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ABSTRAK

Hydroxyethyl starch (HES) merupakan koloid yang sering digunakan sebagai plasma ekspander selama periode operasi. Beberapa gangguan haemostasis sudah dilaporkan akibat penggunaan HES, namun volume HES yang diberikan lebih dari 20 ml/kgBB. Tujuan penelitian ini adalah untuk mengevaluasi pengaruh Hydroxyethyl starch 200/0,5 dengan dosis kurang dari 20 ml/kg BB terhadap kadar faktor von Willebrand dan activated partial thromboplastin time. Penelitian dilakukan dengan metode proskpektif observasional. Kriteria inklusi penelitian ini adalah pasien yang menjalani operasi elektif yang mendapat HES 200/0,5 selama operasi. Empat puluh enam pasien dibagi menjadi dua kelompok yaitu kelompok pasien yang hanya mendapatkan cairan kristloid (n=23 pasien) dan HES (n=23 pasien). Koagulasi diperiksa 30 menit setelah insisi dan 60 menit setelah pemberian pemberian kristloid atau koloid. Penurunan yang signifikan pada kadar faktor von Willebrand didapatkan pada kedua kelompok. Didapatkan penurunan dari (mean± SE) 97,688± 15,219 ng/ml menjadi 31,611± 10,058 ng/ml (p< 0,001) pada kelompok kristloid dan 92,884± 15,208 ng/ml menjadi 27,378± 6,399 ng/ml (p<0,001) pada kelompok HES 200. Perubahan signifikan activated partial thromboplastin time hanya terjadi pada kelompok HES 200 dari (mean±SE) 31,27± 1,39 menjadi 35,61± 1,62 (p=0,007). Namun perubahan ini tidak signifikan secara klinis. Sebagai simpulan, tidak terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan antara kedua kelompok cairan pada kadar faktor von Willebrand atau APTT. Tidak terdapat pengaruh koagulasi yang memberikan efek klinis yang signifikan pada penggunaan HES 20 ml/kg BB selama pasien menjalani operasi elektif. (FMI 2015;51:221-227)
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ABSTRACT

Hydroxyethyl starch (HES) is a colloid administered frequently for intravascular volume expansion during perioperative period. Impairment of haemostasis have been reported during HES administration, but the volume of solution administered was usually higher than 20 ml/kg-1. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of Hydroxyethyl starch 200/0.5 dose less than 20 ml/kg-1 on von Willebrand factor serum level and activated partial thromboplastin time. A prospective, observational study was conducted to evaluate von Willebrand factor and activated partial thromboplastin time of patients receiving Hydroxyethyl starch 200/0.5. Inclusion criteria were patients undergoing elective surgery who were going to receive Hydroxyethyl starch 200/0.5 intraoperatively. Forty six patients were divided into patients receiving crystalloid only group (n=23 patients) and hydroxyethyl starch (n=23 patients). Coagulation variables were assessed 30 minute after incision and 60 minute after infusion of crystalloid or colloid. Measurement of von Willebrand within each group after crystalloid or HES 200 infusion showed significant decrease, from (mean±SE) 97.688± 15.219 ng/ml to 31.611±10.058 ng/ml (p< 0.001) in crystalloid group and 92.884± 15.208 ng/ml to 27.378± 6.399 ng/ml (p<0.001) in HES 200 group. Activated partial thromboplastin time change was statistically significant (mean±SE) 31.27± 1.39 to 35.61± 1.62 in HES group only (p=0.007), but this change was not clinically significant. In conclusion, there was neither significant difference in von Willebrand serum level nor in activated partial thromboplastin time between the two groups. There was no coagulation influence with clinically significant effect in the use of HES 20 ml/kg BW in patients undergoing elective surgery. (FMI 2015;51:221-227)
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INTRODUCTION

Hydroxyethyl starch (HES) is widely used as a plasma substitute in bleeding during surgery. HES is a synthesis of amylopectin with hydroxyethyl substitution by ethylene oxide at position C2 and C6 which is able to slow down enzymatic degradation by amylase, thereby extending its intravascular shelf life (Mills 2007, Gan
The influence of HES 200/0.5 use in decreasing levels of von Willebrand factor was observed in healthy volunteers (de Jonge et al 2001). Whereas, study on surgery patients who used HES 200/0.5 during the operation at a dose of 2.104 ± 622 showed significant APTT prolongation and decreased levels of von Willebrand factor in the group receiving HES 200/0.5, and that blood loss and the need for blood transfusions were significantly more common in patients using HES 200/0.5 (Kozek-Langenecker et al 2008).

The effect of HES on blood coagulation function in subjects in Indonesia has not been studied. This study examined the effect of HES on blood coagulation based on HES doses in Dr Soetomo Hospital, which was as much as 10-20 ml/kg during surgery. This study aimed to evaluate the effect of hydroxyethyl starch as fluid resuscitation in patients who have bleeding during surgery on von Willebrand factor level and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study used prospective observational design with sample of patients undergoing elective surgery in Gedung Bedah Pusat Terpadu (GBPT), Dr. Soetomo Hospital, Surabaya, and required crystalloid resuscitation therapy as a control group and HES as treatment group included in inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were age 18-50 years; patients undergoing elective surgery with a maximum bleeding of 20% EBV who received crystalloid resuscitation or HES 200/0.5, willing to sign the informed consent, patients with coagulation function (PT <13 APTT <33 seconds), renal or hepatic function (serum creatinine <1.2 mg/dL, alanine amino transferase <90 mg/dl, or aspartate amino transferase <70 mg/dl); and patients with Hb> 10g/dl. Exclusion criteria for the study were patients who received warfarin, heparin or low molecular weight heparin, clopidogrel or for 5 days before surgery, patients receiving oral contraceptives, and long term use of corticosteroids.

Subjects were divided into two groups: crystalloid and HES 200 groups. Both groups received crystalloid as maintenance fluid to overcome the loss of fluids through urine, gastrointestinal and respiratory during operation. The choice of the fluid depends on the bleeding condition of each patient. Blood samples were taken twice, initial for baseline level, 30 minutes after the incision, and 60 minutes after the fluid was given. Of the samples obtained, the activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) and von Willebrand factor level was measured using von Willebrand antigen (vWF: ag) with ELISA method of Elabscience.

Data obtained were in the form of means ± SE. Shapiro-Wilk test was used to test data normality. Comparative test for pre- and post von Willebrand factor levels and APTT in each group was done using paired t-test when the distribution was normal, and Wilcoxon test if the distribution was not normal. As for comparing the value of the parameter in the patients receiving HES and patients who did not use HES (controls) we used ANCOVA with covariat bleeding (% EBV) if the data were homogeneous and linear regression test if the data were not homogeneous.

To show the effect of plasma dilution by HES or crystalloid, we made the calculation according to the formula D = Hb/Hb0 x (1-Ht0)/(1-Ht), in which D: dilution factor; Hb0, Ht0: hemoglobin and hematocrit concentration prior to infusion fluids; and Hb, Ht: hemoglobin and hematocrit concentrations after fluid infusion. Subsequently, the concentration of von Willebrand factor in plasma after dilution was calculated using formula C = C0 x D, with C0: concentration before dilution; C: concentration after dilution; D: dilution factor. Statistical calculations of independent t-test was used to compare the calculation levels of von Willebrand factor from baseline and after fluid infusion in each group.

RESULTS

A total of 46 patients involved in this study were divided into two groups, the group of patients who only received crystalloid and a group of patients who received crystalloid and HES 200. From the data on patients characteristics involved in this study and the amount of resuscitation fluid provided during the observation, there were no significant differences between the two groups (Table 1 and Table 3). However, there was significant difference as for the bleeding volume occurred during surgery between the two groups (Table 2). vWF levels in blood decreased significantly in both groups (p <0.001). However, when compared the difference of vWF:ag between both groups, there was no significant difference (p = 0.486). When compared with calculations using dilution factor (Table 5), the decrease of vWF in each group showed significant difference (p <0.001) (Figure 1).
Table 1. Characteristics of patients involved in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Crystalloid (n=23)</th>
<th>HES 200 (n=23)</th>
<th>P values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ages (years)</td>
<td>43.39±11.54</td>
<td>46.60±9.12</td>
<td>p=0.061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinin serum (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.72±0.19</td>
<td>0.78±0.20</td>
<td>p=0.350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT (sec)</td>
<td>29.17±5.52</td>
<td>27.8±5.34</td>
<td>p=0.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGOT (mg/dl)</td>
<td>19.26±10.15</td>
<td>19.61±11.08</td>
<td>p=0.436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dl)</td>
<td>12.5±1.26</td>
<td>12.09±1.48</td>
<td>p=0.309</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Total bleeding volume in crystalloid and HES 200 groups during operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bleeding (%EBV)</th>
<th>Crystalloid group (mean±SD)</th>
<th>HES 200 group (mean±SD)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.04±4.37</td>
<td>15.77±5.97</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Coagulation studies

Table 3. Total fluid received by patients in crystalloid and HES 200 groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total administered fluid</th>
<th>Crystalloid group (mean±SE)</th>
<th>HES 200 group (mean±SE)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crystallloid : 1113.04±66.490</td>
<td>Crystallloid : 1249.13±79.404</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HES : 507±43.70 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Changes in the levels of von Willebrand factor in crystalloid and HES 200 groups

Table 4. Comparative test pre and post of von Willebrand factor levels in crystalloid and HES 200 groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>pre mean±SE.</th>
<th>post mean±SE.</th>
<th>Comparison mean±SE.</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystalloid</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>97.68±15.219</td>
<td>31.61±10.058</td>
<td>-66.08±14.677</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HES 200</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>92.88±15.208</td>
<td>27.37±6.399</td>
<td>-65.93±13.757</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Coagulation studies
Table 5. Comparison of calculated von Willebrand factor levels and measured von Willebrand factor levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid groups</th>
<th>Calculated vWF level±SD</th>
<th>Measured vWF level±SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystallloid</td>
<td>93.97±7.36</td>
<td>27.37±3.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HES 200</td>
<td>96.72±7.45</td>
<td>31.61±4.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Coagulation studies

![Figure 2. Changes in APTT in crystalloid and HES 200 groups](image)

Table 6. Comparison of pre and post APTT in crystallloid and HES 200 groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pre mean±SE</th>
<th>post mean±SE</th>
<th>Comparison mean±SE</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystallloid</td>
<td>31.87±1.63</td>
<td>31.33±1.60</td>
<td>0.54±0.81</td>
<td>0.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HES 200</td>
<td>31.27±1.39</td>
<td>35.61±1.62</td>
<td>4.33±1.46</td>
<td>0.007**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APTT results in each group showed no significant change (Figure 2), as we also see if we compared the APTT difference between both groups (p = 0.654)

DISCUSSION

The focus of this study was on the effect of HES 200 administration on the alteration of von Willebrand factor level. Statistical analysis (Table 4) revealed that the level of von Willebrand factor either in crystallloid or HES 2000 groups showed significant reduction, with a slight higher mean in crystallloid group compared to that in HES 2000 group (66.08±4.677 vs 65.93±13.757). Characteristics of total bleeding between both groups also showed significant difference (Table 2). In crystallloid group, the %EBV was 9.04±4.37, while that in HES 2000 group was 15.77±5.97. This finding raised question whether such difference in total bleeding may affect difference of von Willbrand factor reduction. Therefore, to compare this difference between patients receiving crystallloid and those receiving HES 2000, we used multivariate analysis with linear regression test. The test revealed no significant difference (Figure 2) between both groups with p=0.486.

The reduction in each group (Table 4) lead to von Willebrand factor level of 31.61±10.058 ng/ml in crystallloid group and 27.37±6.499 ng/ml in HES 200 group. Sadler (2009) suggested that the reduction of von Willebrand factor of 30-50 ng/ml has intermediate bleeding risk. However, this study has limiation since it
did not evaluate further, whether the reduction of von Willebrand factor due to HES 200 administration continues.

Decreased levels of von Willebrand factor in crystalloid and HES 200 groups in this study confirmed previous studies by Innerhofer et al. (2002) in 60 patients undergoing orthopedic surgery. The study found significant decrease of von Willebrand factor is in Ringer's lactate group (4801 ± 1239 ml) from 130% to 121% and in HES group 200 (1242 ± 315 ml) from 128% to 118%, which was observed before and after surgery. The study did not find difference in significant decrease in von Willebrand factor between Ringer's lactate and HES 200 groups. Declining levels of von Willebrand factor was also found by Muralldhar (2010) in a study of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass. In that study, most significant vWF levels decrease was found after the administration of 7.8 ml/kg HES 200. However, 24 hours after the operation, von Willebrand factor levels rose again, approaching baseline levels.

In physiological conditions, the elimination of von Willebrand factor is carried out by the liver and spleen. Macrophages are a type of cells that play the most predominant role in two organs in the process of von Willebrand factor elimination. Receptors that have been identified to play a role in mediating vWF factor endocytosis in macrophages is a lipoprotein receptor (LPR1) and Siglec-5. The half-life of von Willebrand factor in circulation is 16 hours. In this study, sampling point after HES 200 administration was 60 minutes. Therefore, the decline of HES 200 in this study was not influenced by vWF factor half-life in blood circulation (Casari et al. 2013, Lenting et al. 2014).

In this study, von Willebrand factor decrease was not only due to the dilution, as confirmed by calculation of the plasma dilution factor. Results of calculations with dilution factor was compared with post-treatment von Willebrand factor in each fluid group. If the results of the comparative test showed significant difference, it can be concluded that the decrease of von Willebrand factor is not only the result of dilution. From comparative test results (Table 5) using the Mann-Whitney test there were significant differences in each group (p = 0.000) for crystalloid and HES 200 groups.

Mechanisms that could explain how the influence of hydroxyethyl starch can reduce von Willebrand factor cannot be confirmed. An in vitro study conducted by Collis et al. (1994), observing the influence of hydroxyethyl starch on endothelial cells activity in culture, showed that there was inhibition of von Willebrand factor release, which comprised adhesion molecules stored in endothelial cells in group receiving HES. This resulted in significant decrease of von Willebrand factor (p = 0.01) compared to other plasma substitution fluids. This is supported by a study by Ritto et al. (2005), who compared the effect of hydroxyethyl starch with gelofusin in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. Decreased level of von Willebrand factor was obtained in two groups at the beginning before the opening of the aortic clamp abdominal. The reduction indicates acute phase of response proteins in circulation, resulting in increased consumption of vWF factor.

Furthermore, after the clamp was opened vWF factor increased in gelofusin group from 110 U/dl to 173.5 U/dl, showing a continued release of vWF by endothelial activation, whereas in the HES group decreased from 96.5 U/dl to 80.5 U/dl. This indicates that after initial endothelial activation, HES affected the stabilization of effects of endothelium. The finding that improved endothelial stabilization results from HES influence is supported by a study by Boldt et al. (2003). This study did not use vWF factor as parameter. Instead, it used adhesion molecules released in the circulation, the endothelial leukocyte adhesion molecule-1 (ELAM-1) and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1), that may reflect the activation of endothelial cells. This study found an increase of both adhesion molecules which was higher in crystalloid group compared to that in HES group (p = 0.05). This demonstrates that the HES has a stabilizing effect on endothelial cells by stabilizing fragile cell membranes, so that it reduces its activity in releasing of adhesion molecules. However, we are still unable to explain the mechanism of vWF factor reduction in the group of patients receiving crystalloid fluids alone.

In this study, vWF factor reduction in HES group was followed by prolonged APTT time (Table 6) from 31.27 ± 1.39 to 35.61 ± 1.62 (p = 0.007). In crystalloid group von Willebrand factor decreased from 97.688 ± 15.219 ng/ml to 31.611 ± 10.058 ng/ml (Table 4), but was not followed by statistically significant changes in APTT (Table 6). Comparative analysis of APTT difference between patients receiving crystalloid solution and those receiving HES 200 was done using ANCOVA statistical test. The result showed no significant differences between both groups with p = 0.654 (Figure 2). Other studies in healthy volunteers and in surgery patients by Langeron et al. (2001) and de Jonge et al. (2001) showed significant prolonged APTT in patients receiving HES 200 fluid. Insignificant APTT alteration in crystalloid and HES 200 groups was also obtained a study by Rutmann et al. (2002). Increased APTT in HES group was 4.33 ± 7.04 seconds of normal value. Although it is significant statistically, clinically it is not, since clinically pathological increase of APTT is the increase
as many as 1.5-2.5 times that of control value (Wilson 2008).

Decreased levels of von Willebrand factor in each group, as seen in Figure 1, shows decreased levels of von Willebrand factor in patients receiving HES 200 fluid. In addition to HES 200, this group also received crystalloid and showed decreased von Willebrand factor that was not significantly different from that of patients who received crystalloid fluids alone. Similarly, APTT alteration (as seen in Figure 2) shows that HES 200 administration in bleeding patients, reaching averagely 15% EBV with maximum dose of HES 200 of 20 ml/kg, could still be regarded as clinically safe.

Prolonged APTT time in HES 200 use indirectly resulted from decreased vWF factor. In domain D of vWF structure, there is the site of blood clotting factor VIII binding, while the factor VIII islelf belongs to intrinsic pathway of blood coagulation. Prolonged APTT time may result from reduced blood clotting factors in the intrinsic pathway, one of which is the factor VIII (Kamal et al 2007, Reininger 2008, Gabali et al 2014). Von Willebrand factor reduction in circulation caused by HES use affects the decrease of factor VIII concentration because vWF has a function to maintain the stability of factor VIII. Bind-ing form of vWF-VIII protects factor VIII from degradation of protein C (Peyvandi 2011). Therefore, reduced levels of vWF factor in circulation will be followed by decreased levels of factor VIII since a large number of factors VIII being degraded by protein C. A study by Langeron et al (2001) and Innerhofer et al (2002) showed a significant decrease in vWF factor followed by decreased levels of factor VIII in the use of HES 200.

According to Wilson (2008), prolonged APTT is not only caused by decreased levels of factor VIII, but also be affected by the decreased of other factors' levels, the factor I, II, V, IX, X, XI, and XII. Therefore, in this study prolonged APTT in a group of patients receiving HES 200 was not only due to decreased levels of factor VIII as described above, but could also be due to decreased levels of other factors, as has been proved a study by Fenger-Eriksen et al (2009). However, this study did not observe other coagulation factors.

As to the use of crystalloid, there was no change in APTT. This may be explained from in vivo study on hepatobiliary surgery patients conducted by Ng et al (2002) who found decreased levels of antithrombin III and protein C in hemodilution by normal saline. Anti-thrombin III is the most potent inhibitors that inhibit the intrinsic factor IXa, Xa, Xia and XHa, while protein C has functions in the degradation of factor VIII, which enables a decrease in antithrombin III and protein C since the use of crystalloid is still going to be a factor in the coagulation process.

CONCLUSION

HES 200 in a maximum dose of 20 ml/kg is safe for use in elective surgery patients who experience bleeding of averagely 15% EBV.
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