A LITERATURE REVIEW: THE EFFECT OF HONEY IN PRESSURE ULCER WOUND HEALING ACCELERATION
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ABSTRACT

Background: Pressure ulcers occur due to prolonged pressure and friction in areas of bony prominences that result in tissue injury with varying depths. To date, there is no standardized wound dressing for these ulcers. From the review of the availability and affordability aspects, honey can be used as a wound dressing because it contains anti-oxidants, anti-inflammatory, and anti-bacterial properties. This article investigates the advantages of honey dressing over other modern dressings.

Methods: Literature search from online databases using relevant keywords. A total of three articles were discussed in this review article.

Results: All articles agreed that giving honey to pressure ulcer wounds reduced wound size and pain. Honey-soaked gauze provided quicker pain relief during treatment and reduced discomfort with each dressing change. Wound healing was proven to be four times faster with honey compared to other topical dressings. The anti-bacterial effect of honey could not be significantly confirmed in this study. Nonetheless, honey dressings succeeded in accelerating wound healing in patients with pressure ulcers.

Conclusion: Honey can be a promising alternative to topical dressings in patients with pressure ulcers.

INTRODUCTION

Pressure ulcers are localized sores due to prolonged pressure and tears on bony prominences, causing tissue injury of varying depths.¹ Constant pressure put over a long period may cause tissue ischemia, cessation of oxygen supply and nutrition, and eventually tissue necrosis, leading to distortion, deformation, and damage.² Pressure ulcer develops over time in patients with immobility and activity limitation, bedridden or chair-bound, accompanied by predisposing factors such as loss of movement, sensation, and failure of reactive hyperemia.³

Various methods for treating pressure ulcers have been religiously studied, such as pressure redistribution, nutritional support, and local wound care. Dressings should possess three essential properties: facilitate autolytic debridement, provide moisture, and control the bacterial load.⁴ Most common dressings, namely polyurethane, foam, alginate, and nano-crystalline silver dressing, can aid effective wound reduction, prevent secondary infection, and suppress exudate production.⁵ However, no gold standard has been established for the type of dressing suitable for pressure wounds. The choices are usually tailored for specific wound staging, the site of the ulcer, availability, personal preference, and cost.

Honey was first recognized as a topical antimicrobial agent in 1892 and has been widely used as a wound dressing due to its anti-oxidant, anti-bacterial, and anti-inflammatory
Honey may inhibit biofilm production, reduce malodor, provide autolytic debridement, and induce anti-inflammatory effects.\textsuperscript{4,5} Anti-bacterial property of honey comes from the hyperosmotic sugar content, the production of hydrogen peroxide from the honey enzyme, and its acidic pH. Honey also possesses strong osmotic activity, which can pull out fluid from the wound surface, creating a layer of fluid preventing honey from adhering to the wound bed.\textsuperscript{6,7} This will alleviate pain and destruction of granulation tissue during the removal of the dressings.\textsuperscript{5}

Manuka honey was proven to have broad-spectrum antimicrobial properties towards \textit{Staphylococcus aureus}, \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa}, and methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (MRSA). One study compared the use of honey and silver sulfadiazine dressing in burn patients, only to show that honey dressings sterilized wound faster, enhanced granulation and epithelization, also reduced hypertrophic scar and burn contractures.\textsuperscript{8} Honey was proven superior to povidone-iodine in reducing wound size and pain score and increasing comfort when applied to chronic wounds. This study aimed to explore and analyze the efficacy of honey-impregnated dressings on wound healing in PU through a compilation of pre-existing research studies.\textsuperscript{6,7}

\section*{METHODS}

The search of literature sources used three databases, namely Pubmed, Cochrane, and Scopus, on November 29, 2021. The keywords were “honey” and “pressure ulcer”. Articles were obtained from different databases. The selection was carried out carefully based on title and abstract screening, research study categories, and full-text screening. Inclusion criteria included studies comparing honey and other topical agents for UD with various outcomes: reduced wound size, reduced pain score, or bacteriological profile.
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\section*{RESULTS}

After a thorough search of all the electronic databases, ten articles were retrieved from the title and abstract screening. There were four duplicates out of ten articles, leaving only six articles selected for full-text screening. After a thorough read, three articles were excluded: one non-English literature, one case report of only two patients, and one observational clinical trial without comparison with other conventional treatments. In the end, three articles were selected for appraisal.

The literature search is summarized in Figure 1. Three articles were appraised for validity (V), importance (I), and applicability (A). The appraisal was processed with standard therapeutic validity criteria provided by the Oxford Center of Evidence-Based Medicine (CEBM) 2011\textsuperscript{13}. The results are presented in Table 1 and 2.

Articles by Khadanga et al., Saha et al., and Günes et al. were appraised using the CEBM appraisal list. The study designs are case-control (IIIB), cohort (IIB), and randomized clinical trial (RCT) (IB), respectively. The number of participants involved in the study is
insufficient to replicate the native population. But results are significant and deemed necessary. All papers were randomized, shared similar baseline demography, and treated the participants equally throughout the study. They were measured and analyzed in the group in which they were randomized. Only one study by Khadanga applied single-blind randomization. Günes and colleagues did not perform blinding to the examiners because, to do blinding, they needed to clean the wound after dressing removal. This would annul the initially-intended examinations because they needed to identify the slough and the exudates on the wound bed.

The importance of the study is described by Günes and colleagues, who showed a four-time-increased rate of wound healing after being treated with honey. The results were reported in the Pressure Ulcer Scale of Healing (PUSH) tool as the standardized measurement of PU. All the results were displayed in numerical data, thus cannot be translated into a dichotomous two-times-two table to be analyzed for control event rate (CER), experimental event rate (EER), absolute risk reduction (ARR), and number needed to treat (NNT). Khadanga’s paper analyzed bacteriological profiling, pain score, and wound size reduction presented in numerical differences before and after application. Saha and colleagues described pain relief score and wound assessment using the Bates-Jensen scale. Mean scores, standard deviation, and standard error were provided to describe the chance variation.

After appraising the validity and benefit of the study, it is necessary to analyze its applicability to local patient care. The literature’s inclusion and exclusion criteria and the patients’ similar baseline characteristics indicated that the results were likely applicable to patients in Indonesia. However, there may be some differences in the composition of the honey and the various underlying diseases of each patient.

Both Khadanga nor Saha did not mention which honey was used for their studies and how they layered the wounds. All in all, the use of honey for dressing PU undoubtedly possessed benefits compared to modern dressing. Every piece of literature comparing honey and other treatments highlighted the benefit of honey as a wound dressing. Honey is easily available and affordable, thus suitable for patients with limited resources. Moreover, its natural properties pose no significant adverse effect for long-term use.

Table 1. Critical Appraisal – Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Importance</th>
<th>Applicability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Khadanga et al.</td>
<td>CER NR EER NR ARR NR NNT NR</td>
<td>Yes Yes Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saha et al.</td>
<td>CER NR EER NR ARR NR NNT NR</td>
<td>Yes Yes Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Günes</td>
<td>CER NR EER NR ARR NR NNT NR</td>
<td>Yes Yes Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Critical Appraisal – Applicability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Article</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>NoP</th>
<th>Random</th>
<th>Similarity</th>
<th>Blinding</th>
<th>Equal Treat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Khadanga et al.</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Single-blind</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saha et al.</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Günes et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DISCUSSION**

A case report confirmed the efficacy of honey dressings to accelerate healing in pressure ulcers compared to other established topical agents. All three articles agreed upon the superiority of honey as a promising alternative for PU dressings. However, the case-control study by Khadanga et al. was unable to prove its significance due to the lack of participants. Nonetheless, all findings agreed with the results of former publications stating that the topical application of honey can accelerate wound healing in chronic wounds.
Khadanga’s study had the most ideal outcomes, which included the bacteriological profiling of the wound bed, pain score decrement, and wound size reduction. The only downfall was that the author did not specify the PU grading, patients’ comorbidities, and the type of honey used for the research. Saha and colleagues researched honey with metronidazole versus metronidazole alone. The pain score relief and wound score reduction were observed and assessed using the Bates-Jensen scale. However, honey combined with antibiotic metronidazole could mask the anti-bacterial properties of honey. The RCT study by Günes et al. was an ideal reference for this report. They used a standardized PUSH tool and compared the subjects periodically to record the wound healing rate. The only drawback was that the participants’ wounds were limited to PU grade II or III.

The use of honey has evolved and become a natural therapeutic alternative for various medicinal purposes. This ancient remedy is superior in promoting wound healing by promoting granulation and epithelization when compared to polyurethane film, silver sulfadiazine, and amniotic membrane. It also possesses a hygroscopic feature that draws moisture out and dehydrates bacteria, while its high sugar content blocks bacterial penetration into the wound. Furthermore, its low pH prevents microbial growth. The use of honey as wound dressing should not be limited to pressure ulcers.

There are two types of honey commonly used in research: natural honey and non-peroxide honey, known as medicinal manuka honey. Table honey possessed lower antibacterial activity and contained various microbial species compared to sterile medical-grade honey. Medical grade honey was proven potent in-vitro against antibiotic-resistance bacteria due to the presence of catalase, methyl syringate, and methylglyoxal. More importantly, honey targets different parts of bacteria, unlike antibiotics, which makes bacteria more unlikely to develop resistance against honey. Anti-bacterial property of manuka honey is associated with its Unique Manuka Factor (UMF), possessing various medicinal potency of interest. Combination of medical-grade honey and antibiotics may reduce the needed dose for antibiotics, minimize the risk of resistance, and increase the potency against antibiotic-resistance bacteria (e.g., MRSA), which will be suitable for our patients with infectious wound sites; post-operation, diabetic, pressure, or open tear wounds.

This is undeniably a promising field to explore. More RCT on a larger scale should be conducted to achieve statistically significant outcomes. Further studies should observe the benefit of honey for advanced PU in comparison with other common dressings such as hydrocolloid, foam, hydrogel, or crystalline-silver dressings. Different outcomes should include scar reduction in burns or large surgical incisions. It is crucial to standardize the type of honey for less variable and more comparable results. Choices range from medicinal manuka (Leptospermum) honey to medical-grade Revamil or MediHoney. As honey is cost-friendly and readily available, it should be widely utilized as wound dressing for preventing and treating PU.

CONCLUSION

Honey has the potential to accelerate healing in chronic PU. It is proven safe and efficacious in all sorts of chronic wounds, including PU, as shown in this study. Considering all the superior features of honey, the use of honey is highly applicable in hospital settings that have limited cost and availability. The easily accessible source with no long-term adverse effects will make honey a perfect choice of dressing for patients during their
outpatient visits. The convenient application of honey also has an advantage for caregivers.
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