# THE PSYCHOLOGICAL DETERMINANTS TOWARD THE VALUE OF HEALTHY FOOD AMONG TYPE 2 DIABETES MELITUS CONSUMERS

#### Nurliza1\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agribusiness, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tanjungpura, Pontianak, Indonesia, \*E-mail: nurliza.spmm@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Adults and middle-aged food consumers are the most at risk of type 2 diabetes, and these consumers are varied across demographics. While few and fragmented studies are associated with psychological determinants and healthy food value through food choices. Few consumer roles are involved in research for business success. The psychological determinants toward the value of healthy food for type 2 diabetes consumers used consumer behavior and psychological theories of consumer choices. An associative method with purposive sampling was conducted from 165 adults and middle-aged consumers with type 2 diabetes using Structural Equation Modeling/SEM. The finding showed that the belief, attitude, and mood affected the value of healthy food through food choice, but not psychological distress. The attitude had the greatest effect on food choice and toward the value of healthy food, and the causal of the highest result effect of life experiences. The belief was directly affected food choice and value, and the causal for the highest effect of self-consciousness and health maintenance. The mood negatively affected both food choice and value of healthy food. Life experience was the most direct and indirect effect of food choice and self-consciousness was the most direct effect of the value of healthy food. Some highlights of this study were (i) market segmentation and segmentation variable; (ii) social marketing; (iii) mass media campaign by considering attitude, belief, and mood; and (iv) human capital management strategy with targeted audiences.

Keywords: food choice, psychological determinant, value of healthy food, type 2 diabetes, consumer.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Recently, adults and middle-aged food consumers are the most at risk of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes is corresponding to 6.28% of the world's population (Abdul et al., 2020). It is reported as one of the nine leading causes of death (Abdul et al., 2020), and particularly bring substantial economic loss in developing countries (WHO, 2014; WHO, 2016). Nutrition transition (Lucchese et al., 2016), sedentary lifestyle (Basiak-Rasała et al., 2019; Park et al., 2020), and obesity (Bertoglia et al., 2017) are important risk factors that lead to diabetes.

Meanwhile, type 2 diabetes consumers are more diverse in the future (Faerch et al., 2016) and varied across demographics (Cheng et al., 2019), leading to heterogeneity changes in purchasing behavior (Oster, 2018). These heterogeneity changes of consumer behaviors are a market challenge and beneficial for market segmentation (Zanden et al., 2017), and consumer satisfaction– loyalty (Fuentes-Blasco et al., 2014). Besides, there are significant response to diabetes-related products in food items, timing of purchases, combination of purchases, etc. in households food purchase (Oster, 2018).

Furthermore, type 2 diabetes consumers need to make sensible food choices. Understanding individual food choices and healthy food are critical (Chen & Antonelli, 2020) for underlying healthy eating. Informed food choices will promoted healthier consumers (Castres, 2015). Healthy foods are associated with decreament of diabetes case (Nagarajan et al., 2017), and healthy eating help managing long-term health (Swanson & Maltinsky, 2019), even if it is not low cost (Fanzo et al., 2020).

In addition, few studies associated psychological determinants with healthy food values through food choices for type 2 diabetes consumers. Some issues of treatment adherence have been acknowledged for years, which are diverse cost-effectiveness of interventions and a few consumer role research for business success (Roberts et al., 2017; Soler et al., 2018; Khunti et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2020). While the literature on the value of healthy food to improve diet quality in the population is still fragmented (Heijden et al., 2020).

Diabetes consumers also demand psychological (Swanson & Maltinsky, 2019; American-Diabetes-Association, 2019), and distinctive psychosocial needs (Bhat et al., 2020). Diabetes impacts cognitive, emotional, behavioral, and social factors in psychosocial aspects (Kalra et al., 2018). Hardcastle et al. (2015) show the importance of psychological factors on food choices. While psychological distress, belief, attitude, and mood are a response to eating behavior (Heewon et al., 2018; Wehling & Lusher, 2019; Bartkiene et al., 2019; Bemanian et al., 2021). Personality (Smith, 2020), motive (Poeller, 2021), and attitude (Wu et al., 2021) are essentially driving a person to seek satisfaction.

Meanwhile, values ensure strategic perspective and fundamental behavior of purchasing decision. The valuation of value is a relational process between value and the object to be valued (Himes & Muraca, 2018). The purchasing decisions reflect the values, beliefs, and collective needs of consumers (Yu & Lee, 2019). On the other side, the necessity of type 2 diabetes consumers value generating appropriate psychographic segmentation because of lifestyle changes (Van Huy et al., 2019), and customers loyalty (Gajanova et al., 2019) in the relevant market.

This study tried to build simultaneous relationship between psychological determinant and value of healthy food through food choices using consumer behavior theory (Mowen, 1995) and psychological theory of consumer choice (Hansen, 1976). The perceived health benefits influenced type 2 diabetes consumer behavior (Ali et al., 2018), which make value considerations and show the predictability of consumers behaviors for marketers. While psychological theory of consumers choice characterized by decision making that related to psychological process (Hansen, 1976), focused on the nature of needs and consumers motivations (Gârdan & Gârdan, 2015), and making decision in consumption (Vainikka, 2015).

# METHOD

The research used an associative method with purposive sampling from a cross sectional design of 165 adults (aged over 18 years or older) and middle-aged (aged about 45 to 65) food consumers of type 2 diabetes in West Kalimantan Province in 2021 that has continued to increase over the last 6 years (Pramoedyo & Sumarminingsih, 2018; Kemenkes, 2018; Arifin et al., 2019). The participants had been recruited through a nonproportional sampling using semi-structured questionnaire with an in-depth interview to deepen and sharpen the understanding of reason and reflective listening (Brounéus, 2011).

There were two phases of the study. First, identify type 2 diabetes consumer characteristics, which include gender, formal education, occupation, family member, expenditure (Seng et al., 2021), metabolic rate, diabetes status, and period of having diabetes (Caron et al., 2016). Second, to build simultaneous relationship of psychological determinants (Heewon et al., 2018; Wehling & Lusher, 2019; Bartkiene et al., 2019; Bemanian et al., 2021; Smith, 2020; Poeller, 2021; Wu et al., 2021) toward the value of healthy food (Himes & Muraca, 2018) through food choices (Yu & Lee, 2019) using Structural Equation Modelling or SEM (Narimawati & Sarwono, 2017) due to the great flexibility of SEM (Gana & Broc, 2019) with Lisrel software.

The psychological determinants toward food choices were consisted of psychological distress, belief, attitude, and mood. Anxiety, apathy, depression, fatigue, insomnia, and guilty were included in psychological distress (RACGP, 2016; Darwish et al., 2018; Stevanovic et al., 2019; Amankwah-poku & Amankwah-poku, 2020). Religious, cultural, opinion were included in belief (Gorter et al., 2011; Ameyaw & Ameyaw, 2020; Omodaraa et al., 2021). Personality, traveling experience, and perceived constraint were included in attitude (Izadi et al., 2015; Pretty et al., 2016; Adu et al., 2019). Positive mood and negative mood were included in mood (Du et al., 2021).

While food choices were measured on individual measurements, such as selfconsciousness, emotional intelligence, and life stage. Family, life experience, food origin, and health maintenance were included in the value of healthy food (Pathak, 2014; Pamungkas et al., 2017; Fonseca et al., 2018; Idris et al., 2019).

In SEM, there were six key procedural steps (Thakkar, 2020): (i) model specification; (ii) model identification; (iii) model estimation; (iv) model testing; and (v) model modification. The value of healthy food for type 2 diabetes consumers model combine measured and latent variables as predictive variables, both exogenous (i.e. psychological distress, belief, attitude, and mood) and endogenous (i.e. food value and food choice). The measurement model indicates how observed indicators linked to underlying latent variables, while the structural model indicates how the latent variables linked to each other (Gana & Broc, 2019).

The 5-point Likert scale was used to measure indicators of a latent variable (i.e. strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, and strongly agree) without successive interval (MSI) methods because RMSEA (Root Mean Square Error Approximation) value had the same conclusion in the testing model suitability (Pramoedyo & Sumarminingsih, 2018).

#### **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Characteristics of Type 2 Diabetes Consumers**

An overview understanding of consumers characteristics for better comprehending type 2 diabetes consumers' perceived value of healthy food value through food choice, which included gender, formal education, occupation, family members, expenditure, metabolic rate, diabetes status, and period of having diabetes were presented in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that most type 2 diabetes consumers were older adult male with low to moderate formal education, housewive, 3 members of the family, with 2,000,000 - 5,000,000 rupiah of expenditure per month. Most of them were overweight with low BMR for more than 5 years of period. Thus, their diabetes status were uncontrolled condition.

Type 2 diabetes consumers of older adults both men and women are reaching epidemic in many countries (Bradley, 2016) due to increasing rates of overweight and obesity, combined effects

 
 Table 1. The Characteristics of Type 2 Diabetes Consumers

Consumers	
Characteristics	%
Gender	
Male	51.52
Female	48.48
Formal Education (year)	
< 6	1.21
6 - 9	9.09
9 - 12	68.48
> 12	33.33
Occupation	
Farmer	1.82
Government employee	10.91
Private employee	13.94
Entrepreneur	25.45
Retired	9.09
Housewife	38.79
Family Member (people)	
1	3.64
2	9.09
3	44.24
4	32.73
5	13.94
6	5.45
> 9	3.03
Expenditure (rupiah/month)	
< 2,000,000	15.76
2,000,000 - 5,000,000	75.76
> 5,000,000 - 10,000,000	15.15
> 10,000,000	5.45
Body Dimention	
Weight (kg)	
< 50	7.88
50 - 68	38.18
> 68	53.94
Height (cm)	
< 160	9.09
160 - 170	75.76
> 170	15.15
Basal Metabolic Rate/BMR (kcal)	57.04
< 1,400	57.24
1,400 - 1,600	37.88
> 1,600	4.88
Diabetes status	A.E. A.E.
Uncontrollable	45.45
Moderate controlled	39.39
Very restrained	15.15
Period of having diabetes (year):	17 07
< 5	47.27
≥5	52.73

of unhealthy eating habits (Afroz et al., 2019), physical inactivity and poor healthy lifestyles (Galaviz et al., 2018). Besides, the control of type 2 diabetes consumers was inadequate because of smokeless tobacco (Afroz et al., 2019). While low BMR stimulated the risk of insulin resistance metabolism (MacIak et al., 2020). Thus, market segmentation and variables segmentation can be used to form the heterogeneous market into relatively homogenous clusters (Wilhelm, 2015).

# Psychological determinants toward the value of healthy food

The validity and reliability test are important in the second phase to build the simultaneous relationship of psychological determinants toward the value of healthy food through food choices using Structural Equation Modelling/SEM as presented in Table 2.

Table 2 shows the quality of research, meaning that the results can be accurately interpreted, and there was a consistency in measuring outcomes (Schumacker & Lomax, 2010). While the goodness of fit-test that described how well the model fits into a set of observations is presented in Table 3.

Table 3 shows that there were eleven criteria in proper conclusions for the interpretation as

Table 2. Construct Reliability (CR) and Variance Extracted (VE)

Criteria	Value of healthy food	Food choices	Psych. distress	Belief	Attitude	Mood
Std. loading factor of VE	0.97	0.69	1.22	0.75	0.85	0.77
Errors of VE	1.01	0.66	0.55	0.78	0,61	0.53
VE	0.51	0.57	0.82	0.65	0.50	0.88
Overall VE			> 0.50			
Std. loading factor of CR	1.44	1.23	1.11	1.12	1.02	1.08
Errors of CR	1.21	0.67	0.81	0.63	0.78	0.80
CR	0.87	0.61	0.69	0.59	0.77	0.99
Overall CR			$\geq 0.70$			

Note: accepted if  $CR \ge 0.7$  and VE > 0.50

## Tabel 3. Goodness of Fit-Test

Criteria	Std. value	Initia	al	Final	
Criteria		Estimate	Conclusion	Estimate	Conclusion
Chi-Square /χ <sup>2</sup>	Small value	698.07	Poor	474.99	Good
$\chi^2/DF$	$1.0 \ge x \le 5.0$	3.49	Poor	3.21	Good
NCP	Small value with a narrow interval	455.30 (381.54; 536.65)	Poor	269.29 (212.12); 334.11)	Good
SNCP (NCP/n)	Small value	3.04	Poor	1.79	Good
RMSEA	$\leq 0.08$	0.12	Poor	0.11	Good
ECVI	Small value and close to saturated ECVI	I=8.87 M=4.64 S=3.09	Poor	I=8.87 M=3.82 S=3.09	Good
AIC	Small value and close to saturated AIC	I=1544.55 M=761.30 S=506.00	Poor	I=1454.22 M=627.29 S=506.00	Good
CAIC	Small value and close to saturated CAIC	I=1544.55 M=978.91 S=1544.8	Poor	I=1544.55 M=1058.4 S=1544.80	Good
PGFI	0-1	0.58	Good	0.48	Good
RMR	≤ 0.05	0.081	Good	0.066	Good

presented in a structural model in Figure 1 and path diagram analysis in Table 4.

Figure 1 shows that each box images are the indicator of latent exogenous variables (observed variables) that correspond to the latent endogenous variables. All covariance of the observed variables described the relationship between observed variable and latent variable. Psychological distress, belief, attitude, and mood explained 73% of food choice and 90% of value of healthy food in a structural model. The finding showed that belief, attitude, and mood affect the value of healthy food through food choice, but not psychological distress







Figure 1. Structural model of psychological determinants toward the value of healthy food

due to the healthy food category (Teufel-Shone et al., 2018).

Within belief variables, religion and culture were positively affect the value of healthy food through food choice but not opinion. Consumers with different culture and religion are varied in all aspects of food choice and value of healthy food (Sibal, 2018). While opinions are not related to the potential moral issue of food sources, and low awareness of thoughts related to healthy food (Ronteltap et al., 2012).

Among the attitude measurements, the positive aspects of personality traits affected the value for healthy food through food choice (Najmeh et al., 2021), this research was contrary to El Ansari et al. (2014) due to gender, food insecurity (Leung et al., 2020), and lower socioeconomic status (Spinosa et al., 2019). The value of healthy food has physical and mental health benefit for a long-term investment of well-being (Wahl et al., 2017). While travel experiences are positively related to food choice, affect the value of healthy food because of need and perception (van der Velde et al., 2019), and influence the destination of food experiences (Björk & Kauppinen-Räisänen, 2017).

In mood measurement, the negative and positive emotion were associated with food choices (Ashurst et al., 2018) that lead to eating behavior (Reents et al., 2020) and basis in value of healthy food. A negative mood or low mood leads to indulgent food or unhealthy food over healthy food (Gardner et al., 2014). The improved mood is correlated with more healthy eating (Leeds, 2020). Besides food can serve emotional consolation (Cardoso et al., 2020) and reductions of mood swings (Jenkins et al., 2016) though vary through sociodemographic (Cardoso et al., 2020). While eating healthy food has a positive effect on certain size, composition, expectation, and need (Gibson, 2006).

In the food choice variable, the selfconsciousness and emotional intelligence were positively related to food choice and toward the value of healthy food due to the preference in choosing healthy food (Hanspal & Devasagayam, 2017). However, the life stage was not related to food choice and toward value for healthy food because of the sociodemographic characteristic differences (Konttinen et al., 2021), life transitions (Winpenny et al., 2018), and external impact (Chen & Antonelli, 2020).

Value of healthy food are inevitable consequences of the evolving global culture and environment. Consumers reportedly prefer value drivers of health, wellness, and safety over traditional value (i.e. price, taste, and convenience) (Godfray et al., 2010). Thus, life experience and food origin were positively related to the value of healthy food (Farhud, 2015). Life satisfaction, a self-transcendence orientation, and wisdom stimulated life experience for healthy food (Le, 2011). While, food origin is a normative sense of quality and ethnocentrism because of education and income level (Yormirzoev et al., 2019). In addition, family eating habits are dominant factor for healthy food choice and eating behavior due to interaction between individuals in a family (Risti et al., 2021).

Health maintenance was not related to the food choice and toward the value of healthy food which was contrary to Reitmeier (2016) and Jun et al. (2014) because of the sociodemographic characteristic differences (Konttinen et al., 2021) such as formal education, occupation, family members, expenditure, preference changes (Vilaro et al., 2018), and external impact (Chen &

Table 4.	Path Diag	ram Analysis
----------	-----------	--------------

Path diagram analysis	Estimate coef.	Conclusion	Direct effect	Indirect effect
Psychological distress → Food choice	0.19	Not significant	0.19	-
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Belief} \rightarrow \text{Food} \\ \text{choice} \end{array}$	0.22	Positive significant	0.22	-
Attitude $\rightarrow$ Food choice	0.75	Positive significant	0.75	-
Mood→ Food choice	-0.28	Negative significant	-0.28	-
Psychological distress → Value	0.21	Not significant	0.21	0.21
$Belief \rightarrow Value$	0.25	Positive significant	0.25	0.25
Attitude → Value	0.84	Positive significant	0.84	0.84
$Mood \rightarrow Value$	-0.31	Negative significant	-0.31	-0.31
Food choice $\rightarrow$ Value	1.11	Positive significant	1.11	-

Antonelli, 2020). Furthermore, there were direct and indirect effect of psychology determinants on value for healthy food through food choice as presented in path diagram analysis in Table 4.

Table 4 shows that the attitude had the strongest direct effect on food choice and toward the value of healthy food, followed by the mood and belief. An increase in 1.0% of attitude led to a direct increase in 0.75% of food choice and 0.84% of the value of healthy food. However, mood negatively affected both food choice and value of healthy food, an increase in 1.0% of mood led to a direct decrease in 0.28% of food choice and 0.31% of the value of healthy food. Belief was directly affected the food choice and value, an increase in 1.0% of belief led to a direct increase in 0.22% of food choice and 0.25% of the value of healthy food. Food choice affected value of healthy food. An increase in 1.0 % of food choice led to a direct increase in 1.11% of values of healthy food. While measurement model is presented in Table 5.

Table 5 shows that life experience, followed by food origin, family, and emotional intelligence had the strongest direct effect of food choice. The life experience, followed by food origin, and family also had the highest positive indirect effect on the food choice. Self-consciousness had the direct effect of the value of healthy food, which is consistent with Souter & Keller (2002) due to the different interactivity of cognitive, affective, and normative aspect (Luomala, 2007). The value of healthy was food positively and directly affected by the attitude and behavioral intention (Jun et al.,

Tabel 5. Measurement model

	Direct effect		Indirect effect		
Indicator of latent variable	of food choice	of the value of healthy food	of food choice	of the value of healthy food	
On food choice					
Emotional intelligence	0.13	-	-	-	
Self- consciousness	0.10	-	-	-	
On the value of healthy food					
Family	0.13	0.12	0.13	-	
Life experience	1.24	1.11	1.24	-	
Food origin	-0.21	-0.19	-0.21	-	

Tabel 6.	Effect of belief, attitude, the mood on the
	indicator of food choice and the value of
	healthy food

Indicator of latent variable	Belief	Attitude	Mood		
On food choice					
Emotional intelligence	0.03	0.10	-0.04		
Self-consciousness	0.02	0.08	-0.03		
Life stage	0.04	0.14	-0.05		
On the value of healthy food					
Family	0.03	0.10	-0.04		
Life experience	0.28	0.93	-0.34		
Food origin	-0.05	-0.16	0.06		
Health maintenance	0.02	0.05	-0.02		

2014). In addition, family norm as family identity reduced the food choice conflict (Cong et al., 2013). Table 6 presented the effect of exogenous variables on endogenous indicators.

Table 6 corroborated the previous result that the highest total effect of psychological determinants is a life experience. The life experience had greater positive indirect effect to food choice due to the difference in interactivity of cognitive, affective, and normative aspect (Luomala, 2007). The highest effect on the food choice and value of healthy food was attitude. The mood had a negative effect on all of the indicators of food choice and value of healthy food, except food origin. In food choice indicators, all psychological determinants had the highest effect on life stage, while the smallest was selfconsciousness. In the value of healthy food indicator, all psychological determinants had the highest effect on life experience, while the smallest was health maintenance. The attitude was the causal for the highest result effect on life experience. The belief was the causal for the highest effect on self-consciousness and health maintenance, and the mood was the causal for the smallest effect on health maintenance.

Therefore, some suggestions can be taken into consideration regarding type 2 diabetes consumers as follow. First, social marketing with an emphasis on timely acceptance and usage of attitude, belief, and mood to achieve behavior change (Kalra & Sahay, 2016). Second, mass media campaign to influence consumers' change and make healthy behavior more than the norm by considering attitude, belief, and mood of type 2 diabetes consumers (WHO, 2016). Third, enhancing value for type 2 diabetes consumers using elements of health care marketing that build consumer satisfaction–loyalty (Fuentes-Blasco et al., 2014; Health et al., 2021). The organization determines differentiation of human potential and its involvement in various organizational processes or human capital management strategies (Toszewska, 2019) with target audience (Wilhelm, 2015).

# CONCLUSION

The belief, attitude and mood affected the value of healthy food through the food choice, but not psychological distress. Psychological distress, belief, attitude, and mood explained 73% of food choices and 90% of the value of healthy food. The attitude had the greatest direct effect on the food choice and toward the value of healthy food, followed by the mood and belief. Life experience resulted the biggest direct and indirect effect of the food choice. The self-consciousness had the highest direct effect on the value of healthy food. Some highlights of this study were (i) market segmentation and segmentation variables, (ii) social marketing, (iii) mass media campaigns by considering attitude, belief, and mood, and (iv) human capital management strategy with target audiences.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express sincere gratitude to the DIPA, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tanjungpura for funding the research.

# REFERENCES

- Abdul, M. B. K., Hashim, M. J., King, J. K., Govender, R. D., Mustafa, H., & Kaabi, J. Al. (2020). Epidemiology of Type 2 Diabetes: Global Burden of Disease and Forecasted Trends. *Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health*, 10(1), 107-111. https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/10.2991/jegh.k.191028.001
- Adu, M. D., Malabu, U. H., Malau-Aduli, A. E. O., & Malau-Aduli, B. S. (2019). Enablers and barriers to effective diabetes self-management: A multi-national investigation. *PLoS ONE*,

14(6), 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0217771

- Afroz, A., Ali, L., Karim, M. N., Alramadan, M. J., Alam, K., Magliano, D. J., & Billah, B. (2019). Glycaemic Control for People with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus in Bangladesh An urgent need for optimization of management plan. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–10. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-019-46766-9
- Ali, T., Alam, A., & Ali, J. (2018). Factors Affecting Consumers Purchase Behaviour for Health and Wellness Food Products in an Emerging Market. *Global Business Review 22*(1), 151-168 https:// doi.org/10.1177/0972150918795368
- Amankwah-poku, M., & Amankwah-poku, M. (2020). Wavering Diabetic Diet : " I Break the Diet and Then I Feel Guilty and Then I Don 't Go Back to It, In Case I Feel Guilty Again ". SAGE Open, 10(1), 1–13. https://doi. org/10.1177/2158244020914577
- American-Diabetes-Association. (2019). Facilitating Behavior Change and Well-being to Improve Health Outcomes: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2020. *Diabetes Care*, 43(Supplement\_1), 548565. https://doi. org/10.2337/dc20-S005
- Ameyaw, K. D., & Ameyaw, K. K. (2020). Research Topic: The realities of religious coping experiences of patients with diabetes mellitus: Implications for policy formulation in Ghana. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences, 13*, 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijans.2020.100245
- Arifin, B., Asselt, A. D. I. Van, Setiawan, D., & Atthobari, J. (2019). Diabetes distress in Indonesian patients with type 2 diabetes : a comparison between primary and tertiary care. BMC Health Services Research, 19(773), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4515-1
- Ashurst, J., van Woerden, I., Dunton, G., Todd, M., Ohri-Vachaspati, P., Swan, P., & Bruening, M. (2018). The Association among Emotions and Food Choices in First-Year College Students Using mobile-Ecological Momentary Assessments. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 573. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5447-0
- Bartkiene, E., Steibliene, V., Adomaitiene, V., Juodeikiene, G., Cernauskas, D., Lele, V., Klupsaite, D., Zadeike, D., Jarutiene, L., & Guiné, R. P. F. (2019). Factors Affecting Consumer Food Preferences: Food Taste and Depression-Based Evoked Emotional Expressions with

the Use of Face Reading Technology. *BioMed Research International*, 2019(Sp), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2097415

- Basiak-Rasała, A., Różańska, D., & Zatońska, K. (2019). Food groups in dietary prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Roczniki Panstwowego Zakladu Higieny*, 70(4), 347–357. https://doi. org/10.32394/rpzh.2019.0086
- Bemanian, M., Mæland, S., Blomhoff, R., Rabben,
  Å. K., Arnesen, E. K., Skogen, J. C., & Fadnes,
  L. T. (2021). Emotional Eating in Relation to
  Worries and Psychological Distress Amid the
  COVID-19 Pandemic : A Population-Based
  Survey on Adults in Norway. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(1), 130.
- Bertoglia, M. P., Gormaz, J. G., Libuy, M., Sanhueza, D., Gajardo, A., Srur, A., Wallbaum, M., & Erazo, M. (2017). The population impact of obesity, sedentary lifestyle, and tobacco and alcohol consumption on the prevalence of type 2 diabetes: Analysis of a health population survey in Chile, 2010. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(5), 1–11. https:// doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0178092
- Bhat, N. A., Muliyala, K. P., & Kumar, S. C. (2020). Psychological Aspects of Diabetes. *EMJ Diabet.*, 8(1), 90–98. https://doi.org/https://doi. org/10.33590/emjdiabet/20-00174.
- Björk, P., & Kauppinen-Räisänen, H. (2017). Interested in eating and drinking? How food affects travel satisfaction and the overall holiday experience. *Scandinavian Journal of Hospitality* and Tourism, 17(1), 9–26. https://doi.org/10.10 80/15022250.2016.1215871
- Bradley, D. (2016). Type 2 Diabetes in the Elderly: Challenges in a Unique Patient Population. *Journal of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology*, 2(2), 14. https://doi.org/10.23937/2469-5858/1510014
- Brounéus, K. (2011). In-depth Interviewing : The process, skill and ethics of interviews in peace research. In K. Höglund & M. Öberg (Eds.), Understanding Peace Research: Methods and Challenges, May, 240. Routledge.
- Cardoso, A. P., Ferreira, V., Leal, M., Ferreira, M., Campos, S., Guiné, & F., R. P. (2020). Perceptions about Healthy Eating and Emotional Factors Conditioning Eating Behaviour: A Study Involving Portugal, Brazil and Argentina. *Foods*, 9(1236), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3390/ foods9091236

- Caron, N., Peyrot, N., Caderby, T., Verkindt, C., & Dalleau, G. (2016). Energy Expenditure in People with Diabetes Mellitus: A Review, *Frontiers in Nutrition* 3. https://www.frontiersin. org/article/10.3389/fnut.2016.00056
- Castres, P. (2015). Informed food choices for healthier consumers. *The European Consumer Organisation, 32*(9505781573). http://www. beuc.eu/publications/beuc-x-2015-008\_pca\_ beuc\_position\_paper\_on\_nutrition.pdf
- Chen, P. J., & Antonelli, M. (2020). Conceptual models of food choice: influential factors related to foods, individual differences, and society. *Foods*, 9(12), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ foods9121898
- Cheng, Y. J., Kanaya, A. M., Araneta, M. R. G., Saydah, S. H., Kahn, H. S., Gregg, E. W., Fujimoto, W. Y., & Imperatore, G. (2019). Prevalence of Diabetes by Race and Ethnicity in the United States, 2011-2016. JAMA -Journal of the American Medical Association, 322(24), 2389–2398. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2019.19365
- Cong, L. C., Olsen, S. O., & Tuu, H. H. (2013). The roles of ambivalence, preference conflict and family identity: A study of food choice among Vietnamese consumers. *Food Quality* and Preference, 28(1), 92–100. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.08.015
- Darwish, L., Beroncal, E., Sison, M. V., & Swardfager, W. (2018). Depression in people with type 2 diabetes: current perspectives. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes.*, 11, 333–343. https://doi.org/10.2147/DMSO.S106797
- Du, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, X., Xie, C., Liu, C., Hu, W., & Li, Y. (2021). A Positive Role of Negative Mood on Creativity: The Opportunity in the Crisis of the COVID-19 Epidemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 3853. https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.600837
- El Ansari, W., Adetunji, H., & Oskrochi, R. (2014). Food and mental health: Relationship between food and perceived stress and depressive symptoms among university students in the United Kingdom. *Central European Journal* of Public Health, 22(2), 90-97. https://doi. org/10.21101/cejph.a3941
- Faerch, K., Hulmán, A., & Solomon, T. P. J. (2016). Heterogeneity of Pre-diabetes and Type 2 Diabetes: Implications for Prediction, Prevention and Treatment Responsiveness. *Curr*

*Diabetes Rev.*, *12*(1), 30–41. https://doi.org/10. 2174/1573399811666150416122903

- Fanzo, J., Drewnowski, A., Blumberg, J., Miller, G., & Kraemer, K. (2020). Nutrients, Foods, Diets , People : Promoting Healthy Eating. *Current Developments in Nutrition*, 4(6), 1–11. https:// doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzaa069
- Farhud, D. D. (2015). Impact of lifestyle on health. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 44(11), 1442–1444. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC4703222/
- Fonseca, A. L., Koyama, J., & Butler, E. A. (2018). The Role of Family of Origin in Current Lifestyle Choices: A Qualitative Secondary Data Analysis of Interracial and Same-Race Couples. *Family and Community Health*, *41*(3), 146–158. https:// doi.org/10.1097/FCH.00000000000188
- Fuentes-Blasco, M., Moliner-Velázquez, B., & Gil-Saura, I. (2014). Effect of customer heterogeneity on the relationship satisfaction– loyalty. *Revista Española de Investigación de Marketing ESIC*, 18(2), 78–92. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.reimke.2014.06.002
- Gajanova, L., Nadanyiova, M., & Moravcikova, D. (2019). The use of demographic and psychographic segmentation to creating marketing strategy of brand loyalty. *Scientific Annals of Economics and Business*, 66(1), 65– 84. https://doi.org/10.2478/saeb-2019-0005
- Galaviz, K. I., Narayan, K. M. V., Lobelo, F.,
  & Weber, M. B. (2018). Lifestyle and the Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes: A Status Report. *Am J Lifestyle Med.*, 12(1), 4–20. https://doi. org/10.1177/1559827615619159
- Gana, K., & Broc, G. (2019). *Structural Equation Modeling with lavaan* (1st ed.). New Jersey: Wiley.
- Gârdan, D. A., & Gârdan, I. P. (2015). Healthcare services consumer behavior in the light of social norms influence. Annals of "Spiru Haret". Economic Series, 15(1). https://doi. org/10.26458/1512
- Gardner, M. P., Wansink, B., Kim, J., & Park, S.-B. (2014). Better Moods for Better Eating?: How Mood Influences Food Choice. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 24(3), 320–335. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.01.002
- Gibson, E. (2006). Emotional influences on food choice: Sensory, physiological and psychological pathways. *Physiology and Behavior*, 89(1), 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. physbeh.2006.01.024
- Godfray, H. C. J., Crute, I. R., Haddad, L., Muir, J. F., Nisbett, N., Lawrence, D., Pretty, J.,

Robinson, S., Toulmin, C., & Whiteley, R. (2010). The future of the global food system. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *365*(1554), 2769–2777. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0180

- Gorter, K. J., Tuytel, G. J., Leeuw, R. R. de, Bensing, J. M., & Rutten, G. E. H. M. (2011). Opinions of patients with type 2 diabetes about responsibility, setting targets and willingness to take medication. A cross-sectional survey. *Patient Educ Couns.*, 84(1), 56–61. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pec.2010.06.019
- Hansen, F. (1976). Psychological Theories of Consumer Choice. Journal of Consumer Research, 3(3), 117–142. https://www.jstor.org/ stable/2488898
- Hanspal, S., & Devasagayam, P. R. (2017). Impact of Consumers' Self-Image and Demographics on Preference for Healthy Labeled Foods. SAGE Open. https://doi. org/10.1177/2158244016677325
- Hardcastle, S. J., Thøgersen-Ntoumani, C., & Chatzisarantis, N. L. D. (2015). Food choice and nutrition: A social psychological perspective. *Nutrients*, 7(10), 8712–8715. https://doi. org/10.3390/nu7105424
- Heewon, K., Youngshin, K., Hyung-Min, C., & Sunny, H. (2018). Relationships among behavioral beliefs, past behaviors, attitudes and behavioral intentions toward healthy menu selection. *Nutrition Research and Practice*, *12*(4), 348–354. https://doi.org/https://doi. org/10.4162/nrp.2018.12.4.348
- Heijden, A. van der, Molder, H. te, Jager, G., & Mulder, B. C. (2020). Healthy eating beliefs and the meaning of food in populations with a low socioeconomic position: A scoping review. *Appetite*, 161, 105135. https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2021.105135
- Himes, A., & Muraca, B. (2018). Relational values: the key to pluralistic valuation of ecosystem services. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 35, 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cosust.2018.09.005
- Idris, D. R., Hassan, N. S., & Sofian, N. (2019). Masculinity, Ill Health, Health Help-Seeking Behavior and Health Maintenance of Diabetic Male Patients: Preliminary Findings from Brunei Darussalam. *Belitung Nursing Journal*, 5(3), 123–129. https://doi.org/https://doi. org/10.33546/bnj.702
- Izadi, M., Hosseini, M. S., & Pazham, H. (2015). Travel Guidance for People with Diabetes ; A Narrative Review. *Int J Travel Med Glob Health.*,

3(4), 143–147. https://doi.org/10.20286/ijtmgh-0304128

- Jenkins, T. A., Nguyen, J. C. D., Polglaze, K. E., & Bertrand, P. P. (2016). Influence of tryptophan and serotonin on mood and cognition with a possible role of the gut-brain axis. *Nutrients*, 8(1), 56. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8010056
- Jun, J., Kang, J., & Arendt, S. W. (2014). The effects of health value on healthful food selection intention at restaurants: CONSIDERING the role of attitudes toward taste and healthfulness of healthful foods. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 42, 85–91. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2014.06.002
- Kalra, S., Jena, B. N., & Yeravdekar, R. (2018).
  Emotional and Psychological Needs of People with Diabetes. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.*, 22(5), 696–704. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijem.
  IJEM 579 17.
- Kalra, S., & Sahay, R. (2016). Timely insulin use: Need for social marketing. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.*, 20(5), 586–589. https://doi. org/10.4103/2230-8210.190521
- Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2018). Hasil Provinsi Utama Riskesdas 2018 Kalimantan Barat. In *Hasil Provinsi Utama Riskesdas*. http://www.depkes.go.id/resources/ download/info-terkini/materi\_rakorpop\_2018/ Hasil Riskesdas 2018.pdf
- Leeds, J. (2020). Food and Mood : Exploring the determinants of food choices and the effects of food consumption on mood among women in Inner London Food and Mood : Exploring the determinants of food choices and the effects of food consumption on mood among women in Inner. *World Nutrition*, *11*(1), 68–96. https://doi. org/10.26596/wn.202011168-96
- Leung, C. W., Stewart, A. L., Portela-Parra, E. T., Adler, N. E., Laraia, B. A., & Epel, E. S. (2020). Understanding the Psychological Distress of Food Insecurity: A Qualitative Study of Children's Experiences and Related Coping Strategies. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition* and Dietetics, 120(3), 395–403. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jand.2019.10.012
- Lucchese, T. A., Breis, Maia, A., Rucker, K., Bizarro, V. R., Araújo, L. M. M., Gomes, A., Paletti, M. T., Jesus, A. L. C. de, Duarte, M. G., & Rocha, D. R. T. W. A. K. A. (2016). Diabetes, Obesity and the Nutrition Transition in the Mercosur. *Open Journal of Endocrine* and Metabolic Diseases, 6(1), 28–37. https:// doi.org/10.4236/ojemd.2016.61005

- Luomala, H. T. (2007). Exploring the role of food origin as a source of meanings for consumers and as a determinant of consumers' actual food choices. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(2), 122–129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbusres.2006.10.010
- MacIak, S., Sawicka, D., Sadowska, A., Prokopiuk, S., Buczyńska, S., Bartoszewicz, M., Niklińska, G., Konarzewski, M., & Car, H. (2020). Low basal metabolic rate as a risk factor for development of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care*, 8(1). https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2020-001381
- Mowen, J. C. (1995). *Consumer Behavior* (5th ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall. http://kin.perpusnas. go.id/DisplayData.aspx?pId=11335&pRegionC ode=UNTAR&pClientId=650
- Nagarajan, S., Khokhar, A., Holmes, D. S., & Chandwani, S. (2017). Family Consumer Behaviors, Adolescent Prediabetes and Diabetes in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2007-2010). J Am Coll Nutr., 36(7), 520-527. https://doi.org/10.1080/07315724.20 17.1327828.
- Najmeh, Golestanbagh, Miraghajani, M., Amani, R., E., M., Symonds, Neamatpour, S., Hosein, M., & Haghighizadeh. (2021). Association of Personality Traits with Dietary Habits and Food/Taste Preferences. *International Journal* of Preventive Medicine, 12(1). https://doi. org/10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM 19 19
- Narimawati, U., & Sarwono, J. (2017). *Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Omodaraa, D. A., Gibsona, L., & Bowpitt, G. (2021). Exploring the impact of cultural beliefs in the self-management of type 2 diabetes among Black sub-Saharan Africans in the UK a qualitative studyinformed by the PEN-3 cultural modelD. A. Omodara, L. Gibson & G. BowpittTo cite this article: D. A. Omodara. *Ethnicity & Health*, 1–19. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/13557858.2021.1881764
- Oster, E. (2018). Diabetes and diet: Purchasing behavior change in response to health information. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 10(4), 308–348. https:// doi.org/10.1257/app.20160232
- Pamungkas, R. A., Chamroonsawasdi, K., & Vatanasomboon, P. (2017). A Systematic Review: Family Support Integrated with Diabetes Self-Management among Uncontrolled Type II Diabetes Mellitus Patients. *Behav Sci*

(Basel)., 7(3), 62. https://doi.org/10.3390/ bs7030062

- Park, J. H., Moon, J. H., Kim, H. J., Kong, M. H., & Oh, Y. H. (2020). Sedentary Lifestyle: Overview of Updated Evidence of Potential Health Risks. *Korean J Fam Med.*, 41, 365–373. https://doi. org/https://doi.org/10.4082/kjfm.20.0165
- Pathak, M. (2014). Diabetes mellitus type 2 and functional foods of plant origin. *Recent Pat Biotechnol.*, 8(2), 160–164. https://doi.org/10. 2174/1872208309666140904120633
- Poeller, S. (2021). Seek What You Need : Affiliation and Power Motives Drive Need Satisfaction , Intrinsic Motivation , and Flow in League of Legends. *PACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5(September), 228–288. https:// dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3474715
- Pramoedyo, H., & Sumarminingsih, E. (2018). Structural Equation Modeling on Likert Scale Data With Transformation by Successive Interval Method and With No Transformation. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 8(5), 398–405. https://doi. org/10.29322/IJSRP.8.5.2018.p7751
- Reents, J., Seidel, A.-K., Wiesner, C. D., & Pedersen, A. (2020). The Effect of Hunger and Satiety on Mood-Related Food Craving. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 2834. https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.568908
- Reitmeier, M. E. (2016). The Effect of Life Transitions and Emotions on Food Choices in Older Adults. Didapat dari: https://www. semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Effect-of-Life-Transitions-and-Emotions-on-Food-Reitmeie r/835136dc64c3510bd74ac3ba68059fde9182 23c8
- Royal Australian College of General Practitioners. (2016). General practice management of type 2 diabetes. https://www.racgp.org. au/FSDEDEV/media/documents/Clinical Resources/Guidelines/Diabetes/Generalpractice-management-of-type-2-diabetes\_1. pdf
- Roberts, S., Barry, E., Craig, D., Airoldi, M., Bevan, G., & Greenhalgh, T. (2017). Preventing type 2 diabetes: systematic review of studies of cost-effectiveness of lifestyle programmes and metformin, with and without screening, for pre-diabetes. *BMJ Open*, 7, 1–18. https://doi. org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-017184
- Ronteltap, A., Sijtsema, S. J., Dagevos, H., & de Winter, M.A. (2012). Construal levels of healthy eating. Exploring consumers' interpretation

of health in the food context. *Appetite*, 59(2), 333–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. appet.2012.05.023

- Schumacker, R. E., & Lomax, R. G. (2010). *A* Beginner's Guide to Structural Equation Modeling (Third). Oxfordshire: Taylor and Francis Group, LLC.
- Seng, J. J. B., Monteiro, A. Y., Kwan, Y. H., Zainudin, S. B., Tan, C. S., Thumboo, J., & Low, L. L. (2021). Population segmentation of type 2 diabetes mellitus patients and its clinical applications - a scoping review. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 21(1), 49. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12874-021-01209-w
- Sibal, V. (2018). Food: Identity of Culture and Religion. Scholarly Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies, 6(46), 10908-10915.https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/327621871\_FOOD\_IDENTITY\_ OF CULTURE AND RELIGION
- Smith, T. A. (2020). The role of customer personality in satisfaction, attitude-to-brand and loyalty in mobile services La personalidad del cliente en la n, actitud hacia la satisfacci o marca y lealtad en viles servicios m o. *Spanish Journal* of Marketing - ESIC, 24(2), 155–175. https:// doi.org/10.1108/SJME-06-2019-0036
- Soler, R. E., Proia, K., Jackson, M. C., Lanza, A., Klein, C., Leifer, J., & Darling, M. (2018). Nudging to change: Using behavioral economics theory to move people and their health care partners toward effective type 2 diabetes prevention. *Diabetes Spectrum*, *31*(4), 310–319. https://doi.org/10.2337/ds18-0022
- Souter, S., & Keller, C. S. (2002). Food choice in the rural dwelling older adult. Southern Online Journal of Nursing Research, 5(3), 1-19. https://www.snrs.org/sites/default/files/SOJNR/ iss05vol03.pdf
- Spinosa, J., Christiansen, P., Dickson, J. M., Lorenzetti, V., & Hardman, C. A. (2019). From Socioeconomic Disadvantage to Obesity: The Mediating Role of Psychological Distress and Emotional Eating. *Obesity*, 27(4), 559-564. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.22402
- Stevanovic, D., Habtewold, T. D., Niksić, A., Avicena, M., Mehta, G., Popović, L., Erić, A. P., Ristic, S., Ćurković, K. D., Bježančević, M., Stanković, M., Pavlović, T. A., & Knez, R. (2019). Sadikot's International Textbook of Diabetes 1st ed., p. 964. Bengaluru: Jaypee-Highlights Medical Publishers. https://doi. org/10.5005/jp/books/18148

- Swanson, V., & Maltinsky, W. (2019). Motivational and behaviour change approaches for improving diabetes management. *Practical Diabetes*, 36(4), 121–125. https://doi.org/10.1002/pdi.2229
- Teufel-Shone, N. I., Jiang, L., Rockell, J., Chang, J., Beals, J., Bullock, A., & Manson, S. M. (2018). Food choices and distress in reservationbased American Indians and Alaska Natives with type 2 diabetes. *Public Health Nutrition*, 21(13), 2367–2375. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S1368980018000897
- Thakkar, J. J. (2020). Procedural Steps in Structural Equation Modelling BT - Structural Equation Modelling: Application for Research and Practice (with AMOS and R) (J. J. Thakkar (ed.); pp. 29–34). Singapore: Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-3793-6 3
- Toszewska, W. (2019). Creating value of organization through human capital management. *Ekonomia i Prawo. Ecomomics and Law*, 17(4), : 443–457. https://doi.org/10.12775/EiP.2018.032
- Vainikka, B. (2015, June). Psychological Factors Influencing Consumer Behaviour. Paper presented in IEEE International Symposium on Power Semiconductor Devices and ICs (ISPSD). https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/38126112.pdf
- van der Velde, L. A., Schuilenburg, L. A., Thrivikraman, J. K., Numans, M. E., & Kieftede Jong, J. C. (2019). Needs and perceptions regarding healthy eating among people at risk of food insecurity: a qualitative analysis. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 18(1), 184. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-019-1077-0
- van der Zanden, L. D. T., van Trijp, J. C. M., van Kleef, P. W., & de Wijk, R. A. (2017). Understanding Heterogeneity in Decision-Making Among Elderly Consumers: The Case of Functional Foods. http://libproxy1.nus.edu.sg/login?url=https:// www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/ understanding-heterogeneity-decisionmaking-among/docview/2519038329/se-2?accountid=13876%0Ahttp://bb2sz3ek3z. search.serialssolutions.com/directLink?&atitle =Understanding+
- Van Huy, L., Chi, M. T. T., Lobo, A., Nguyen, N., & Long, P. H. (2019). Effective segmentation of organic food consumers in Vietnam using foodrelated lifestyles. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *11*(5), 1237. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su11051237
- Vilaro, M. J., Colby, S. E., Riggsbee, K., Zhou, W., Byrd-Bredbenner, C., Olfert, M. D., Barnett, T.

E., Horacek, T., Sowers, M., & Mathews, A. E. (2018). Food Choice Priorities Change Over Time and Predict Dietary Intake at the End of the First Year of College Among Students in the U.S. \_ Enhanced Reader.pdf. 10(1296), 1–13. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ nu10091296

- Wahl, D. R., Villinger, K., König, L. M., Ziesemer, K., Schupp, H. T., & Renner, B. (2017). Healthy food choices are happy food choices: Evidence from a real life sample using smartphone based assessments. *Sci Rep.*, 7, 17069. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41598-017-17262-9
- Wehling, H., & Lusher, J. M. (2019). Cognitive and Emotional Influences on Eating Behaviour: A Qualitative Perspective. *Nutr Metab Insights*, 12, 1-5. https://doi. org/10.1177/1178638819855936
- Wilhelm, A. (2015). Market segmentation of diabetes type 1 patients as potential consumers of the Artificial Pancreas. 5th IBA Bachelor Thesis Conference, 1–17.
- Winpenny, E. M., van Sluijs, E. M. F., White, M., Klepp, K.-I., Wold, B., & Lien, N. (2018). Changes in diet through adolescence and early adulthood: longitudinal trajectories and association with key life transitions. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition* and Physical Activity, 15(1), 86. https://doi. org/10.1186/s12966-018-0719-8
- World Health Organization. (2016). Global Report on Diabetes. In *World Health Organization*. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/106 65/204871/9789241565257\_eng.pdf;jsessioni d=DEC530D5D8235F4B0B66B879194923B C?sequence=1
- Wu, Y., Sun, J., Fan, F., Wang, X., & Peng, Y. (2021). The Influence of Motivation, Attitudes and Obstacles for Middle School Students' Participation in Leisure Activities on Their Leisure Satisfaction in Southwest China. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12(Desember), 5622. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3389/ fpsyg.2021.758858
- Yormirzoev, M., Teuber, R., & Li, T. (2019). Food quality vs food patriotism: Russian consumers' preferences for cheese after the food import ban. *British Food Journal 121*(2), 371-385. https:// doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-02-2018-0088
- Yu, S., & Lee, J. (2019). The Effects of Consumers ' Perceived Values on Intention to Purchase Upcycled Products. *Sustainability*, *11*(4), 1034. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041034

Zhou, X., Siegel, K. R., Ng, B. P., Jawanda,S., Proia, K. K., Zhang, X., Albright, A. L.,& Zhang, P. (2020). Cost-effectiveness of DiabetesPrevention Interventions Targeting

High-risk Individualsand Whole Populations: A Systematic Review. *Diabetes Care, 43*(7), 1593-1616.https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2337/dci20-0018.