Case Report:
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ABSTRACT

The complications of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunts are many and are reported in literature extensively. The complication of transanal extrusion after bowel perforation is known although rare. This complication is very well described amongst the children. The authors describe the case of bowel perforation and transanal extrusion of a VP shunt occurring in a 51-year-old adult patient. The patient has a history of craniotomy for acute subdural hematoma after severe head injury one year ago continued with VP shunt for post-traumatic hydrocephalus. Home care with bedridden conditions is done at home until finally, the family gets the catheter extrude from the transanal. Bowel perforation and transanal extrusion of VP shunt catheter is a rare but serious problem. The exact pathogenesis of shunt-related organ perforation and extrusion through the anus is unclear, and various mechanisms have been suggested, Among many factors, age is the prominent factor for bowel perforation. Because of weak bowel musculature and stronger peristaltic activity, children are more susceptible to bowel perforation than adult patients. In adult shunted patient, one of the risk factors is related to PVS with chronic immobilization, as described in this case. Risk factors of bowel perforation in adult are quite distinct from children. Persistent vegetative state (PVS) with chronic immobilization is one of the risk factors to be aware of.
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INTRODUCTION


CASE REPORT

A fifty-one-year-old PVS and a bedridden male patient were brought to our hospital after his family noticed a VP shunt catheter extruding from his anus. One year earlier, the patient had undergone VP shunt placement for hydrocephalus following craniotomy for traumatic subdural hemorrhage after severe head injury. Postoperatively the patient was in PVS and continued for home nursing. He is in bedridden state and in continuous nasogastric tubing for his routine diet. One week before admitted to the hospital, he suffered from repeated diarrhea and then in the following day his wife noticed a tube came up from the anus. On examination, there was a tip of the peritoneal catheter extruded five centimeters from the anus and no cerebrospinal fluid drip was detected. There was no sign of meningeal sign or increased intracranial pressure, no distention or abdominal tenderness. The patient was in PVS with spontaneous eye opening and no verbal contact. The result of laboratory examinations showed no infection process with hypoalbuminemia and anemia. Abdominal x-ray film demonstrated the peritoneal catheter entering the descendent colon and exiting through the anus (Figs. 1 and 2).

The patient underwent emergency shunt removal. Analysis of the cerebrospinal fluid did not reveal any signs of infection. We removed the whole catheter and observed the patient for two days. External drainage was not performed. During the observation, there was no sign and symptoms of increasing intracranial pressure. There were no signs of meningitis or peritonitis. After five days of treatment, the patient was discharged home in satisfactory result with the same permanent condition.

DISCUSSION

The ventriculoperitoneal shunt has been the mainstay of treatment of hydrocephalus since the development of valve systems in the 1950s (Sathyanarayana et al 2000, Vinchon et al 2006, Matsuoka, Takegami and Maruyama, 2008, Filho et al 2013). Many complications that may follow the insertion of a VP shunt have been described (Sathyanarayana et al 2000, Vinchon et al 2006, Matsuoka et al 2008, Glatstein et al 2011, Filho et al 2013). The incidence of bowel perforation by peritoneal catheter has been estimated to range between 0.1 to 0.7% of shunted patients (Vinchon et al 2006, Murthy & Reddy 2009). Spontaneous bowel perforation is a rare complication of VP shunting and mostly affected pediatric patients (Sathyanarayana et al 2000, Birbilis et al 2009, Filho et al 2013). Anal extrusion has been reported in a minority of patients with bowel perforation (Vinchon et al 2006, Filho et al 2013).

Fig. 1. Abdominal x-ray film of peritoneal catheter entering the descendent colon.
Bowel perforation and transanal extrusion of VP shunt catheter is a rare but serious problem. In adult shunted patient, one of the risk factors is related to PVS with chronic immobilization, the clinician should be aware of this. We describe the extrusion of a VP shunt catheter through the anus in a 51-year-old persistent vegetative state male patient. Our case emphasizes the possible risk factors to be aware and the importance of early shunt removal to avoid further complications.
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CONCLUSION

The exact pathogenesis of shunt-related organ perforation and extrusion through the anus is unclear, and various mechanisms have been suggested, including technical error, foreign body reaction, pressure necrosis and poor general condition with weakening of the intestinal wall and the stiff end off the shunt tube causing perforation (Sathyanarayana et al 2000, Vinchon et al 2006, Glatstein et al 2011, Filho et al 2013). Another mechanism proposed is occult shunt infection and chronic inflammation caused by intraoperative contamination (Vinchon et al 2006). The majority of cases in the literature had a delayed presentation after surgery, which suggests a chronic process rather than a traumatic or technical error. The risk factors for bowel perforation are age, male gender, poor general condition, malnutrition, infection and previous abdominal operation (Griffith & DeFeo 1987, Agarwal et al 2011, Filho et al 2013, Gupta et al 2014). Among these factors, age is a prominent factor for bowel perforation (Park et al 2000, Odebode 2007, Sinnadurai & Winder, 2009, Filho et al 2013). Because of weak bowel musculature and stronger peristaltic activity, children are more susceptible to bowel perforation than adult patients (Sathyanarayana et al 2000, Vinchon et al 2006, Glatstein et al 2011, Filho et al 2013). The majority of reports describe more children suffered than adults (Filho et al 2013). Seventy-eight percent of the reported cases occurred in children (Sathyanarayana et al 2000). In the present case, is a rare adult case, different from children, the possible mechanism of bowel perforation could be a persistent vegetative state with chronic immobilization that leads to continuous irritation of a fixed position of shunt tip that continuously erode and then finally perforate the organ. After perforated the bowel, the catheter propelled distally by peristalsis until the tip extruded through an anal orifice.


