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Abstract
This study finds out clientelism and vote buying on Kartu Bintan Sejahtera (KBS) in Bintan Regency, Riau Islands. The objective of this study is to understand the practices of clientelism and vote buying using KBS. This study based on case study research. The informants consist of General Election Commission of (KPUD) Riau Islands, candidates of the governor of Riau Islands 2015-2020, candidates of Bintan Regent 2015-2020, along with their supporting parties and campaigning team, and bureaucracy of the implementation of KBS. The main findings of this study suggest that KBS is used as a clientelism and vote buying practices by the candidate of Riau Islands governor and Bintan Regent, 2015-2020 period. This study also finds that formal and informal political networks are utilized by the candidates as a clientelism and vote buying arenas. This study not only contributes to the literature of clientelism and vote buying, but also adds the literature of social policy in the context of Indonesian local politics setting. This study suggests that KBS is used as a media to obtain the support of the voters in the election of governor of Riau Islands and regent of Bintan 2015-2020. All candidates capitalize the issue of KBS to obtain the popularity. The patterns of the practice of clientelism and vote buying in KBS is by using various media campaigns to promote the success story of KBS. Not only in formal campaign but also in informal campaign, they always promote KBS as their success.
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Introduction
This study explores the politics of social protection or social safety net policy at the local level in decentralization era. The main purpose of this study is to find out the political context of social
safety program in the setting of Indonesia’s local politics. There are two backgrounds underlying this study. The first, it is been a long time that Indonesia implements social protection policy. Since Old Order era, this policy has been implemented in Soekarno’s administration during 1945-1966. In 1952, Soekarno initiated health insurance program for the private sector workers and in 1963 it’s expanding towards government employees. However, because of the hyperinflation problem and economic stagnancy, this program was ineffective (Wie 2002).

Afterwards, in Order Baru era, social protection policies were continued to be implemented. Due to the impact of economic crisis in 1998, the New Order launched a policy, which was name Jaring Pengaman Sosial (JPS) as a response towards the economic crisis in Asia at that time (Sumarto & Suryahadi 2001, Pritchett 2005). JPS spent 17,9 trillion country budget or equal to 30 percent governmental budget in 1998. The budget was allocated for food protection, financing governmental projects and providing social service to the society (Ananta & Siregar 1999). Nevertheless, like other social protection policy, JPS unable to reach its target to reduce poverty rate because it was temporal and only for a short term.

Secondly, after the collapse of New Order, the next government still used social safety net program in the form of unconditional cash transfer (UCT) as an effort to reduce the poverty. During Susilo Bambang Yudhoyono (SBY) (2004-2014) periods, he launched a policy called by Bantuan Langsung Tunai (BLT) or Bantuan Langsung Sementara Masyarakat (BLSM), public health insurance (Jamkesmas) and rice for impoverished people (raskin) to compensate the increase of fossil fuel price (BBM). In 2005, BLT program was given to the 19,1 million impoverish households to maintain purchasing power of the society (Papanek & Basri 2010). Meanwhile, raskin was begun in 2007, at that time government returned the authority of Badan Urusan Logistik (Bulog) to control the price of rice and distribute raskin (McCulloch & Timmer 2008). In 2010, Bulog distributed 2.73 ton raskin. This amount decrease compared to the raskin distributed during 2009, 3.13 ton and 3.34 ton respectively (Suryahadi et al. 2010). Both BLT and raskin were aimed to improve the purchasing power of the societies as the impact of increasing fossil fuel price.

However, in fact, instead of helping the poor society, these policies were used by SBY regime and Democratic Party to gain popularity or vote gather in the presidential general election and legislative election in 2009 (Mietzner 2009a, Mietzner 2009b, Aspinall 2010). UCT policy launched by SBY was one of driver factor making the vote of Demokrat Party possible to increase in the legislative election, from 7.45 percent in 2004 to 2.85 percent in 2009. The policy highly influences the voter preference in the election, primarily the voter from middle and lower class. An interesting finding of this situation discovered by Mietzner (2009a:4):

“The concurrent cash payments and reduced fuel prices were extremely popular in the low-income segments of Indonesia society. While the middle class and business complained about the impact of the global financial crisis and inadequate government responses poorest Indonesian felt that after years of high inflation, costs were no falling. When asked in February 2009 whether their economic burden had become lighter or was still heavy after the government’s cash payments and other pro-poor programs, 58 percent of respondents felt that their burden had become lighter, with only 24 percent saying it was still heavy”.

Thirdly, the decentralization implemented since 1999 give an opportunity for the regional government (province/regency/city) to manage their government autonomously. It made be possible to the regional government to manage their own social protection policy at local level. A number of heads of local government give unconditional cash transfer to the poor societies in various forms, such as a scholarship for poor students, free healthcare, free school, livable house for poor people and so on. One of the breakthrough programs was Pemeliharaan Kesehatan Masyarakat Solo (PKMS) and Bantuan Pendidikan Masyarakat Kota Solo (BPMKS) implemented by Solo Mayor, Joko Widodo (Jokowi) (2005-2012). These programs were continued when Jokowi served as the Governor of capital city Jakarta (2012-2014). In Jakarta, this program was named Kartu Jakarta Pintar (KJP) and Kartu Jakarta Sehat (KJS). Because of his populist policies, Jokowi obtained sympathy from
Fourth, the successful story of Jokowi’s is copied by other heads of local government. In Riau Islands, Ansar Ahmad, Regent of Bintan, released similar policy but with a different name, which was Kartu Bintan Sejahtera (KBS). Ansar Ahmad, a politician of Golkar Party, was Bintan Regent for two period (2005-2010 and 2010-2015). He was nominated as the regent for the first period (2005-2010) with Mastur Taher. On the second period (2010-2015), he formed a pair with Khazalik from the same political party. In the middle of their administration (2013), Ansar Ahmad-Khazalik implemented a populist policy, called by Kartu Bintan Sejahtera (KBS). Currently, Ansar Ahmad is the Head of Regional Leadership Council (DPD) of Golkar of Riau Islands Province. KBS was rolled out through Bintan Regent regulation No. 21 the Year 2013 about the change of Regent’s regulation No. 58 the Year 2012 about running the implementation of KBS facilities in Bintan Regency. KBS program was launched by Bintan Regent for the first time on January 3, 2013. As asserted by Ansar Ahmad, KBS was a program to help poor society in education and health sector. The budget allocated for KBS was Rp 615.6 billion, sourced from 60 percent of Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget (APBD) in Bintan Regency, 15 percent of sharing the budget with Riau Islands Province, 20 percent from Government Budget (APBN) and five percent of society service funding (DKTM) from the companies in Bintan Regency (Batampos).

This phenomenon is an interesting issue to be discussed through the perspective of social protection policy in decentralization era. Generally, decentralization can result the process of formulating social safety program taking sides the society. In the other hand, in decentralization era, public policy could be manipulated by political elites for their personal, political and groups interests (Hadiz 2004, Robison & Hadiz 2004). Social protection policy in decentralization era could have a similar situation. It can use as contesting arena of the interest of the elites to expand their power. In this context, it is interesting to be understood whether decentralization gives benefit to the societies through the formulating policy and program which is useful to the society. Furthermore, this study try to examine whether social policy at the local level truly oriented to the public interest or it only become a tools and arena for the interest of the elites.


Surprisingly, the study conducted by many scholars focused on the politics of social policy in the setting of Latin American countries. In the context of Indonesia, only a limited number of the scholars have analyzed it, such as Sumarto (2014) and Aspinall & Sukmajati (2016). Therefore, the present study fills the gap in recent literature about the political dimension of social policy in the setting of Indonesian case. Politically, the form and government system of Latin American countries are different with Indonesia. Many Latin American countries use federalism, whereas Indonesia use decentralization. In many ways, decentralization implemented in Indonesia has a significant difference with the federalized Latin American countries (Erawan 1999). Moreover, the design of social policy of Latin American countries also has a difference of type than social policy implemented in Indonesia. The difference lies in the policy character. The program of cash transfer
in Latin American countries requires the using of its program only to buy the basic needs known as conditional cash transfers (CCT) (Lindert et al. 2007, Lomeli 2008, Soares, Ribas, & Osório 2010, Soares 2011, Sugiyama 2011, Fenwick 2013). Meanwhile, BLT delivered in Indonesia was unconditional policy, except registered as recipient (Satriana 2008, and Dwiputri 2017).

**Research Method**

This study was a case study research by using explorative approach. Case study approach was used to explore the problem in certain cases or bounded system, which was unique and interesting either one or some cases together or multiple bounded systems in such detail. It also used the process of collecting the data directly and deeper using collecting data technique, such as observation, interview, audio-visual resource, documents and report. It were analysed in a descriptive way (Creswell 2007:73). The case of political dimensions of KBS in Bintan Regency was explored in a deeper way by investigating the problems relating to the research, understanding the tendency relating to the case, and generalizing it into a conclusion.

The data were gathered through interview, observation and collecting secondary data. The interview was conducted using the interview guidelines already prepared. The pattern of the interview was an in-depth interview with dig the information deeper and the data obtained from the participants. The data and information gathered from the participants would be recorded and saved. Then, the researchers made the transcript, in order to make it easier to analyse. The data were also gathered through observing the phenomenon directly at the field related to the focus of this research. The findings obtained in the field will be recorded through fields notes. The documentation technique was also used as another way to collect secondary data through collecting data from a local newspaper in Tanjungpinang City, such as Haluan Kepri, Tanjungpinang Pos, Batam Pos dan Bintan News. The data collected from the newspapers were the news and political campaign of governor candidates of Riau Islands and Bintan regent candidates for 2015-2020 period. The news were collected into scrapbook and coding, as well as being interpreted in order to prove the practice of clientelism and vote buying in the election of Riau Islands governor and Bintan regent for the election period 2015-2020.

In order to understand and revealing the politics of KBS in Bintan Regency, therefore the subject in this research could be classified based on certain category consisted of; politician (Ansar Ahmad dan his pair in Governor election of Riau Islands 2015-2020, Khazalik and his pair in election Regent election along with their competitor), the citizen of Riau Islands, public figure, youth figures, NGOs activist, academics, campaign team, political consultant, and political party proposing Khazalik in Regent Bintan election 2015-2020, executing bureaucracy of KBS, Regional Election Commission (KPUD) Kepri and KPUD Bintan Regency, and local mass media.

**The description of KBS**

*KBS* program was established because of the phenomenon of the poverty in Bintan Regency. Based on the data of the Central Bureau of Statistics (*BPS*) of Riau Islands which was known that the total of poor people in Bintan Regency in 2013 was 149.120 or equal to 6.23 percent of its total population. In 2012, recorded the total of poor people was 147.212 or 6.29 percent, in 2011 there are 145.057 (6.04 percent) and in 2010 the total143.020 or 7.33 percent. Although, overall the percentage of poor people decreasing, the reality is often different with the data.

*KBS* is a program to overcome impoverishment held by the government of Bintan Regency since 2013. *KBS* is a identity card given to the head of poor family and head of the helpless family in Bintan Regency. This card is given based on the national parameter which has been set nationally about the indicator of a poor family. The implementation of *KBS* program is conducted with the regulation of Bintan regent No. 84 the Year 2014 about the guidelines of the implementing *KBS* facility in Bintan Regency. In the practice, *KBS* is divided into two categories, namely yellow and blue *KBS*. Yellow *KBS* is used to cover healthcare fee and education fee.
Table 1.
The total and percentage of poor population in Bintan Regency in 2010-2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>The Total Population Based on Gender (M+W/Person)</th>
<th>The Total of Poor Population (000)</th>
<th>The Percentage of Poor Population (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>143.020</td>
<td>10,5</td>
<td>7,33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>145.057</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>6,04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>147.212</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>6,29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>149.120</td>
<td>9,3</td>
<td>6,23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Central Bureau of Statistic of Riau Islands Province (2010-2013)

Table 2.
Hospital and school of KBS partner

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Health Facilities</th>
<th>Education Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Puskesmas Bintan District</td>
<td>SD/MI Bintan District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RSUD Bintan District</td>
<td>SLTP/MtsN Bintan District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RSUD Tanjungpinang</td>
<td>SLTA/MA Bintan District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RSUP Riau Islands</td>
<td>Pesantren Madani Bintan District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RSUD Riau Islands, Tanjung Uban</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RSAL Midiyato</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>RSOB Batam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>RSCM Jakarta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RS Jiwa Panam, Pekanbaru</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>RS Kanker Darmais</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>RS Jantung Harapan Kita, Jakarta</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BPMPKB Bintan Regency

KBS can be used as the substitute of Surat Keterangan Tidak Mampu (SKTM) because there are many problems for the society to apply SKTM, for instance the difficulty of its requirement because of the red-tape bureaucracy. The criteria of KBS recipient are must be Bintan Regency citizen or they have Bintan ID card, domiciled in Bintan for three consecutive years, the citizen with earnings under Rp1 million, the family with chronic illness and does not have health facility card or another education card.

Based on the data Community Empowerment Agency Women and Family Planning (BPMPKB), in 2014, the total of KBS recipient was 14.955 head of the family. The amount of the recipient of yellow KBS was 9.224 and the total of the recipient of blue KBS was 5.731 head of the family. The data of the recipient of KBS were obtained from the poor citizen data released by the Central Bureau of Statistics and re-verify by the Government of Bintan Regency. These processes were conducted because KBS not only given to the poor head of the family but also given to another poor family already domiciled in Bintan Regency for three consecutive years.

For the society who did not receive KBS, requesting for submission of KBS can be carried out personally. The head of the family who did not have a family certificate and Bintan id card have to fill the form or identification from RT/RW in the form of a certificate of domicile and the statement letter of the poor. Afterwards, they have to bring those files to the district for applying KBS and make sure their SKTM is signed by the head of the village. Then, the head of the village will return those files and bring it to the district to receive the approval of sub-district head. The head of family hand over the requirement completed to the secretariat of verification team of BPMPKB of Bintan Regency. The requirements must be completed in order to pass the verification are a photocopy of certificate, photocopy of id card, domiciled letter and SKTM. The files have to submit to the BPMPKB of Bintan
Then, it were proposed and submitted to the verification team. The verification team will verify the application files whether it is already completed or not. Then, the team will decide whether the application already fulfil the requirement to issued KBS card or not.

### Table 3.
The recipient of KBS in 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>KBS Type</th>
<th>Total (Head of Family)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yellow KBS</td>
<td>9,224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Blue KBS</td>
<td>5,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,955</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BPMPKB Bintan Regency

Besides completing the file, the verification team will check the applicant names whether they appropriate with the criteria of KBS recipient. If the names are not on the list of family who had to receive social aid, such as raskin, unlivable house (RTLH), and other social aids, the verification team will visit the house of the head of family to make sure the real condition whether they are suitable to receive the KBS. If the requirements have been completed and the name is declared to suit the requirement, then the head of a family entitled to receive the KBS. The recipients file will given to the verification team of BPMPKB to be printed. BPMPKB contact and send it directly to each head of family or they can obtain it through their own sub-district.

**Picture 1.**

*KBS distribution process*

Source: Illustrated from the Regulation of Bintan Regent No. 84 the Year 2014

### Result and Discussion

In the last general election, there were two candidates of the governor of Riau Islands and two candidates of Bintan regent. In Riau Islands, there were two candidates; Muhammad Sani dan Nurdin Basirun. In Bintan, there were also two candidates; Apri Sujadi-Dalmasri Syam.

*KPU* of Riau Islands and *KPU* of Bintan prepared the time and the steps of regional elections, from the process of registration to the announcement of the winner. The campaign process had been decided in December 2015 by *KPU* of Riau Islands. Nevertheless, every candidates have established their successful team, volunteers, and supporters. They used various ways to socialize their vision, mission, and campaign promises, including to inform the success stories of the candidates while
leading. The existence of the supporting groups was the crucial point for the candidates competing in the regional elections because they need supporting group as voter-gathered machine. It would be work hard to look for the support of the voters at the grass root.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. The governor candidate Riau Islands 2015-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Election Commission of Riau Islands Province (2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5. Bintan regent candidate 2015-2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Election Commission of Riau Islands Province (2015)

This research found that KBS is used as the practices of clientelism and vote buying by all of the candidates of governor of Riau Islands and regent of Bintan 2015-2020. In the gubernatorial election of Riau Islands, the practices of clientelism and vote buying were conducted by the candidate of vice governor, Ansar Ahmad, which was the former of Bintan regent 2010-2015. In addition, in the election of Bintan regent, it were practised by the candidate of Bintan regent, Khazalik, the former of vice regent of Bintan 2010-2015. In fact, they used KBS as a tool to legitimize their success in order to obtain the sympathy and support from the voters.

The practice of clientelism and vote buying was conducted by using every media campaigns used by Ansar Ahmad and Khazalik. In fact, the campaigns in regional election consisted of two types, namely formal and informal campaigns. The formal campaign was a media and agenda of campaign arranged by the KPU, for instances direct campaign or open campaign and the debate of the candidates. Meanwhile, the informal campaign was a hidden-campaign did not arranged by the KPU, such as deploying the supporter secretly and using public facilities for unfair campaign activities, recitation groups, etc.

In the political campaign of Ansar Ahmad dan Khazalik, they never forget to blow up the issue of KBS as one of the successful policy in their period. The campaign held by the candidates of the governor of Riau Islands consist of an opened dan closed campaigns. Muhammad Sani-Nurdin Basirun hold an closed campaign in Karimun Regency (11/28/2015) and opened campaign in Batam City (12/5/2015). Soerya Respationo-Ansar Ahmad held opened campaign in Batam City (11/29/2015) and Tanjungpinang City (12/5/2015). In every campaigns, both Soerya Respationo-Ansar Ahmad and Khazalik-Indra Setiawan always capitalize the issue of KBS to attract the voters. KBS is assumed as their successful program when they led Bintan.

On the other hand, both Ansar Ahmad and Khazalik, they always promote KBS in every moment of togetherness with their supporters. KBS is always repeated to be issued as one of the topic in their campaigns. Surprisingly, they use the formal and informal political networks as the arena of clientelism and vote buying. The formal network is the bureaucrats and the leader of bureaucracy who was still loyal to them. They are like a broker performing a propaganda mission to their subordinates. It is
conducted by telling the success stories of the incumbent by word of mouth. Before commencement of regional election, there are two factions of the bureaucrats supporting Muhammad Sani-Nurdin Basirun and Soerya Respatino-Ansar Ahmad. As the result, the bureaucracy of the government of Riau Islands also divides into two factions called by “ayah’s people” and “romo’s people”. Ayah’s people refer to the person who support Muhammad Sani-Nurdin Basirun and romo’s people are the person who supported Soerya Respatino-Ansar Ahmad. Even, the former of the secretary of the government of Riau Islands, Robert Iwan Loriaux is believed as romo’s people. The network into the structure of the bureaucracy is controlled by the elites of the bureaucracy. Their mission is to organize the voters coming from public servants. They use their structural positions to affect the public servants in order to choose their candidates.

Table 6.
The campaign of Muhammad Sani-Nurdin Basirun

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Campaign Type</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Important Person Who Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, 28 November 2015</td>
<td>Closed Campaign</td>
<td>Novotel Hotel, Karimun Regency</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday, 5 December 2015</td>
<td>Opened Campaign</td>
<td>Baloi Kolam, Batam City</td>
<td>Surya Paloh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.
The campaign of Soerya Respatino-Ansar Ahmad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Campaign Type</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Important Person Who Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, 29 November 2015</td>
<td>Opened Campaign</td>
<td>Batam City</td>
<td>Megawati Soekarno Putri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabtu, 5 December 2015</td>
<td>Opened Campaign</td>
<td>Lapangan Pamedan, Tanjungpinang</td>
<td>Ganjar Pranowo, Adrian Napitupulu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Beside the formal network, there is the informal network used by Ansar Ahmad and Khazalik as the practice of clientelism and vote buying, such as through the coffee shops located in all region of Riau Islands. Even, long time before the campaign begins, they have had supported coffee shops. There are many coffee shops in Riau Islands because it has become the tradition of the Malays (Long 2013). As the tradition of the Malays who like to join together in the coffee shops, the coffee shops are the comfort place for drinking one cup of coffee and discussing (in Malays term called by berbual-bual) various topics. The coffee shops are also believed by the candidates as not only the attract an informations but also to gain the voters. Both Ansar Ahmad and Khazalik, they have the coffee shops becoming the basis of their supporters. Ansar Ahmad and Soeryo Respatino have “Kedai Kopi Sore and AA”. Khazalik and Indra Setiawan have Kedai Kopi Khawan. The name of these coffee shops stands for their name. The coffee shops are used by the candidates to carry out the practice of clientelism and vote buying. The practice of clientelism and vote buying is conducted by giving an amount of money, basic necessities, and etc to the visitors of their coffee shops. It is performed by the candidates, the volunteers, the successful and the campaign teams. By giving these donations, the candidates hope the voters are ready to choose them in the election.

The candidates of governor of Riau Islands and the candidate of Bintan regent also use mass media to promote KBS, not only the main stream media but also unpopular media, such as Haluan Kepri, Batam Pos, and Bintan News. It is used to campaign not only their vision, mission, and strategy, but also their successful policies. One of them is KBS. Interestingly, not only the incumbents but also their challenger use KBS as a vote-gater strategy. The difference lies only in the way in campaigning it. If the incumbents promote the positive images of KBS, their challenger shows the facts about
the weakness of *KBS*. By November 30, 2015 edition of Bintan News, political advertisement of Apri Sujadi-Dalmasri Syam and Khazalik-Indra Setiawan published side by side in one full page. Khazalik-Indra Setiawan make *KBS* as their political commodities. Meanwhile, Apri Sujadi-Dalmasri Syam campaign the lack of *KBS* and they promised to improve it if they could be elected.

The findings of this study confirms several previous research (Desposato 2002, Brusco Nazareno & Stokes 2004, Magaloní Díaz-Cayeros & Estevéz 2007, Penfold-Becerra 2007, Zucco 2008, De La O 2013, Sumarto 2014) concluding that social policy is used by the elites to maintain their power. The practices of clientelism and vote buying conducted by the candidates of regional head government in this study are the effort to campaign *KBS* as the proof of their success. The motive is to enhance their positive images that they are a populist candidate. It can be understood because nowadays, populism politics is rising in contemporary Indonesia (Mietzner, 2009a; Mietzner, 2015). In the context of populism politics, what the candidates do is a battle of image to obtain the support from the voters, rather than discourses (vision, mission, strategy) battle. The image battle is artifical campaign hiding the trully reality. Nevertheless, it is necessary to enhance the electability of the candidates. As Sahab’s (2017) work who reviewed the political image conducted by Surabaya Major (2010-2015 and 2015-2020), Tri Rismaharini. Sahab (2017) revealed that everything carried out by Tri Rismaharini is a political image to show the populist image in the society. Nevertheless, the substantive problems are failed to be responded by Tri Rrismaharini.

**Conclusion**

This study suggests that *KBS* is used as a media to obtain the support of the voters in the election of governor of Riau Islands and regent of Bintan 2015-2020. All candidates capitalize the issue of *KBS* to obtain the popularity. The patterns of the practice of clientelism and vote buying in *KBS* is by using various media campaigns to promote the success story of *KBS*. Not only in formal campaign but also in informal campaign, they always promote *KBS* as their success. The formal campain used by the candidates as the media to carry out the practices of clientelism and vote buying is direct campaign and debate among the candidates. Yet, the informal campaign is such as bureaucracy networks, the coffee shops, and the mass media. This study also reveals that actually not only the incumbents but also their challengers also use *KBS* as a vote-gater strategy. This fact shows that social policy is the rational choice to reach the power. This study fruitfuls to understand clientelism and vote buying in social policy in the context of Indonesian local politics.
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