IDENTIFICATION OF KNOWLEDGE OF BULLYING IN ADOLESCENTS AND ITS EFFECTS ON BEHAVIOR OF BULLYING FRIENDS
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Bullying behavior committed by fellow students at school is always associated with the search for identity and social status, but the behavior is very disturbing and has caused many victims. This study is to analyze the relationship of knowledge about bullying in adolescents and its influence on bullying behavior among peers. Methods: Data for this exploratory study were collected using a cross-sectional model. The study sample consisted of 80 students at SMAN 1 Kebomas Gresik who met the inclusion criteria. The independent variable is bullying knowledge. The dependent variable is bullying behavior. Two questionnaires were used in this study, knowledge about bullying and bullying behavior. The statistical test used is the Spearman Rank test with a significance level of α = 0.05. Results: the average sex of the respondent was 1.38 (SD: 0.487), the average age of the respondent was 1.90 (SD: 0.739), the average socioeconomic respondent was 2.75 (SD: 0.490), the average occupation of the respondent was 2.05 (SD: 0.525), the average bullying behavior of respondents is 1.40 (SD: 0.688), and there is a positive-negative relationship between knowledge and bullying behavior in adolescents (p = 0.000). Conclusion: increased knowledge about bullying can reduce bullying behavior. This is necessary for health education to improve understanding of the terror behaviors that are usually carried out by adolescents as a result of the moral release response from the growth and development process that is always experienced by adolescents so that they leave the knowledge possessed.

INTRODUCTION

Bullying behavior in schools has been very disturbing and has caused many victims. Annual Review results are published by around 20-25% of adolescents who are opponents and victims of bullying, 4-9% often commit bullying, and school children have been terrorized around 9-25% (Juvonen and Graham, 2014). The Global Status Report on School Violence and Bullying regarding bullying victims in several countries, and found that students who were victims reached a range of 22.8% to 48.2%, obtained through physical contact or study (25.6% - 46.3%) and physical attacks (20.5% - 38%) (UNESCO, 2019). The results also prove that 26% of students have successfully overcome bullying, 33% with physical violence, and older adolescents of their peers are often subject to terror (Al Buhairan et al., 2017), and the current trend of using cyberbullying (Young, Miles and Alhabash, 2018). Men are more often perpetrators of bullying (Itegi, 2017), and women with psychological problems who are victims but are at risk for men (Le et al., 2019), so students involved in bullying are related to psychiatric problems (Sigurdson et al., 2015).

Permissive attitudes toward violent behavior by students, families, schools, and communities contribute to bullying behavior (Lopes Neto, 2005), and the initial purpose of bullying behavior by students, is associated with the search for self-identity and social status (Bjorklund and Pellegrini, 2002; Bellmore et al., 2017), so that it is considered not a problem even though victims of bullying feel terrorized because of bullying (Craig, Pepler, and Atlas, 2000). But the
way the bullying is done, unintentionally shows behavior that is less assertive and tends to attack. Some bullying behavior that often done is impolite (Stein, Dukes, and Warren, 2007), to antisocial (Barzeva, Meeus and Oldehinkel, 2019), and followed by sexual harassment (Oriol, Miranda, and Amutio, 2019), giving rise to physical and psychological problems (Baldry, 2004), insecurity (Serra-Negra et al., 2015; Waisglass, 2017; Oriol, Miranda and Amutio, 2019), and reduce the quality of life of students (Diaz Herraiz and Gutierrez, 2017).

Other studies have found the contribution of bullying through physical contact and the mass media or cyberbullying associated with drug abuse, irritability, and anger, sexual deviations, (Litwiler and Brausch, 2013) (Rivers et al., 2009). If deemed very painful, not infrequently victims of bullying commit suicide (Fujikawa et al., 2016; Hinduja and Patchin, 2019) so that recovery takes a long time (Baldry, 2004; Fisher, Cassidy and Mitchell, 2017). Bullying behavior is an attempt to attack someone physically, verbally and socially aggressively, repeatedly carried out until the victim is helpless (Menesini and Salmivalli, 2017). Forms of physical attacks include hitting, kicking, damaging the victim’s property, verbal attacks (mentioning inappropriate names or threatening), and social aggression (exclusion or fake news) (Smith, 2014).

However, most students have not been able to recognize bullying behavior. The teacher only tells about what to do to stop bullying at school but not to identify and differentiate it (Bellmore et al., 2017). Except for physical intimidation (Aulia, 2016), verbal bullying and social aggression are still not considered forms of intimidation, because they can be felt (Nasheeda, Hassan and Hassan, 2016). Parents and teachers are the parties responsible for understanding bullying behavior in children or students (Lopes Neto, 2005) because there is a lot of evidence that can be used as a basis for bullying in schools when clarifying the reasons related to student academic achievement (Van Werf, 2014; Al-Raquad et al., 2017; Oliveira et al., 2018), dropout events (Townsend et al., 2008; Beilmann, 2017), and psychosocial problems experienced by some students at school (Rivers et al., 2009; Danney, Howcroft, and Stroud, 2013; Fanti and Henrich, 2015; Fulchance and Furlong, 2016). For this reason, research on knowledge and bullying has not been done much, so it is very interesting to be investigated.

Adolescent development that wants to show self-identity and social status needs to be maintained by an educator by directing positive activities. Errors in showing self-realization result in deviant behavior and tend to commit violence against fellow friends which will damage friendships. The aim of our study was to identify knowledge and its effects on peer bullying behavior in adolescents. What is the relationship of knowledge in adolescents and bullying behavior with fellow friends.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Data for this observational analytic study was collected using a cross-sectional, nonexperimental design. The study population consisted of class X students at SMAN 1 Kebomas Gresik recorded in the 2018-2019 school year (a total of 80 students). The sample of this study was determined based on the following inclusion criteria: 1) adolescents of the school in SMA N 1 Kebomas, (2) adolescents who were registered as students of grade X in SMAN 1 Kebomas as evidenced by Student Cards, (3) adolescents chose the Social Sciences major. The independent variable in this research is knowledge about bullying. The dependent variable in this study is peer bullying behavior. After granting approval, this research was conducted at SMAN 1 Kebomas, Gresik Regency.

Data collection starts from June to July 2019. Initial data collection was completed by selecting candidates according to inclusion criteria. The subjects in this study were students of class X SMAN 1 Kebomas Gresik. The total number of class X students at SMAN 1 Kebomas Gresik is 190 students spread into 6 classes, and students majoring in Social Sciences are 80 students / students. The questionnaire used was bullying behavior. It is used to determine adolescent behavior to peers. The validity test results obtained 30 valid items with a reliability value of $r = 0.8436$. The questionnaire consists of questions about youth bullying behavior, which can choose from the following responses: “0: never; 1: sometimes; 2: often; and 3: very often”. Bullying behavior in adolescents is determined by the following scores: usually done: 0 - 50 and not normally done 51 - 100. In the first step, a descriptive statistical analysis which includes frequency, mean and standard deviation, was realized in all samples including gender, age, parity, place of residence. The next step Speman Rhank is used to analyze the relationship between knowledge about bullying and bullying behavior with a significance level of significance $\alpha = 0.05$. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS statistical software, version 20.0. The author states that this research has no conflict of interest, and is conducted in accordance with ethical research principles. All respondents in this study received an explanation of the study before
completing and signing the consent form. The anonymity of respondents was protected, and this study met the ethical permit standards of Airlangga University Health Ethics and Research Commission (certificate number 1572-KEPK).

RESULTS

Table 1 The age distribution of the majority of students is 16 years old (39 respondents; 48.80%), the majority sex is female (50 respondents; 62.50%), the majority of parents are self-employed (58 respondents; 72.50%), socioeconomic majority earns > Rp. 2,500,000 (62 respondents; 77.50%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Karakteristik</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation parents</td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Entrepreneurs</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Civil servants</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income/month</td>
<td>Rp.500.000 – Rp.1,500.000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rp.1,500.000 – Rp.2,500.000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; Rp.2,500.000</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of bullying</td>
<td>Verbal</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>0.668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mass media</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 The distribution of the level of knowledge about bullying the majority is high (60 respondents; 75%), the majority of bullying behavior is unusual (62 respondents; 77.5%) and the type of bullying the majority performs through verbal (56 respondents; 70%), the results of statistical analysis, using a ranking test Sperman Rank, shows that there is a relationship between knowledge and bullying behavior among peers in adolescents, with a value of $p = 0.000$ ($p < 0.05$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullying behavior</td>
<td>Usually done</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unusual to do</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

School is one of the means for character education for children to have a spirit of mutual respect, mutual support and not to attack each other and their peers (Aulia, 2016). The results showed the contribution of knowledge to the practice of bullying, but all students had bullying and the majority were verbal (70%) who were used in committing terror to peers. Their identification can help in increasing relationships between friends to avoid violence in adolescents (Menesini and Salmivalli, 2017). Knowledge generated from social information will be organized through the process of paying attention, remembering and thinking (Baron and Byrne, 2005). The theory is the background of the results of the study, that social priming attitudes (experience gained at this time) in the form of new knowledge possessed an important role to the effect of imitation of behavior (Cook and Bird, 2011). And the results of these studies justify the results of this study that bullying behavior by students is generated from the process of organizing information obtained from what is known, both from reading literature and experiences during associating with peers. Some of the bullying behaviors include verbal terror (calling names, threatening), physical terror (hitting, kicking, damaging the victim’s possession), and social terror (exclusion, hoaxes),
to the latest forms of attacks through mass media or the internet (Disseminating & Salmivalli, 2017).

But for victims of bullying, it is rather difficult to distinguish between friendship and terror. Although parents and teachers consider the interaction carried out as bullying (Mishna, Wiener and Pepler, 2008), Teenagers prefer the meaning of friendship and forgiveness to ensure the peace of heart rather than feel the physical and psychological effects of bullying (Barcaccia et al., 2018), because it is considered a form of friendship that is very satisfying (Woods, Done and Kalsi, 2009), so it becomes a moral dilemma for teachers between wanting to protect and blame themselves when not helping victims (Brüggemann et al., 2019). In addition, bullying carried out by early adolescents is nothing more than the effect of a period of moral release. The period of moral release allows adolescents not to feel guilty when committing crimes and to the individual trying to escape moral sanctions. (Sijtsma et al., 2014), thus requiring an explanation by educators (Hymel and Bonanno, 2014) and maximum involvement of parents in controlling bullying behavior (Travlos et al., 2018).

The knowledge possessed is in vain and will be covered by a period of moral release from the process of growth and development, and adolescents are more concerned with fulfilling the needs of growth and development rather than obeying the knowledge they have, so adolescents feel facilitated by destructive actions without feeling depressed (Moore, 2015). That is why the knowledge possessed cannot be used as a guide to stop bullying behavior in adolescents, even though it is rarely done. A strong understanding of the effects of bullying needs to be given to adolescents. The results showed an increase in knowledge of bullying behavior after training with pedagogical creative approaches to students (Salbon, Leong and Har, 2017). Thus the hope aimed at adolescents to get through the period of growth and development without hurting peers. This study uses a small sample of 80 respondents, There several limitations in this study. Results can be confused by periods of moral release experienced by adolescents with behavior that feels innocent about their behavior.

CONCLUSION

In short, the findings of this study indicate that knowledge has positive implications for controlling bullying behavior for adolescents, although bullying behavior is always done by adolescents, nothing but to maintain friendship. The results of this study have clinical practice implications for preparing adolescents for growth and development (moral release) in order to control aggressive behavior with peers and others when more information is obtained about bullying behavior.
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