ABSTRACT

Displaced palatal fractures greatly complicate treatment of complex midfacial injuries. They increase the potential for fracture malalignment, especially in cases of concurrent mandibular body-condyle fractures. In such instance it is very hard to establish the correct width of the dental arches. Fractures of the hard palate are infrequent. They are found in less than 10% of patients with midfacial fractures. They practically never occur in isolation and are usually part of alveolar process fractures or more complex midfacial fractures of the Le Fort type. Treatment of palatal fractures is planned and performed with the goal of restoring the transverse width of the palate, the anteroposterior projection of the maxillary arch, and the patient's pretraumatic occlusal plane, as well as maintaining horizontal stability of the midface.

Case Presentation: Reporting patient female 17 years old with panfacial fracture due to traffic accident. There was slight epidural haemorrhage on frontal area. The fractures are on upper face, midface, and lower face including the hard palate. We performed open reduction internal fixation on palate to correct the arch of the upper jaw. The other fracture site can be corrected easier. The approaches that we done are bicoronal, subsilier, and intraoral. The patient was successfully treated using bottom-up and outside-in sequence by accessing all facial injuries. Postoperatively, radiograph examination revealed good reduction and fixation of titanium plates, and physical examination revealed good functional and aesthetic outcomes.

Conclusion: Palate fractures are relatively uncommon and are associated with significant rates of malocclusion and wound complications. These injuries are typically managed with plate fixation of the alveolar ridge with variable approaches to the palatal vault.
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and effective palatal splint during the open reduction and internal fixation procedure.\textsuperscript{2} The methods of palate fracture repair are variable and include open and closed approaches with wiring, plating, splinting, orthodontic braces, acylated arch bars, and arch bars for maxillomandibular fixation to internal fixation, with plates and screws placed under the palate mucosa and periosteum, together with pyriform aperture or alveolar plating plus LeFort level I buttress reconstruction.\textsuperscript{3,4}

However, the palatine process of the maxilla, or hard palate, is an exception owing to its thick and sturdy osseous stock. Maxillary fractures most often occur in conjunction with other facial fractures and are most often associated with injuries such as lacerations, other facial fractures, orthopaedic injury and neurologic injury. Panfacial fractures are often associated with soft tissue injuries and loss of bone structures. Severe panfacial injuries can lead to complicated facial deformities, malocclusion, and limited facial movement. Sometimes, panfacial injuries can impact the psychological state of the patient or limit social rehabilitation permanently. Management of panfacial fractures has been ensuring a complete anatomical, esthetic, and functional repair of the face, as restoring it to its original dimension.\textsuperscript{5}

**CASE REPORT**

Reporting female 17 years old, came to emergency ward due to motorcycle traffic accident. She came with decrease on consciousness and severe elongation of the face. On physical examination, on inspection, there was full thickness open wound between her eyes, maxillary edema, open-bite malocclusion, nasal deviation, periorbital hematoma, no sign of diplopia. On palpation there was step off on superior and inferior orbital rim, frontozygomatic, zygomatic arch, and unstable of mandible. The interincisival opening was 20 mm and the interchantal distance was 35 mm.

On CT Scan examination, we found blow out fracture on both side, left zygomatic complex fracture, Le Fort II maxilla fracture, bilateral NOE type III fracture, symphysis of mandible fracture, and sagittal palate fracture.
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**Figure 1.** (A) The condition of patient on the first admission on the emergency ward. (B) The occlusion was open bite.

We perform open reduction internal fixation on this patient using bottom-up sequence. The approaches that we used are bicoronal, subsilier, and vestibular. First we done open reduction and internal fixation on mandible with 2-0 miniplate fixation, we applied arch bar before expose all the fracture lines. Because the arch of the upper jaw was dramatically misalignment, we performed reduction of the palate fracture and done fixation with 2-0 miniplate.

After the arch was corrected, we continued to do reduction on other buttress of maxilla, orbital floor with the orbital mesh, and reduction of the left zygomatic. Due to the
severe depress of the nasal, to improve the aesthetic, we perform cantilever graft on the dorsum on the nasal. The donor was from right sixth costae.

The archbar still maintain for 8 weeks and we put MMF to correct the occlusions. Follow up after the surgery at the outpatient clinic, there was no complain. The palate fixation help us to correct the deformity, revealed good functional and aesthetic outcomes.

**Figure 2.** Intraoral condition at the first admission.

**Figure 3.** Head CT of the patient, show the panfacial fracture.

**Figure 4.** Fixation of the palate fracture with 2-0 miniplate

**DISCUSSION**

As with all craniofacial trauma, plating systems have become a key component in the treatment of palatal fractures. The bottom-up and outside-in sequence is the most widely used approach in the management of panfacial fractures because some studies suggested and found satisfactory outcomes. Rigid fixation of palatal fractures is performed through mucosal lacerations or incisions placed over the fracture sites. The oral mucosa is elevated to allow placement of two-hole miniplates fixed with 2 mm screws. One or two plates are placed on each side of the fracture. The fracture is reduced with manual compression and the second screw is placed on the opposite side of the fracture.

Our patient got panfacial fracture with severe misalignment of the arch of upper jaw. She underwent reconstructive craniofacial surgery and got palatal fixation to improve the functional and aesthetic outcome. Treatment of the palatal fracture in dentate patients should center on occlusal reduction with MMF and a facial vestibular approach.

We choose to do fixation the palate with miniplate because there was existing laceration. We easily to elevate the palatal flap and put the plate. There was no new incision for this procedure.
We perform open reduction internal fixation on this patient using bottom-up sequence. Right after the do reduction and fixation on the mandible, we performed reduction on the palate fracture. The corrected arch made us easier to correct the upper side of palate. On severe panfacial fracture, the fixation of palatal fracture with miniplate will help us to do reduction on other site and improve the function and aesthetic.

There is also the possibility of exposure of osteosynthesis material in the mid- or long term. For all these reasons, many authors still recommend the treatment of palatal fractures by using palatal splints, arch bars or maxillomandibular fixation.

CONCLUSIONS

We consider that the use of 2-0 mm locking medium- or high-profile system an excellent option for the treatment of palatal fractures. This system, originally designed as an internal fixator for the mandible, offers mechanical and biological advantages that help provide an adequate stability to palatal fractures while preserving blood supply to the bone and mucosa, with no limitation to the use of the superior vestibular approach in patients with fractures of the maxillary buttresses.
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