 (1,44, (1,24–1,67, p<0,001)
(Algado-Sellés <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	Spain	COVID-19 infection occurs in health workers who come into contact with COVID-19 patients without using adequate PPE (AOR = 2,2, 95% CI = 1,2,-3,9) especially when handling patients.
(Barrett <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	USA	Consistent use of PPE correlates with low infection rates, even though these health workers directly treat patients suspected of or infected with COVID-19.
(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	Inappropriate use of PPE is the cause of COVID-19 infection in health workers (21,14%).
(Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	The lack of use of PPE (only masks) caused 32,6% of health workers to be infected with COVID-19.
(Lai <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	Protective factors for health workers against COVID-19 infection are the use of PPE, including masks (p < 0,001), gloves (p < 0,001), goggles (p < 0,001), protective clothing (p < 0,001), protective gowns (p < 0,001) <0001), shoe covers (p < 0,001), and headgear (p < 0,001)
(Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	The main factor that can reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection is the correct use of medical masks or surgical masks. The main factor contributing to COVID-19 infection among medical personnel is touching the cheeks, nose and mouth while working.
(Ran <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	COVID-19 infection in health workers who use PPE inappropriately has a relative risk of 2.82 (95% CI = 1,11–7,18, P <0,05)
(Chatterjee <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	India	The risk of COVID-19 transmission increases by 5.33 if health workers do not use PPE

Based on the articles that have been analyzed, it was found 9 articles linking the use of PPE with cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers. All articles stated that there was a relationship between the use of PPE and cases of COVID-19 infection. There were three studies that stated that the lack of PPE use can increase the risk of being infected with COVID-19 (Algado-Sellés *et al.*, 2020; Eyre *et al.*, 2020; Jin *et al.*, 2020, Chatterjee *et al.*, 2020). Health workers who used PPE inappropriately can cause COVID-19 infection 21.14% and have a 2.82 times risk (Ran *et al.*,

2020; Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Despite the more consistent use of PPE among health workers who provided care for patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19, this may explain why ICU workers exhibited low infection rates compared to other units. However, this needs to be confirmed further in light of reports of variations in access to PPE, reuse of PPE, and types of PPE provided across units and hospital roles. This has the potential to provide measurement errors that may obscure the relationship between PPE use and SARS-CoV-2 infection (Barrett *et al.*, 2020).

Table 5. Analysis of Availability of PPE for COVID-19 Infection Cases

AUTHORS	COUNTRY	RESULT
(Firew <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	United States	Health workers reported that the availability of PPE less than half the time reduced infections by 33% (PR = 0.67, 95% CI 0.56 - 0.79), while the rest reported that the availability of PPE most of the time reduced infections was 45% (PR = 0.55, 95% CI 0.46 - 0.66).
(Nguyen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	England and United States	PPE used by health workers repeatedly (adjusted HR 1.46, 95% CI 1.21–1.76) and inadequate PPE (1.31, 1.10–1.56) can increase the risk of infection.

Continuation

AUTHORS	COUNTRY	RESULT
(Lai <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	COVID-19 infection was related to the satisfaction of health workers and the hospital's response in providing PPE. Uninfected health workers were more satisfied than infected health workers in terms of providing PPE by the hospital ($p = 0.031$).
(Huang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	At the beginning of the pandemic, many health workers were infected with COVID-19. At that time, there was also a shortage of PPE. However, when the provision of PPE was managed centrally, the number of COVID-19 infections in health workers decreases.
(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	The lack of availability and damage to PPE (16.42%) was the cause of COVID-19 infection.
(Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	China	According to 44.2% of health workers, the cause of COVID-19 infection was generally due to the lack of PPE availability

Based on the articles that have been analyzed, 6 articles were found that link the availability of PPE with cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers. There were 2 articles that discuss the lack of PPE availability that can cause COVID-19 infection in health workers (Huang *et al.*, 2020; Jin *et al.*, 2020; Zhang *et al.*, 2020). Some of the results of these studies were also supported by the research of Nguyen *et al.* (2020). Due to the inadequate availability of PPE, health workers using PPE repeatedly have a risk of 1.46 and 1.31 times being infected with COVID-19. Health workers who received full and partial PPE can reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection by 45% and 33% (Firew *et al.*, 2020).

DISCUSSION

Use of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Health Workers

Guidelines for types and PPE for health workers to treat patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 have been issued by WHO which were published in November 2020 (WHO, 2020e). PPE is used by health workers before making contact with patients or before entering the room. Based on WHO recommendations, the use of PPE for health workers who are in close contact with COVID-19 patients includes N95 or FFP2 (Filtering Face Piece 2) or FFP3 (Filtering Face Piece 3) respirators, protective gowns, gloves, eye protection (glasses or facepieces and shields) and aprons. Meanwhile, health workers who do not have close contact include medical masks, eye protection and various other PPE according to the type of treatment. WHO does not

recommend repeated reuse of PPE (WHO, 2020c). The procedure for using PPE begins with using a scrub suit and boots, followed by doing hand hygiene, using a protective gown, a mask, a face shield or protective glasses, a head and neck cover, an apron, and gloves (WHO, 2020d). It is possible that there is a shortage of PPE availability caused by the surge in demand for PPE which is influenced by the high number of COVID-19 cases. WHO recommends several ways to use PPE optimally, including minimizing the use of PPE by using telemedicine to detect cases and limiting the number of health workers entering the COVID-19 patient room, using PPE appropriately according to procedures, and coordinating the need for PPE as well as possible (WHO, 2020c). The highly contagious nature of the SARS-CoV-2 virus requires strict Infection Prevention and Control (PPI) to reduce transmission of COVID-19 in healthcare facilities. However, health facilities that have low resource management and lack of access to water due to non-functioning water infrastructure and fluctuating water quality create very detrimental conditions.

Availability of Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) for Health Workers

The availability of PPE during the COVID-19 pandemic has experienced a drastic increase in demand. Full availability of PPE mostly financed by hospitals and supported by the government and sourced from social donations. Almost all research locations have limited availability of PPE. Lack of PPE, high workload, co-morbidities, knowledge, and access to PPI training and guidelines are factors

that limit the practice of preventing the spread of COVID-19 in health workers. Thus, a consistent supply of PPE is essential to prevent COVID-19 among health workers (Asehagn, 2020). To protect health workers, the supply, awareness and use of PPE for health workers in all hospital departments should be promoted. The hospital as the manager must provide support that is relevant to the needs of health workers, including the provision of PPE (Lai et al., 2020).

Cases of COVID-19 Infection in Health Workers

The majority of health workers infected with COVID-19 were women with a percentage of 64% (Rastmanesh et al., 2022). Frontline healthcare workers have up to 12 times higher risk of being infected with COVID-19 (Nguyen, Drew, Joshi, et al., 2020). During the first 18 months of the COVID-19 pandemic, around 115,500 health workers died from COVID-19 (WHO, 2022). Symptoms that appear vary, it included fever, cough, headache, fatigue, anosmia, and myalgia. However, there are also health workers who do not show symptoms. The majority of diagnostic methods used to detect the SARS-CoV-2 virus are PCR swab tests. Other methods used include blood tests, antibody tests, medical records, and questionnaires.

Cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers occurred due to contact when providing clinical care to patients who were not initially suspected of having COVID-19 (Algado-Sellés et al., 2020). Dentists have a greater risk of being infected with COVID-19, because while providing care to patients, they are repeatedly in contact with saliva and blood (Bontà et al., 2020). In addition, nurses have a risk of infection, because nurses spend more time in the rooms of COVID-19 patients (Barrett et al., 2020). The existence of the COVID-19 pandemic has forced us to re-examine the norms prevailing in the national health system around the importance of Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) for the quality of health services, WASH's priorities in health facility investment, and the need for focused cross-sectoral leadership and collaboration. between WASH and health professionals. Basic WASH services are a

fundamental prerequisite for complying with IPC principles needed to protect patients and health workers in every health care facility. (McGriff and Denny, 2020).

Analysis of the Use of PPE Against Cases of COVID-19 Infection in Health Workers

The use of PPE has an important role for health workers, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. The use of PPE is related to cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers. PPE can protect health workers from the risk of COVID-19 infection. PPE that can protect health workers' exposure to the Corona Virus when handling patients includes masks, gloves, goggles, protective clothing, protective gowns, shoe covers, and head coverings (Lai et al., 2020). However, the use of PPE must be followed by infection prevention and control (PPI) measures such as training, provision of procedures, and supervision so that the health of health workers and patients can be optimally protected (McGriff and Denny, 2020).

Analysis of the Availability of PPE Against Cases of COVID-19 Infection in Health Workers

The availability of PPE can affect the high or low cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers. The availability of adequate PPE both in terms of quantity and quality can reduce the risk of COVID-19 infection. Health workers who have access to PPE and use PPE properly can avoid the risk of COVID-19 infection. The limited availability of PPE can cause non-compliance in the use of PPE for health workers. Repeated or inadequate use of PPE as a result of the unavailability of PPE can increase the risk of COVID-19 infection in health workers (Nguyen, et al., 2020). Although the availability of PPE is adequate, the quality of PPE must also be considered. Although the relationship between the availability of PPE and COVID-19 infection is indirect, the availability of PPE is a factor that must be considered (Kim et al., 2021).

CONCLUSION

Article selection was carried out from January to February, at which time it was the third wave of COVID-19. Based on the articles that have been analyzed, it can be concluded

that in handling patients suspected of or infected with COVID-19, health workers have used PPE, at least medical masks. However, the availability, quality, and access of PPE have not been met properly. There are still cases of COVID-19 infection in health workers with different symptoms for each individual. Symptoms include fever, cough, headache, fatigue, anosmia, myalgia, and some are asymptomatic. The availability of PPE is one of the efforts to protect health workers from COVID-19 infection.

SUGGESTION

Suggestions are addressed to the health service agencies. Health service agencies should pay more attention to the availability and quality of PPE for health workers to reduce the risk of being infected with COVID-19. Thus, all health workers have access to PPE in accordance with the recommendations.

REFERENCES

- Algado-Sellés, N. *et al.* (2020) 'Frequency, Associated Risk Factors, and Characteristics of COVID-19 Among Healthcare Personnel in a Spanish Health Department', *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 59(6), pp. e221–e229. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2020.07.014.
- Asemahagn, M. A. (2020) 'Factors determining the knowledge and prevention practice of healthcare workers towards COVID-19 in Amhara region, Ethiopia: A cross-sectional survey', *Tropical Medicine and Health*, 48(1). doi: 10.1186/S41182-020-00254-3.
- Barrett, E. S. *et al.* (2020) 'Prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in previously undiagnosed health care workers in New Jersey, at the onset of the U.S. COVID-19 pandemic', *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 20(1), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1186/S12879-020-05587-2/FIGURES/2.
- Bontà, G. *et al.* (2020) 'COVID-19 pandemic and dental hygienists in Italy: a questionnaire survey', *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1), pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1186/S12913-020-05842-X/TABLES/4.
- CDC (2021) *COVID-19 Risks and Vaccine Information for Older Adults*. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/aging/covid19/covid19-older-adults.html> (Accessed: 18 April 2022).
- Chatterjee, P. *et al.* (2020) 'Healthcare workers & SARS-CoV-2 infection in India: A case-control investigation in the time of COVID-19', *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, May(151), pp. 459–467. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR.
- Colaneri, M. *et al.* (2021) 'The experience of the health care workers of a severely hit SARS-CoV-2 referral Hospital in Italy: incidence, clinical course and modifiable risk factors for COVID-19 infection', *Journal of public health (Oxford, England)*, 43(1), pp. 26–34. doi: 10.1093/PUBMED/FDAA195.
- Dhahri, A. A. *et al.* (2020) 'A cross-sectional survey on availability of facilities to healthcare workers in Pakistan during the COVID-19 pandemic', *Annals of medicine and surgery (2012)*, 59, pp. 127–130. doi: 10.1016/J.AMSU.2020.09.027.
- Ejeh, F. E. *et al.* (2020) 'Knowledge, attitude, and practice among healthcare workers towards COVID-19 outbreak in Nigeria', *Heliyon*, 6(11), p. e05557. doi: 10.1016/J.HELIYON.2020.E05557.
- Estrich, C. G. *et al.* (2020) 'Estimating COVID-19 prevalence and infection control practices among US dentists', *Journal of the American Dental Association (1939)*, 151(11), pp. 815–824. doi: 10.1016/J.ADAJ.2020.09.005.
- Eyre, D. W. *et al.* (2020) 'Differential occupational risks to healthcare workers from SARS-CoV-2 observed during a prospective observational study', *eLife*, 9, pp. 1–37. doi: 10.7554/ELIFE.60675.
- Felice, C. *et al.* (2020) 'Impact of COVID-19 Outbreak on Healthcare Workers in Italy: Results from a National E-Survey', *Journal of Community Health*, 45(4), pp. 675–683. doi: 10.1007/S10900-020-00845-5/TABLES/4.
- Firew, T. *et al.* (2020) 'Protecting the front line: A cross-sectional survey analysis of the occupational factors

- contributing to healthcare workers' infection and psychological distress during the COVID-19 pandemic in the USA', *BMJ Open*. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-042752.
- Gesser-Edelsburg, A. *et al.* (2020) 'A mixed-methods sequential explanatory design comparison between COVID-19 infection control guidelines' applicability and their protective value as perceived by Israeli healthcare workers, and healthcare executives' response', *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 9(1). doi: 10.1186/S13756-020-00812-8.
- Gurses, A. P. *et al.* (2018) 'Improving guideline compliance and healthcare safety using human factors engineering: The case of Ebola', *Journal of Patient Safety and Risk Management*, 23(3), pp. 93–95. doi: 10.1177/2516043518762839.
- Halcomb, E. *et al.* (2020) 'The Experiences of Primary Healthcare Nurses During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Australia', *Journal of nursing scholarship: an official publication of Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society of Nursing*, 52(5), pp. 553–563. doi: 10.1111/JNU.12589.
- Huang, D. *et al.* (2020) 'Social Media Survey and Web Posting Assessment of the COVID-19 Response in China: Health Worker Attitudes Toward Preparedness and Personal Protective Equipment Shortages', *Open forum infectious diseases*, 7(10). doi: 10.1093/OFID/OFAA400.
- Hussain, I. *et al.* (2021) 'Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices Toward COVID-19 in Primary Healthcare Providers: A Cross-Sectional Study from Three Tertiary Care Hospitals of Peshawar, Pakistan', *Journal of Community Health*, 46(3), p. 1. doi: 10.1007/S10900-020-00879-9.
- Jin, Y. H. *et al.* (2020) 'Perceived infection transmission routes, infection control practices, psychosocial changes, and management of COVID-19 infected healthcare workers in a tertiary acute care hospital in Wuhan: a cross-sectional survey', *Military Medical Research*, 7(1). doi: 10.1186/S40779-020-00254-8.
- Kim, H. *et al.* (2021) 'Access to personal protective equipment in exposed healthcare workers and COVID-19 illness, severity, symptoms and duration: A population-based case-control study in six countries', *BMJ Global Health*, 6(1), p. e004611. doi: 10.1136/BMJGH-2020-004611.
- Lai, X. *et al.* (2020) 'What influences the infection of COVID-19 in healthcare workers?', *Journal of infection in developing countries*, 14(11), pp. 1231–1237. doi: 10.3855/JIDC.13005.
- McGriff, J. A. and Denny, L. (2020) 'What COVID-19 Reveals about the Neglect of WASH within Infection Prevention in Low-Resource Healthcare Facilities', *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*, 103(5), pp. 1762–1764. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.20-0638.
- Mhango, M. *et al.* (2020) 'COVID-19 Risk Factors Among Health Workers: A Rapid Review', *Safety and Health at Work*, 11(3), pp. 262–265. doi: 10.1016/j.shaw.2020.06.001.
- Neuwirth, M. M. *et al.* (2020) 'Adherence to personal protective equipment use among healthcare workers caring for confirmed COVID-19 and alleged non-COVID-19 patients', *Antimicrobial resistance and infection control*, 9(1). doi: 10.1186/S13756-020-00864-W.
- Nguyen, L. H. *et al.* (2020) 'Risk of COVID-19 among front-line health-care workers and the general community: a prospective cohort study', *The Lancet Public Health*, 5(9), pp. e475–e483. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30164-X.
- Ran, L. *et al.* (2020) 'Risk Factors of Healthcare Workers With Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Retrospective Cohort Study in a Designated Hospital of Wuhan in China', *Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America*, 71(16), pp. 2218–2221. doi: 10.1093/CID/CIAA287.
- Rastmanesh, R. *et al.* (2022) 'Covid-19 Infections in Vaccinated Health Care Workers', *n engl j med*, 386. doi: 10.1056/NEJMc2117817.
- Savoia, E. *et al.* (2020) 'Factors associated with access and use of PPE during

- COVID-19: A cross-sectional study of Italian physicians', *PLoS ONE*, 15(10). doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0239024.
- Wang, Y. *et al.* (2020) 'Super-factors associated with transmission of occupational COVID-19 infection among healthcare staff in Wuhan, China', *The Journal of hospital infection*, 106(1), pp. 25–34. doi: 10.1016/J.JHIN.2020.06.023.
- WHO (2020a) *Coronavirus disease (COVID-19)*, www.who.int/covid-19.
- WHO (2020b) *Older people and COVID-19*. Available at: <https://www.who.int/teams/social-determinants-of-health/demographic-change-and-healthy-ageing/covid-19> (Accessed: 18 April 2022).
- WHO (2020c) 'Rational Use of Personal Protective Equipment for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) and Considerations During Severe Shortages', *Who*, (Maret), pp. 1–7. Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/331695>.
- WHO (2020d) *Steps to put on personal protective equipment (PPE)*. Available at: [https://www.who.int/bangladesh/emergencies/coronavirus-disease-\(covid-19\)-update/steps-to-put-on-personal-protective-equipment-\(ppe\)](https://www.who.int/bangladesh/emergencies/coronavirus-disease-(covid-19)-update/steps-to-put-on-personal-protective-equipment-(ppe)) (Accessed: 7 July 2022).
- WHO (2020e) 'Technical specifications of personal protective equipment for COVID-19', (V), pp. 1–40. Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-PPE_specifications-2020.1.
- WHO (2022) *New WHO/ILO guide urges greater safeguards to protect health workers*. Available at: <https://www.who.int/news/item/21-02-2022-new-who-ilo-guide-urges-greater-safeguards-to-protect-health-workers> (Accessed: 2 March 2022).
- Yunus, N. R. and Rezki, A. (2020) 'Kebijakan Pemberlakuan Lock Down Sebagai Antisipasi Penyebaran Corona Virus Covid-19', *SALAM: Jurnal Sosial dan Budaya Syar-i*, 7(3). doi: 10.15408/SJSBS.V7I3.15083.
- Zhang, M. *et al.* (2020) 'Status of occupational protection in the COVID-19 Fangcang Shelter Hospital in Wuhan, China', *Emerging Microbes & Infections*, 9(1), p. 1835. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2020.1803145.