# **Original Research**

Comparison of the Effects of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Aerobic Exercise as an Adjunct Therapy in Depressed Patients on Activity of Daily Living and Quality of Life

Muhammad Yusuf<sup>1\*</sup>, Sri Mardjiati Mei Wulan<sup>1</sup>, Reni Hendrarati Masduchi<sup>1</sup>, Agustina Konginan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia.

\*Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Yusuf, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, East Java, Indonesia. Email: yusufalkindi.rehab@gmail.com

#### Article info:

Received: February 2<sup>nd</sup> 2021; Received in revised: November 1<sup>st</sup> 2022; Accepted: November 1<sup>st</sup> 2022; Published: February 17<sup>th</sup> 2023.

This is an open access article under the CC-BY license (https://creativecommo ns.org/licenses/by/4.0/)



Cite this as: Yusuf, M., Mei Wulan, S. M., Masduchi, R. H., & Konginan, A. (2023). Comparison of the Effects of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation and Aerobic Exercise as an Adjunct Therapy in Depressed Patients on Activity of Daily Living and Quality of Life. SPMRJ. 2023;5(1):32-38.

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Depression is one of the most common causes of disabilities and poor quality of life worldwide. One-fifth of patients fail to respond to antidepressant therapy. Hence, adjunct therapy is urgently needed.

**Aim:** This study aims to compare the effectiveness of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and aerobic exercise as adjunct therapy on activity of daily living and quality of life in depressed patients.

**Material and Methods:** A randomized-controlled experiment was conducted between May 2019 and January 2020. Twenty-seven depressed patients were divided into three groups for two weeks: antidepressant-only, antidepressant-and-aerobic exercise, and antidepressant-and-rTMS. The Barthel Index and SF-36 were used to assess the activity of daily living and quality of life.

**Results:** After the intervention, there were no appreciable changes in the Barthel Index scores in any of the three groups (p>0.05). Following therapy, SF-36 results for physical function, bodily discomfort, public health, vitality, social function, emotional role functioning, and mental health all showed substantial improvements across groups (p 0.05). Patients in the antidepressant and rTMS groups improved more than those in the other two groups in the areas of overall health (15.71±6.075, p=0.009), emotional role functioning (20.29±11.940, p=0.049), and mental health (14.29±6.075, p=0.041). However, the Barthel Index score changes did not differ between groups (p=0.664).

**Conclusion:** Better quality of life improvement in the rTMS group compared to the other two groups supports the use of rTMS as an additional therapy. It aims to enhance quality of life in patients with moderate and severe first-episode depression.

**Keywords**: Depression, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation, aerobic exercise, the activity of daily living, quality of life.

## Introduction

Depression is one of the biggest causes of disability, creating a global burden.<sup>1</sup> Depression is a frequent comorbid of chronic physical illnesses aggravating dysfunction and disability. More than 300 million people worldwide suffer from depression. Nearly five per cent of the world's population and one-third are from Southeast Asia.<sup>2</sup> There are 9,162,886 or 3.7 % of the population in Indonesia.<sup>2</sup>

Wide ranges of treatment options are available for depression ranging from biological treatments to psychotherapy. Nevertheless, despite all available therapeutic alternatives, a sizeable minority of patients do not improve with these medications, and nearly a fifth still experience depressive symptoms.<sup>3</sup>

Decreased functional capacity in depressed patients is associated with abnormal front-subcortical circuit function, which causes symptoms of depression and cognitive disturbance.<sup>4-8</sup> Antidepressant medication as standard management can reduce depression and improve cognitive function<sup>9,10</sup> and potentially provide side effects<sup>11</sup>. Thus, adjunct therapy is urgently needed so that a decrease in the activity of daily living and quality of life can be prevented.

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) and aerobic exercise two techniques that have been are previously investigated as adjuvant therapies for treating depression. Both therapies are known to be effective in reducing levels of depression  $\frac{12.13}{12}$  which may be associated with improved activity of daily living and quality of life and have been recommended in various depression guidelines.<sup>14,15</sup> However. management studies compare interventions absent specifically toward the activity of daily living and quality of life in a depressed patient. Thus, the present study aimed to compare the efficacy of rTMS and aerobic exercise as adjunctive therapy in depressed patients on ADL and QoL in improving activity of daily living and quality of life as an adjunct therapy in a depressed patient. The hypothesis: there is a difference in the effect of rTMS and aerobic exercise on ADL and QoL in depressed patients

receiving antidepressant treatment.

## Material and Methods

The subjects were 27 patients with first-episode depression recruited from the outpatient psychiatry clinic of Dr Soetomo Academic General Hospital. Inclusion criteria used in this study were: 1.) males and females, 2.) aged between 21-59 years old, and 3.) met the clinical criteria of moderate or severe major depressive disorder. The exclusion criteria were: 1.) poor state of public health, 2.) history of seizure, 3.) metal implants, 4.) visual disturbance, 5.) balance disturbance, 6.) lower extremity problem, and 7.) pregnant. All subjects signed an informed consent form, were given standard antidepressant medication, and then divided randomly into groups, namelv group three 1 Π (antidepressant), group (antidepressant+aerobic exercise), and group III (antidepressant+rTMS). Subjects in groups II and III received 10 sessions of therapy for 2 weeks.

Repetitive TMS treatment was performed using a Neuro MS/D TMS device. At the beginning of each session, the resting motor threshold (RMT) area and intensity were determined. Each subject received 3000 pulses of stimulation per session and delivered in 75 trains of 10 Hz rTMS stimulation at 120% of RMT to the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC). Each train lasted 4s with 26s inter-train interval. Aerobic exercises were performed using a static cycle Monark Ergometer with moderate intensity (65-75% of maximum heart rate) for 30 minutes.

The outcome measurement tools used in this study were the Barthel Index and SFevaluated before 36. and after the intervention. Statistical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). The characteristic baselines were compared using ANOVA and Kruskal-Wallis Test. The change in the Barthel Index and SF-36 before and after the treatment were analyzed using Paired T-Test and Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test. Between-group differences (delta) were analyzed using ANOVA and Kruskal

Wallis Test, and a p-value < 0.05 was considered significant. The ethical committee of Dr Soetomo Academic General Hospital approved this study.

# Results

Twenty-four subjects completed the sessions and study protocol. Three participants dropped out due to conflicting schedules and could not attend the therapy sessions, two from group III and one from group II. The homogeneity test of subjects' characteristics, whether age, sex, and educational level across groups found no significant differences.

The results of the Barthel Index assessment showed no significant

difference after therapy in each group between groups (p<0.05) and the (p=0.664). However, the result of the SF-36 assessment showed significant improvement in domains of physical function, public health, vitality, social function, emotional role function, and mental health after intervention in each group (p<0.05). Furthermore, betweengroup comparison analysis showed that patients in group III had better improvement in the domain of public health (15.71±6.075, p=0.009), emotional role functioning (20.29±11.940, p=0.049) and mental health  $(14.29\pm6.075, p=0.041)$ compared to the other two groups.



Image 1. Research flow chart

		Group			
Characteristics	Antidepressant	Antidepressant + aerobic exercise	Antidepressant + rTMS therapy	Total	Р
Mean age	30	28.38	35.43	31.04	0.231
(years)	±8.66	±11.083	±12.218	$\pm 12.218$	
Sex					
- Male	1 (11.1%)	0	1 (14.3%)	2 (8.3%)	
- Female	8	8	6	22	
	(88.9%)	(100%)	(85.7%)	(91.7%)	
Education					0.135
- Primary school	1 (11.1%)	0	0	1 (4.2%)	
<ul> <li>Junior high school</li> </ul>	0	1 (12.5%)	0	1 (4.2%)	
<ul> <li>Senior high school</li> </ul>	6 (66.7%)	6 (75%)	3 (42.9%)	15 (62.5%)	
Undergraduate	2 (22.2%)	1 (12.5%)	4 (57.1%)	7 (29.2%)	
HDRS	23	25.5	30.57	26.04	0.073
	$\pm 5.074$	$\pm 5.928$	±7.721	±6.721	

Surabaya Physical Medicine	and Rehabilitation	Journal, Vol.	5 No. 1,	February 2023
Table 1. Demographic	Characteristics of Res	earch Subjects	5	

HDRS= Hamilton Depression Rating Scale

Table 2. Pre and post-intervention comparison of the Barthel Index in each group							
Group	Pre (Mean±SD)	Post (Mean±SD)	р				
Antidepressant	98.89±3.333	99.44±1.667	0.317				
Antidepressant + aerobic exercise	98.13±3.720	99.38±1.768	0.157				
Antidepressant + rTMS therapy	97.86±3.934	99.29±1.890	0.157				

<b>Table 3</b> . Between-group comparison of change of Barthel Index ( $\Delta$ )	

_		Groups		_
	Antidepressant	Antidepressant + aerobic exercise	Antidepressant + rTMS therapy	Р
The Barthel Index	0.56±1.667	1.25±2.315	1.43±2.440	0.664

#### Table 4. Pre and post-intervention comparison of SF-36 in each group

			Groups			
	Antidepressant	р	Antidepressa nt + Aerobic	р	Antidepressa nt + rTMS	р
			exercise		therapy	
Pre	73.33±7.071	< 0.001*	85.00±4.269	0.015*	66.43±19.730	0.010*
Post	$78.67 \pm 6.782$		91.25±5.825		76.43±15.469	
Pre	55.56±32.543	0.081	59.38±18.601	0.080	$29.29 \pm 35.989$	0.112
Post	63.89±25.345		68.75±17.678		42.86±31.339	
Pre	14.67±17.393	0.102	$20.75 \pm 24.818$	0.102	23.57±16.102	0.102
Post	29.44±20.063		$37.38 \pm 21.540$		47.57±18.174	
Pre	$35.56 \pm 8.457$	0.009*	33.75±6.944	0.010*	31.43±19.303	< 0.001*
Post	40.00±9.014		$41.88 \pm 10.670$		47.14±19.117	
Pre	29.33±7.746	0.001*	34.50±12.995	0.012*	29.14±21.752	0.026*
Post	39.11±7.149		49.50±12.817		44.57±19.243	
Pre	50.22±8.843	0.034*	64.50±4.243	0.025*	41.43±21.431	0.001*
Post	57.33±9.179		$72.00\pm 5.555$		57.29±17.519	
Pre	$48.89 \pm 9.740$	0.038*	64.50±4.243	0.046*	41.43±21.431	0.001*
Post	55.89±9.198		70.50±6.211		57.29±17.519	
Pre	40.00±6.614	0.016*	49.38±13.999	0.004*	41.43±12.488	0.001*
Post	$46.67 \pm 5.000$		56.25±11.877		55.71±11.339	
	Pre Post Pre Post Pre Post Pre Post Pre Post Pre Post Pre Post Pre Post	AntidepressantPre73.33±7.071Post78.67±6.782Pre55.56±32.543Post63.89±25.345Pre14.67±17.393Post29.44±20.063Pre35.56±8.457Post40.00±9.014Pre29.33±7.746Post39.11±7.149Pre50.22±8.843Post57.33±9.179Pre48.89±9.740Post55.89±9.198Pre40.00±6.614Post46.67±5.000	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Groups           Antidepressant         p         nt + Aerobic exercise           Pre         73.33±7.071         <0.001*	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Groups           Antidepressant         p         nt + Aerobic nt + Aerobic         p         nt + rTMS nt + Aerobic           Pre         73.33±7.071         <0.001*         85.00±4.269         0.015*         66.43±19.730           Post         78.67±6.782         91.25±5.825         76.43±15.469           Pre         55.56±32.543         0.081         59.38±18.601         0.080         29.29±35.989           Post         63.89±25.345         68.75±17.678         42.86±31.339           Pre         14.67±17.393         0.102         20.75±24.818         0.102         23.57±16.102           Post         29.44±20.063         37.38±21.540         47.57±18.174           Pre         35.56±8.457         0.009*         33.75±6.944         0.010*         31.43±19.303           Post         40.00±9.014         41.88±10.670         47.14±19.117           Pre         29.33±7.746         0.001*         34.50±12.995         0.012*         29.14±21.752           Post         39.11±7.149         49.50±12.817         44.57±19.243           Pre         50.22±8.843         0.038*         64.50±4.243         0.025*         41.43±21.431           Post         57.33±9.179         72.00±5.555         57.29±1

\* = p < 0.05

Surabaya Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Journal, Vol. 5 No. 1, February 2023
<b>Table 5.</b> Between-group comparison of the change in various domains of SF-36 ( $\Delta$ )

	Groups				
Domain	Antidepressant	Antidepressant + Aerobic exercise	Antidepressant+ rTMS therapy	Р	
$\Delta$ Physical function	5.33±2.693	6.25±3.536	10.00±7.071	0.207	
$\Delta$ Role of physical functioning	8.33±12.500	9.38±12.939	13.47±19.303	0.932	
$\Delta$ Bodily pain	11.11±16.669	12.50±17.254	14.29±17.821	0.933	
$\Delta$ Public health	$4.44 \pm 3.909$	8.13±6.512	15.71±6.075	0.009*	
$\Delta$ Vitality	9.78±6.037	$15.00 \pm 8.485$	15.43±9.914	0.305	
$\Delta$ Social Function	7.11±6.754	7.50±6.211	15.86±6.256	0.099	
$\Delta$ Role of emotional functioning	$5.56 \pm 5.270$	$5\pm 5.345$	20.29±11.940	0.049*	
$\Delta$ Mental health	$6.67 \pm 5.000$	6.88±4.581	14.29±6.075	0.041*	

\* = *p* < 0.05

### Discussion

This study showed no significant changes in the Barthel Index after therapy in all three groups. This can be due to participants in each group in this study did not experience disturbances in their daily activities before the intervention. Hence, improvements achieved the bv the intervention were not significant. This was different from the research by Wada et al. $\frac{16}{10}$ They compared the level of daily activity ability of participants with and without depression and found a decrease in the group of depression participants.<sup>16</sup>

After intervention, the groups receiving antidepressants (I). antidepressants combined with aerobic exercise (II), and antidepressants combined with rTMS therapy (III) all showed significant gains in their SF-36 scores in the domains of physical function, public health, vitality, social function, emotional role function, and mental health. These domains were associated with the level of depression severity<sup>17</sup>, and the significant increase could be the result of reducing in depression produced by antidepressants. Similar results were obtained in the study al.<sup>17</sup> bv Beusterien et which the administration of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) antidepressants can reduce depression and improve the quality of life in the 6<sup>th</sup> week. The administration of SSRI worked by inhibiting serotonin transport, thereby increasing the number of neurotransmitters that were thought to cause depression.<sup>18</sup> The superiority of antidepressant and rTMS therapy combination is shown in three domains closely related to depression levels: public health, emotional role function and mental health. This is in line

with a study by Solvason et al.<sup>19</sup>, who found that adding rTMS therapy for four weeks increased SF-36 scores in the public health and mental health domains. There were many studies on rTMS as an adjunct therapy for the depressed patient. Still, because of heterogeneity in the stimulation parameters and site of stimulation making, comparison across different studies is difficult.<sup>3</sup>

A better quality of life improvement mechanism after the combination of antidepressant drugs and rTMS therapy could be associated with a better reduction in depression $\frac{20}{20}$  achieved through increased Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF) and neurotransmitter activity, which is associated with improved nerve excitability. synapse efficacy. and accelerated transmission of signal transmission in the brain.<sup>21</sup> Other factors influence the outcome of rTMS therapy. including age, gender and menopausal status.<sup>22</sup> The limitation of this study was that all subjects did not have activity daily living disorders, so the effect of rTMS as an adjunct therapy on it was invisible.

The mechanisms of aerobic exercise therapy for depression were related to psychological and physiological mechanisms, including anti-inflammatory effects, neuroplasticity mechanisms, etc. The biochemical factors involved include  $\beta$ -endorphin, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF).<sup>23</sup>

In this study, after two weeks of aerobic exercise intervention, quality of life evaluation was performed using SF-36. There were some improvements in the domain of physical function, public health, vitality, social function, the role of emotional functioning and mental health. This was similar to the study of Askari et al. in Iran, which assessed the quality of life of depressed patients with WHOQoL-BREF. The results showed improvements in the domains of psychological health and social relationships.<sup>24</sup> Social interaction seems to inhibit the negative effects of mental disorders, especially depression. It is also assumed that the positive feedback provided by social interaction through participation in exercise and physical activities increases the individual's sense of self-confidence. An effective exercise mechanism helps create and increase social inclusion, decreasing depression.<sup>24</sup> The same results were also shown by the Aydin et al. study (2021) with improved quality of life after eight weeks of aerobic exercise evaluated by WHOQoL in depressed patients due to breast cancer.<sup>25</sup> When compared between the three groups, there was a significant improvement in public mental health and emotional health. functioning in group III (antidepressant and rTMS).

# Conclusion

Improvement in quality of life was apparent in all groups. The group with rTMS as an adjunct therapy had better emotional role functioning, public health, and mental health results than the other two groups. This result supports using rTMS therapy as an adjunct treatment to improve the quality of life in patients with firstepisode moderate and severe depression. However, no change in the activity of daily living levels after the intervention was This study supports found. further investigation into the potential therapeutic applications of rTMS in a depressed patient with attention to age, gender, menopausal status, and other variables.

# References

1. WHO. The Global Burden of Disease: 2004 Update. Geneva: WHO, 2008

2. WHO. Depression and Other Common Mental Disorders: Global Health Estimates. Geneva: WHO, 2017.

3. Verma R, Kumar N and Kumar S. Effectiveness of adjunctive repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in the management of treatment-resistant depression: A retrospective analysis. Indian Journal of Psychiatry. 2018; 60(3): 329-333.

4. Bruce ML, Seeman TE, Merrill SS, and Blazer DG. The impact of depressive symptomatology on physical disability: MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging. American Journal of Public Health. 1994; 84(11): 1796-1799.

5. Bus B, Molendijk ML, Penninx B, Buitelaar JK, Kenis G, Prickaerts J, Elzinga BM, and Voshaar RO. Determinants of serum brain-derived neurotrophic factor. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2011; 36(2): 228-239.

6. Elliott R. The neuropsychological profile in unipolar depression. Trends in cognitive sciences. 1998; 2(11): 447-454.

7. Jaeger J, Berns S, Uzelac S, and Davis-Conway S. Neurocognitive deficits and disability in major depressive disorder. Psychiatry research. 2006; 145(1): 39-48.

8. McIntyre RS, Cha DS, Soczynska JK, Woldeyohannes HO, Gallaugher LA, Kudlow P, Alsuwaidan M, and Baskaran A. Cognitive deficits and functional outcomes in major depressive disorder: determinants, substrates, and treatment interventions. Depression and anxiety. 2013; 30(6): 515-527.

9. Hellweg R, Ziegenhorn A, Heuser I, and Deuschle M. Serum concentrations of nerve growth factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor in depressed patients before and after antidepressant treatment. Pharmacopsychiatry. 2008; 41(02): 66-71.

10. McLennan SN, and Mathias, JL. The depression-executive dysfunction (DED) syndrome and response to antidepressants: a meta-analytic review. International journal of geriatric psychiatry. 2010; 25(10): 933-944.

11. Rush AJ, and Nierenberg AA. Mood Disorders: Treatment of Depression. In B. J. Sadock, V. A. Sadock, & P. Ruiz (Eds.), Kaplan & Saddock's Comprehensive Textbook of Psychiatry 9 ed. Vol. 1. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2009; pp. 1734-1743.

12. Dimeo F, Bauer M, Varahram I, Proest G, and Halter U. Benefits from aerobic exercise in patients with major depression: a pilot study. British Journal of sports medicine. 2001; 35(2): 114-117.

13. Kamijo K, Hayashi Y, Sakai, T., Yahiro, T., Tanaka, K., and Nishihira, Y. Acute effects of aerobic exercise on cognitive function in older adults. Journals of Gerontology: Series B. 2009; 64(3): 356-363.

14. Malhi GS, Bassett D, Boyce P, Bryant R, Fitzgerald PB, Fritz K, Hopwood M, Lyndon B, Mulder R, and Murray G. Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists clinical practice guidelines for mood disorders. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry. 2015; 49(12): 1087-1206

15. NICE. NICE Guideline: Depression in Adults -Treatment and Management: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. 2017.

16. Wada T, Ishine M, Sakagami T, Kita T, Okumiya K, Mizuno K, Rambo TA, and Matsubayashi K. Depression, activities of daily living, and quality of life of community-dwelling elderly in three Asian countries: Indonesia, Vietnam, and Japan. Archives of gerontology and Geriatrics. 2005; 41(3): 271-280.

17. Beusterien KM, Steinwald B, and Ware Jr JE. The usefulness of the SF-36 Health Survey in measuring health outcomes in the depressed elderly. Journal of geriatric psychiatry and Neurology. 1996; 9(1): 13-21.

18. Stahl SM. Antidepressants. In Stahl's essential psychopharmacology: neuroscientific basis and practical applications. Cambridge: Cambridge university press. 2013.

19. Solvason HB, Husain M, Fitzgerald PB, Rosenquist P, McCall WV, Kimball J, Gilmer W, Demitrack MA, and Lisanby SH. Improvement in quality of life with left prefrontal transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with pharmacoresistant major depression: acute and sixmonth outcomes. Brain stimulation. 2014; 7(2): 219-225.

20. Berlim MT, McGirr A, Beaulieu MM and Turecki G. High frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation as an augmenting strategy in severe treatment-resistant major depression: A prospective 4-week naturalistic trial. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2011;130(1-2):312-317 21. Wang HY, Crupi D, Liu J, Stucky A, Cruciata G, Di Rocco A, Friedman E, Quartarone A, and Ghilardi MF. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation enhances BDNF–TrkB signalling in both the brain and lymphocytes. Journal of Neuroscience. 2011; 31(30): 11044-11054.

22. Huang CC, Wei IH, Chou YH and Su TP. Effect of age, gender, menopausal status and ovarian hormonal level on rTMS in treatment-resistant depression. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2008; 33(6):821-831.

23. Yumeng Xie, Zuotian Wu, Limin Sun, Lin Zhou, Gaohua Wang, Ling Xiao and Huiling Wang. The Effects and Mechanisms of Exercise on the Treatment of Depression. Front Psychiatry. 2021;5(12):705559.

24. Askari J, Kakhki AS, Taheri H, Yassini SM, and Hassanbeigi A. The effect of aerobic exercise on various symptoms of depression: the mediating role of quality of life. Sport Sciences for Health. 2019.

25. Aydin M, Kose E, Odabas I, Bingul BM, Demirci D, and Aydin Z. The Effect of Exercise on Life Quality and Depression Levels of Breast Cancer Patients. 2021. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 22 (3):725-732.